EXHIBIT 21

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

David Klos - October 27, 2021

1	3
I IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
DALLAS DIVISION	PAGE
	3 Examination by MR. RUKAVINA 4
5	4 Examination by MR. AIGEN 95
6 HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,)	5 Examination by MR. MORRIS 109
L.P.,	6 Further Examination by MR. RUKAVINA 127
)	7
Plaintiff,)	8
)	9
vs.) No. 21-03004-sgj	10 (No exhibits marked.)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	11
DADVISORS, L.P.,	12
)	13
Defendants.)	
2	14
DEPOSITION OF	15
DAVID KLOS	16
October 27, 2021	17
<u> </u>	18
	19
DEPOSITION OF DAVID KLOS, produced as a	20
witness, duly sworn by me via videoconference at the	21
instance of the DEFENDANTS, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on October 27, 2021,	22
from 2:30 P.M. to 5:14 P.M., before BRANDON D. COMBS,	
3 CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Texas, reported by	23
	24
4 computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard 5 Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas.	25
4 computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard 5 Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas.	25
4 computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard 5 Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES	1 DAVID KLOS,
4 computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard 5 Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES	1 DAVID KLOS, 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
4 computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard 5 Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North	1 DAVID KLOS, 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 3 EXAMINATION
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. APPEARANCES MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented	1 DAVID KLOS, 2 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 3 EXAMINATION 4 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please.
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos.
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North 4 Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented 5 by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via 6 videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-1-o-s? A. K-1-o-s.
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North 4 Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented 5 by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via 6 videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-l-o-s? A. K-l-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth?
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-l-o-s? A. K-l-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982.
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-l-o-s? A. K-l-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live?
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-l-o-s? A. K-l-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. L. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas.
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff.	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-l-o-s? A. K-l-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background?
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North 4 Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented 5 by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via 6 videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third A Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-1-o-s? A. K-1-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-1-o-s? A. K-1-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU,
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North 4 Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented 5 by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via 6 videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third A Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-I-o-s? A. K-I-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-I-o-s? A. K-I-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. L. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and TMBA from SMU.
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-I-o-s? A. K-I-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com STINSON, LLP, 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777,	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-I-o-s? A. K-I-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. L. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and TMBA from SMU.
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com STINSON, LLP, 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777, Ballas, TX 75219, represented by MICHAEL AIGEN, Attorney	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-I-o-s? A. K-I-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. L. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and MBA from SMU. Q. Do you hold any professional licenses?
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com STINSON, LLP, 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777, Dallas, TX 75219, represented by MICHAEL AIGEN, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-l-o-s? A. K-l-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. L. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and MBA from SMU. Do you hold any professional licenses? A. CPA in the state of Texas and, I don't know
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com STINSON, LLP, 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777, B Dallas, TX 75219, represented by MICHAEL AIGEN, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants Jim Dondero, HCMS and HCRE	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-1-o-s? A. K-1-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and MBA from SMU. Q. Do you hold any professional licenses? A. CPA in the state of Texas and, I don't know if it's technically a license, but Series 27 from 1 FINRA.
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com STINSON, LLP, 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777, Dallas, TX 75219, represented by MICHAEL AIGEN, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants Jim Dondero, HCMS and HCRE Partners. Email: michael.aigen@stinson.com	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-l-o-s? A. K-l-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and MBA from SMU. Q. Do you hold any professional licenses? A. CPA in the state of Texas and, I don't know if it's technically a license, but Series 27 from FINRA. Q. And when did you get your CPA license?
A computerized machine shorthand, at 500 North Akard Street, 38th Floor, Dallas, Texas. 2 APPEARANCES 3 MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, PC, 500 North Akard Street, Suite 3800, Dallas, TX 75201, represented by DAVOR RUKAVINA, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants. Email: drukavina@munsch.com PACHULSKI, STANG, ZIEHL & JONES, 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor, New York, NY 10017-2024, represented by JOHN A. MORRIS, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Plaintiff. Email: jmorris@pszjlaw.com STINSON, LLP, 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777, B Dallas, TX 75219, represented by MICHAEL AIGEN, Attorney at Law, appeared via videoconference as counsel on behalf of the Defendants Jim Dondero, HCMS and HCRE	DAVID KLOS, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: EXAMINATION Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, state your name for the record, please. A. David Klos. Q. K-1-o-s? A. K-1-o-s. Q. What's your date of birth? A. May 6, 1982. Q. And where do you live? A. I live in Dallas. Q. What's your educational background? A. Undergraduate and graduate degrees. I went to undergrad at Boston College, graduate school at SMU, with a degree in, Master's of Science in accounting and MBA from SMU. Q. Do you hold any professional licenses? A. CPA in the state of Texas and, I don't know if it's technically a license, but Series 27 from 1 FINRA.

8

David Klos - October 27, 2021

5

1 A. As far as I know.

- 2 Q. Have you ever been disciplined or threatened
- 3 with disciplinary proceedings?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And your relevant work experience, please,
- 6 starting with college and afterwards?
- 7 A. Sure. Out of grad school I started working
- 8 at Deloitte in Boston. I worked at Deloitte for
- 9 approximately three and a half years, between the
- 10 Boston office and the Dallas office.
- 11 And then I began working at Highland Capital
- 12 Management in March of 2009 and I've been at Highland
- 13 since then.
- 14 Q. And when you joined Highland in March of
- 15 2009, what was your title or your role at that time?
- 16 A. My title, if I remember correctly, was
- 17 valuation senior analyst. I'm not certain if that was
- 18 exactly it, but it was something along those lines.
- 19 Q. Was it in the valuation group?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And then give me your -- today you're the CFO
- 22 of Highland; correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. So give me the progression from valuation
- 25 analyst to CFO with, to the best of your recollection,

- 1 There were a few title changes in between
 - 2 there. I think at one point I was manager, at one
 - 3 point I was senior manager, at one point I was
 - 4 assistant controller and at one point I was controller.
 - 5 I can't remember the exact times of all of
 - 6 those break points.
 - 7 Q. Let me pause you. When you were assistant
 - 8 controller, who was the controller?
 - 9 A. There was quite a bit of time where I was
 - 10 assistant controller and we didn't have a controller.
 - 11 I couldn't tell you the exact time frame, but there was
 - 12 definitely an extended time frame.
 - 13 And then in April of 2020, our existing chief
 - 14 accounting officer left and I assumed his
 - 15 responsibilities at that time.
 - 16 Q. Let me pause you. That's a new term for me.
 - 17 Chief accounting officer?
 - 18 A. Uh-huh.

19

- Q. Who was that person?
- 20 A. The person that left?
- 21 Q. The person that was the chief accounting
- 22 officer until April 2020.
- 23 A. Cliff Stoops.
- 24 Q. And do you have any idea or knowledge whether
- 25 at Highland that was like an officer-level position?

6

- 1 the approximate year that you were promoted, et cetera?
 - A. Sure. I was in the valuation role from
- 3 basically March of 2009 to end of 2009.
- 4 I was then brought over to what we call the
- 5 corporate accounting team, so doing the accounting for
- 6 Highland Capital Management, LP and of the other
- 7 advisor-type entities, where I was primarily focused on
- 8 budgeting and forecasting, credit facility compliance.
- 9 That took from roughly 2010 until I think
- 10 middle of 2011, at which point I was moved over to the
- 11 fund accounting group, so doing hedge fund accounting,
- 12 which was a short role, really, for probably three or
- 13 four months.
- 14 At which point I was brought back to the
- 15 corporate team and also put in charge of the valuation
- 16 group. I held that role in some way, shape, or form
- 17 more or less continuously for the next several years,
- 18 although certainly my role evolved and changed.
- 19 But in terms of the groups that I had
- 20 oversight over, those were the groups. Like I said, my
- 21 role definitely evolved over time from 2011.
- Q. So by 2017 what was your title?
- 23 A. So, yeah, by that time, I was, I believe,
- 24 controller. I might have still been assistant
- 25 controller.

1 A. It was not. It was more of a term of art, I

- 2 would describe it. So it -- so, yeah --
- 3 Q. To the best of your recollection, when did
- 4 you become the controller at Highland Capital
- 5 Management, LP?
- 6 A. I couldn't pin down a specific date. Like I
- 7 said, the responsibilities were very similar. I would
- 8 guess the change from assistant controller to
- 9 controller was probably in the, most likely in the '16,
- 10 '17, maybe '18 time frame.
- 11 Q. Can we agree that as of May 1, 2019, you were
- 12 the controller at Highland?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. So let's focus on that time frame, May 2019,
- 15 and you're the controller. Who do you report to at
- 16 Highland?

- 17 A. Frank Waterhouse.
- 18 Q. The CFO?
- 19 A. Correct.
 - Q. No one in between you and him?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. So what -- explain to me the role between the
- 23 chief accounting officer and the chief financial
- 24 officer in that time frame, '19, '20?
- 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

David Klos - October 27, 2021 11 1 question. 1 A. Frank Waterhouse. 2 THE WITNESS: Very little. Like I said, 2 Q. And were --A. I should clarify too, that the valuation team 3 chief accounting officer was more of a term of art. 4 What that role actually had oversight of was our retail 4 isn't ultimately responsible for the valuations 5 fund accounting, institutional fund accounting, 5 themselves, but they do act in this liaison role. 6 operations, so loan settlement and treasury. Q. Perhaps that's my confusion. Is there a And probably another department or two that 7 separate group that handles just valuation? 8 I'm forgetting, but it did not have any oversight over A. No. Q. Is there an outside consultancy that handled the corporate accounting group. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And in May of 2019, as the 10 that in May of 2019? A. I don't know if I would call it consultancy, 11 controller, what were -- what was your role or what 11 12 were your duties? 12 but there was a third-party valuation service provider 13 that would do certain of the, call it illiquid, harder 13 A. In May of 2019 I was at that point still 14 overseeing the valuation group. I was overseeing the 14 to value securities. 15 corporate accounting group, which my primary direct 15 Q. So would you say that you were pretty busy in 16 report there was Kristin Hendrix, who really was the 16 April, May 2019? 17 day-to-day person. But I certainly oversaw her. 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 18 Q. By that you mean the person that answers to 18 question. 19 you? 19 THE WITNESS: I've been busy throughout my A. Correct. Sorry. If I flipped that, I 20 career. 21 apologize. So I was overseeing that group, which had, 21 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) In April, May, June 2019, you know, fairly broad responsibilities. how many hours a month do you estimate you worked for 23 In terms of, you know, accounting for the 23 Highland? 24 Advisor, doing forecasts when they were called for, 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 25 performing the audit every year, managing cash, 25 question. 10 12 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. A 1 processing payroll, things of that nature. 1 And then at that time I was also put in 2 significant number. 3 charge of one of the public REITs that was launching at 3 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Certainly full-time? 4 the time under the NexPoint flag. And getting that A. Absolutely. 5 5 Q. Would you say that you were working more than 6 Q. Did you mention that in May of 2019 you were 200 hours a month in that time frame for Highland? 7 still involved with the valuation group? A. I don't know how many hours. I should 8 clarify, we're using Highland very liberally. When I Q. Did you have a title at the valuation group? say Highland, supporting the entire apparatus,

A. Nothing distinct from my overall controller

11 title. These titles were often, like I said, terms of

12 art, whether it was controller or chief accounting

13 officer.

14 Q. What did the valuation group at Highland do?

15 A. Well, valuation group was really a liaison

16 with both third-party pricing providers, pricing

17 services, brokers on the street, front office, members

18 at Highland.

19 To, you know, to work on valuing the

20 securities held across the platform, both for Highland

21 HCMLP managed funds as well as affiliated managed

22 funds.

Q. So who did -- did you report to anyone at the

24 valuation group? In other words, did it have its own

25 separate hierarchy kind of?

10 platform. Significant number of hours at that time,

11 and before and after.

Q. And let's explore that a little bit. You

13 mentioned one of the funds for NexPoint. I'd like to

14 talk about NexPoint Advisors, LP, just NexPoint

15 Advisors, LP.

16 Did you ever have an official role or title

17 with NexPoint Advisors, LP?

18 A. Not that I can remember.

19 Q. Do you know if you were ever the controller

20 for that entity?

21 A. I'm not certain. I'm not certain.

22 O. But I take it that pursuant to the shared

23 services agreement you, as an employee of Highland,

24 were providing services on behalf of NexPoint?

25 **MR. MORRIS:** Objection to the form of the

13 15 1 question. Q. Do you have an expectation as to when the THE WITNESS: I provided many of the same 2 2 winding down and monetization of Highland and its 3 services for NexPoint Advisors that I provided for assets will be complete? 4 Highland, similar types of services. 4 A. That's very hard to speculate, especially Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And briefly about Highland given the amount of litigation that's going on because 6 Capital Management Fund Advisors, LP, HCMFA, did you I don't know when that's going to play out and that's a 7 ever have like an official title or role with that material asset. 8 Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Seery how long 8 entity, to your knowledge? that might be? A. Again, not that I can remember. 10 A. Not that I can specifically remember. 10 Q. Not to your knowledge, the controller ever of 11 Q. Do you believe it will be at least probably 11 that entity? 12 two years, from today? 12 A. I'm not certain whether I was or not. 13 A. I don't know. 13 Q. But you provided services to that entity as 14 Q. This bonus compensation, does it or any 14 part of your role at Highland pursuant to shared 15 amount of it depend on how well Highland or the 15 services? 16 claimant trust, how well they do vis-a-vis collecting 16 A. Similar to NexPoint as I described. 17 money from creditors? 17 Q. When you were controller of Highland, was 18 that an officer-level position at Highland? 18 A. Not that I can think of. I'd have to probably go back and look and understand the back-end A. No. 20 piece to say definitively. 20 Q. When did you become the chief financial 21 Q. And back-end piece, does that mean whenever 21 officer of Highland? 22 the winding down is completed? 22 A. Chief financial officer? 23 A. Yeah, like I said, I'm not exactly -- I'm not 23 O. Uh-huh. 24 completely facile with the exact timing, if it's 24 A. 2021, March. 25 completed 100 percent or 80 percent, what kind of 25 Q. After Mr. Waterhouse was gone? 14 16 1 qualitative considerations go into that. But 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And I'm going to ask you a little bit about substantially completed. 3 your compensation today at Highland. Q. Sitting here today, do you think or believe 4 that any portion of your compensation over the next You don't have to give me specific numbers 5 unless I ask you, please, but I take it you have a base however long it takes to wind down Highland depends on 6 compensation? 6 how much Highland recovers from the litigation A. Yes, I have a base. regarding promissory notes? 8 Q. Do you have any bonus structure compensation? A. I really take exception to that question 9 A. Yes, I have a bonus. because the insinuation is that it's going to somehow 10 Q. And what is that bonus number or whether it's 10 change my answers here, and it's absolutely not. 11 paid out based upon or contingent upon? 11 How litigation, it may or may not affect my 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 12 ultimate compensation, but that's not going to affect 13 question. 13 one iota of the answers I give you today or at any 14 THE WITNESS: As I understand, it's based on 14 time, whether I'm on or off the record.

15 my offer letter.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) On your what? 16 A. My letter for extending an offer. 17

18

Q. Tell me, what is your -- without having to

19 use express numbers, what is your bonus compensation?

When is it paid, et cetera?

21 A. Yeah, so it's not too dissimilar from the

22 prior Highland plan that has semiannual installments

23 payable. And then there's a, kind of an end of plan

24 bonus when -- I don't remember the specifics on exactly

what triggers that, but it's back-ended in the plan.

Q. Fair enough. So you're going to testify

16 today truthfully regardless of your compensation. I

got you; right? Correct? 18

A. I didn't follow what you just asked me.

19 Q. You're going to testify today truthfully

20 regardless of how these events may or may not affect

21 your compensation; right?

22 A. It's such a loaded question I can't even

23 begin to answer that.

24 Q. So sitting here today -- I want to ask you

25 the same question I did before, and your answer to me

David Klos - October 27, 2021

17 1 was that you took exception to the insinuation. Now

2 I'd like you to answer my question.

3 Which is, sitting here today, do you believe

4 that any part of your compensation in the future, 5 however long it takes to wind down Highland, is going

6 to depend on how well Highland does in these

7 litigations concerning the notes?

A. I believe my ultimate compensation will

depend on how long this process takes, which I don't

10 know, and ultimate recoveries to trust beneficiaries

11 under the plan.

12 And so I do expect that it will vary, but I

would reiterate my earlier comment. 13

14 Q. So sitting here today, you understand that if

15 the trust beneficiaries recover more, then you might be

16 compensated more?

17 A. That's possible.

18 Q. Well, sir, I'm not trying to be a smart ass,

19

20 MR. MORRIS: Actually, you're coming awfully

21 close, just to be clear, so be careful, because I'm

22 offended as well. But continue.

23 MR. RUKAVINA: I'm entitled to ask the man

24 about his compensation.

25 MR. MORRIS: Right. And your clients have A. Not in any substance.

Q. And when do you recall you might have had

those discussions with her?

A. I'm not even sure.

Q. Would it have been recently or like 9,

6 10 months ago?

A. No, it would have been recently.

Q. And with Mr. Seery, when did you have a

general conversation with Mr. Seery?

A. I've had, you know, one or more general

11 conversations with Mr. Seery. It's my understanding

12 that he was the 30(b)(6) witness, and he had questions

13 in preparation for his role in that.

14 Q. So that would have been before last Thursday

15 that you talked to him? I'll represent to you that

16 that's when his deposition was.

17 A. Yeah, if I'm accepting that representation,

18 yes, prior to.

19 Q. Other than that conversation with respect to

20 him preparing for the 30(b)(6), did you have a

21 discussion with him about this litigation as it might

22 relate to your deposition?

23 A. I don't believe so in terms of relating to

24 this deposition. We've talked at length about the

25 notes more generally.

18 20

1 \$75 million, hard dollars at stake in this litigation,

2 so we should never believe anything that he says? Is

3 that where we are now?

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, again, what is your

5 bonus compensation as it relates to how well the

6 claimant trust does? Do you remember or not?

A. I don't know that that's even something that

I could know at this point.

Q. In preparing for this deposition, I take it

10 you spoke to legal counsel, and I'm not entitled to

11 know that and I'm not asking that.

12 But did you talk to anyone else?

13 A. I've spoken in general terms to Mr. Seery.

14 Q. Okay. Anyone else?

15 A. I've spoken, again in general terms, to

16 Kristin Hendrix.

17 Q. Anyone else?

18 A. Not that I can think of.

19 Q. Now, I understand you spoke to Ms. Hendrix

20 when legal counsel was present; right?

21 A. Yes.

22 O. So let's exclude that conversation.

23 Did you have any conversations with

24 Ms. Hendrix regarding this deposition or this

25 litigation at which counsel was not present?

1 Q. And we'll go through that I'm sure.

So other than the conversations with 2

3 Ms. Hendrix and Mr. Seery and, of course, with counsel

that I'm not entitled to know about, did you discuss

this deposition or what you might be asked today with

anvone else?

A. No.

Q. Okay. Did you read all or any portions of

the deposition of Frank Waterhouse?

10 A. Certainly didn't read all of it. I have a

general understanding of the topics that were -- that's

12 a bad way to frame it.

13 I have a general understanding of a few

14 points that were covered in his deposition.

15 Q. Were you provided -- were you provided the

16 exact pages of any of his deposition?

17 MR. MORRIS: Objection. Direct him not to

18 answer.

19 MR. RUKAVINA: You're going to direct him not

20 to answer whether he read --

21 MR. MORRIS: If you're asking him whether I

22 directed him to particular --

23 MR. RUKAVINA: I didn't ask that.

24 MR. MORRIS: Rephrase your question.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Did you read any pages

23 21 1 from Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? 1 was? 2 MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. 2 A. Yeah, it was Lauren Thedford, who is Highland 3 You can answer again. 3 in-house counsel. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't recall -- I don't 4 Q. She's a lawyer? 5 recall reading it. 5 A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So were you provided a 6 6 Q. Do you recall from that -- strike that. 7 summary of his deposition? Did you know on or about May 31, 2017 what 8 A. I have had discussions with Mr. Morris in 8 the purpose or reason behind Exhibit 13, this preparation for this deposition. promissory note, was? 10 Q. That's fine. And we can stop there. 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 11 Did you read or -- did you read the whole or 11 question. 12 any portion of Mr. Seery's deposition? 12 THE WITNESS: The purpose was to take 13 A. No, I don't believe I -- no, I don't believe 13 existing notes, which I believe were exclusively demand 14 so. 14 notes, I'm not a hundred percent certain on that, and 15 Q. Is it the same answer, that whatever you 15 roll them into a single note that would have a 30-year 16 discussed would have been through counsel? 16 amortization period. 17 A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you know why that was 17 18 Q. Did you see any of the videotape of either 18 done? 19 Mr. Waterhouse's or Mr. Seery's deposition? 19 A. I believe it was done probably for a number 20 A. No. 20 of reasons, one of which was to ensure some level of 21 Q. So let's talk about the NexPoint 21 cash flow back to Highland, when I say Highland, 22 \$30.7 million note. 22 Highland Capital Management, LP, on an annual basis. 23 You're familiar with that note; right? Q. Was that a concern at Highland Capital 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 24 24 Management, that it wasn't getting any level of cash 25 question. 25 flow back? 22 24 1 THE WITNESS: Before I answer that, I'd like A. It wasn't a concern of mine. I don't know if 2 to see the note. 2 it was a concern of others. 3 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) It's in here. I'm looking 3 Q. Do you recall whether any auditor ever raised 4 for the exhibit number. It's in here somewhere. 4 that concern? 5 A. Yes, I'm familiar with this note. A. The auditors did raise that in conjunction 6 Q. Are you familiar with anything having to do 6 with the audit that was concluding around this time. 7 with the negotiation or execution of this note? So yes, they did raise it, you know, probably in the 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the May of 2017 time frame. 9 question. 9 Q. Do you know who decided that it would be a 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat. 10 30-year term note? By that I mean 30 years. 11 O. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes. Let me rephrase it. 11 A. Jim Dondero. 12 Did you have anything to do, back on or about 12 Q. Do you know if he decided that in connection 13 May 31, 2017, with the negotiation or execution of this 13 with discussions with anybody or, to your knowledge, he 14 promissory note? 14 just decided? 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 15 A. As far as I know he just decided it. I 16 16 believe there was a draft at one point that was for question. **17** THE WITNESS: Nothing with respect to the 17 20 years, and he wanted to do 30. 18 negotiation --18 Q. So this note is executed in May 31, 2017. 19 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I'm sorry. 19 Did you have any further role prior to, let's say, 20 A. In terms of the execution, I believe I 20 December 1, 2020 with respect to anything to do with 21 coordinated with internal counsel, who drafted the 21 this promissory note? 22 note, and I can't remember -- I can't recall one way or 22 A. Sorry, tell me the date again. 23 O. From execution of the note until December 1, 23 the other if I assisted in actually physically 24 2020? 24 receiving signatures. I just don't remember.

25

A. And the question was?

Q. Do you remember who that internal counsel

28

David Klos - October 27, 2021

25

- 1 Q. Did you have any role in that time frame with
- 2 respect to this promissory note on behalf of Highland?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of thequestion.
- 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know how to answer
- 6 that, it's such an open-ended question. I just don't
- 7 know how to respond to that.
- 8 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) If payments were made on
- 9 this note, would you have any duty to record or credit
- 10 those payments?
- 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 12 question.
- THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have personally in
- 14 my role, but my team would have been involved in the
- 15 recording of those.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And when payments were due
- 17 on this note, did you personally have any role with
- 18 respect to doing anything to facilitate those payments?
- 19 A. When payments were due did I have anything --
- 20 yes.
- Q. What was your role?
- 22 A. So my role, as part of the corporate team,
- 23 part of our role is managing cash at the various
- 24 entities. So I was involved in weekly cash meetings,
- 25 where things like upcoming, whether it's an obligation

- 1 Capital Management or NexPoint Advisors as to a
- 2 decision as to whether any prepayments on this note
- 3 would ever be made?
- 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 5 question.

8

11

15

21

- 6 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat.
- 7 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Let's start from scratch.
 - Do you have any memory of any payments being
- 9 made on this note, Exhibit 13, prior to their scheduled
- 10 dates of payment?
 - A. There were payments on -- and to be clear,
- 12 we're talking about the original 30.7- NexPoint
- 13 promissory note? There were payments that I recall
- 14 happening throughout 2019 on this note.
 - Q. And we can look at Exhibit 14.
- MR. MORRIS: What number?
- 17 MR. RUKAVINA: 14, 1-4.
- 18 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And those are only
- 19 numbered because Ms. Hendrix, they were used for her20 deposition.
 - A. Sure. Just trying to keep these in order, I
- 22 apologize. Got it.
- Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 14?
- 24 A. Generally. I can't say that I can verify
- 25 that this is completely accurate. But it looks

26

1 or a receipt, would be put on people's radars.

- 2 And we would, in connection with the 30-year
- 3 notes such as this one from NexPoint, we would either
- 4 confer with Jim or -- certainly Jim. Also likely his
- 5 accountant
- 6 In terms of teeing them up to make sure that
- $7 \quad they \ were \ prepared \ from \ a \ cash \ flow \ statement \ to \ make$
- 8 the payment.
- 9 Q. What do you mean by his accountant?
- 10 A. Melissa Schroth.
- O. What do you mean by his? That's a new name
- 12 to me. Who is Melissa Schroth?
- 13 A. I find it hard to believe that she's a new
- 14 name to you. But I think her title was executive
- 15 accountant, and she was the keeper of Jim's -- many of
- 16 Jim's trusts and personal entities.
- 17 Q. Was she a Highland employee?
- 18 A. She was. And when I say Highland, I should
- 19 be clear, Highland Capital Management, LP.
- 20 Q. So when you say Jim's accountant, she was
- 21 still a debtor employee, just that she handled
- 22 primarily Jim's personal matters?
- 23 A. She was still a Highland Capital Management,
- 24 LP employee but she did Jim's personal matters.
- 25 Q. Did you have any role at either Highland

- 1 familiar to a loan amortization schedule.
- Q. Would it have been maintained by Highland?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And I'll tell you that no one has yet to
- 5 authenticate this with a hundred percent precision, so
- 6 I'm not asking you to ratify these numbers, but let's
- 7 assume that they are what they are.
- 8 This does purport to show on the second page
- 9 a number of transfers in 2019, which goes along with
- 10 your recent answer.
 - Do you see those, sir?
- 12 A. I do.

- 13 Q. In particular, 750,000, then 1.3 million,
- 14 300,000, 2.1 million, 630,000, 1.3 million.
- 15 You see all those, sir?
- 16 A. Yes, I see every one.
- 17 Q. Do you have any memory, without going into
- 18 those transfers of those dates to the dollar, do you
- 19 have any memory that those transfers were made?
- 20 A. Yes. Again, not a specific recollection of
- 21 where I was at the time, but yes, I know that these
- 22 transfers were made.
- Q. Do you know why they were made in those
- 24 amounts and on those dates?
- 25 A. No, not without speculating.

32

David Klos - October 27, 2021

29

- 1 Q. What would be your speculation if you were to 2 speculate?
- 3 A. My speculation would be that it would be for
- 4 liquidity needs at HCMLP, Highland Capital Management,
- 5 LP, needing liquidity to operate. Again, that's
- 6 speculation. I don't know for a fact that that's true,
- 7 but that's what I would assume.
- Q. Who would have made those decisions in 2019
- 9 to transfer those funds?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of thequestion.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it would have been either
- 13 Frank or Jim. I can't say with certainty, but one of
- 14 the two. When I say Jim, I should be clear,
- 15 Mr. Dondero.
- 16 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Between January and
- 17 July 2019, do you have any recollection that there was
- 18 any particular liquidity issue or need at Highland
- 19 Capital Management?
- 20 A. Yeah, Highland was dealing with liquidity
- 21 problems throughout 2019. Maybe not every single day
- 22 of the year, but we were continuously needing to bridge
- 23 liquidity.
- Q. And you joined Highland in 2009. From that
- 25 point in time, 2009, through 2019, was there any

- 1 them had been made and you executed them?
 - 2 A. Both, depending on the circumstances.
 - 3 Q. So sometimes you would be brought into a
 - 4 discussion?
 - 5 A. Yes.

6

10

- Q. And can you think of any particular example?
- 7 A. Of when I was brought into the discussion of
- $8 \;\;$ whether to transfer? I can't think of an individual
- 9 example but we met quite regularly with Jim on cash.
 - So to the extent that either he needed cash
- 11 on one of his entities, he might let us know that. Or
- 12 to the extent that Highland needed cash, we might let
- 13 him know that and ask for basically his assistance in
- 14 helping us to meet our own cash needs.
- 15 Q. And did he usually find a way to facilitate
- 16 the cash need either at one of his entities or at
- 17 Highland?
- 18 A. I suppose until October 16 of 2019.
- 9 Q. Yes. Prior to bankruptcy, do you recall any
- 20 instance where one entity wasn't able to transfer funds
- 21 to another for liquidity purposes?
- 22 A. I can't think of a specific situation. But
- 23 I'm sure there were situations where -- you know, cash
- 24 was always something that was being juggled, so I don't
- 25 know that necessarily liquidity could be met the same

30

- 1 practice at the enterprise of those businesses to
- 2 transfer funds between each other on a basis of when
- 3 one needed it and one had it?
- 4 A. Yes, that was a fairly, generally speaking,
- 5 that was a fairly common practice, of using different
- $\,\,^6\,\,$ entities within the overall structure to bridge
- 7 liquidity.
- 8 Q. Would that have been Mr. Dondero who, in the
- 9 final analysis, would have made those decisions?
- 10 A. Maybe not a hundred percent, but I'd say
- 11 the -- if not a hundred percent, certainly most.
- 12 Q. And who else might have participated,
- 13 Mr. Waterhouse?
- 4 A. Potentially Mr. Waterhouse. And the reason I
- 15 hedge on that a little bit is I don't think Frank would
- 16 have made any of these decisions on his own either.
- 17 But I may have heard them from Frank via Jim.
- 18 Q. So in those same years, were you ever asked
- 19 by Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse as to whether funds
- 20 should be transferred from one entity to another for
- 21 liquidity purposes?
- A. Can you ask that again, please.
- 23 Q. Yes. Trying to understand, were you part of
- 24 those discussions as to whether these transfers should
- 25 be made, or did you just learn that a decision to make

1 day.

2

- But eventually we were able to manage through
- 3 those situations, you know, oftentimes through some of
- 4 these loans.
 - 5 Q. In instances that you may remember when
- 6 Highland Capital Management needed liquidity, do you
- 7 know how Mr. Dondero decided from which other entity to
- 8 transfer the cash?
- 9 A. I can't step into his brain and think about
- 10 his decision-making process, but if I was going to
- 11 oversimplify it I would speculate that it would be
- 12 based on who has cash in that moment.
- 13 Q. Would he ask you or someone on your team who 14 had cash?
- 15 A. At times, depending on which entity we're
- 16 talking about. Because my team certainly didn't have
- 17 responsibility for every single entity in the
- 18 enterprise, but we did have responsibility for some.
- 19 Q. And if your team -- so -- strike that.
- 20 So over the general -- talking about
- 21 generally now, over those 10 years when there were
- 22 these intercompany transfers for liquidity purposes,
- 23 how were they booked by the debtor, by Highland Capital
- 24 Management?
 - MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

33 35 1 question. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) To your knowledge, was 2 THE WITNESS: Help me on the direction. So 2 there any written policy or procedure in place at 3 this is money that Highland is receiving or money that 3 Highland Capital Management with respect to how 4 Highland is sending? 4 transfers from Highland to an affiliated entity should 5 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sending out. 5 be booked or treated? 6 A. Sending out. So this is -- in the scenario A. No written policy or procedure that I'm aware that you're describing, this money that Highland is 7 of. sending out to meet some other corporate obligor's Q. Is it fair to say that by May 2019, the liquidity needs? 9 corporate accounting group had handled so many of these 10 Q. Yes, sir. 10 transfers that it believed that if Highland was 11 A. So those would be booked as a loan. I 11 transferring funds to another affiliated entity, it's 12 would -- I need to hedge a little bit because I'm not 12 probably a loan? 13 a hundred percent certain, but I would say if not 13 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the exclusively via loans close to exclusively. 14 question. 15 Q. And would they -- strike that. 15 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know that I can 16 Would they usually be papered up with a 16 answer that in terms of the corporate accounting team. 17 promissory note? That just feels way too broad. 18 A. Yes. 18 It was certainly the practice that when 19 Q. Now, why was that the general course during 19 somebody needed liquidity and it was appropriate from an 20 10 years? Was there a policy and procedure in place, 20 accounting perspective, that's how it would be booked. 21 or would Dondero say book it as a loan, or was that 21 And there was no reason to doubt that that was 22 just the right thing to do from an accounting 22 the appropriate way to do it, particularly with 23 perspective? 23 direction from either Frank or Jim. 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 24 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Is it your testimony that 25 question. 25 in each instance that happened, that either Frank or 34 36 THE WITNESS: At the end of the day it's at 1 Jim said, this is a loan, the "this" being the transfer 2 the direction of Jim Dondero, so I can't tell you 2 from Highland to an affiliated entity for liquidity 3 exactly why he wanted it to be done that way. But that 3 purposes? 4 was certainly the practice of how it was done in those 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 5 situations. 5 question Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) To your knowledge, did Jim THE WITNESS: I can't recall with that level 7 Dondero ever tell you or anyone else that when Highland 7 of specificity if those words came out of Jim's mouth. 8 is transferring funds to one of his affiliated entities 8 But with 0 percent doubt in my mind, every single one that it should always be booked as a loan? 9 of those loans was done with the authority of Jim or 10 A. So remembering 10 years' worth of 10 Frank, or both. 11 conversations, I can't remember a specific instance 11 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So going back to this 12 where he would have said, always book every single 12 Exhibit 14, now I'm going to ask you about these 13 payments coming in. 13 transaction I direct you to do as a loan. However, 14 that was the practice. 14 Assuming that these payments were actually 15 Q. Different question. 15 made in 2019 --16 Do you remember that in each instance, and 16 And I think, John, you sent me this morning, 17 again, that might be unfair over 10 years, but do you 17 or maybe last night, some bank statements? 18 remember in each instance when Mr. Dondero said 18 MR. MORRIS: I actually sent all of the

THE WITNESS: I can't recall with any
specificity what he may or may not have specifically
said so long ago.

19 transfer money from Highland to this other entity for

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

liquidity needs that he said book it as a loan?

21

22 question.

21

25

19 backup for all payments made, I think, under the notes

22 that these payments in 2019 that NexPoint made didn't

A. So I can't tell the column headers, so you'll

23 technically have to be made at that point in time, how24 would Highland have booked these payments?

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) How would -- so assuming

20 at issue a week or two ago.

39

40

1 have to excuse me if I flip them.

2 Q. They'll be on the first page. Rip the page 3 off if you need to.

A. First one is interest, second one is 5 principal. On the far right is the actual amount of the payment. So, for example, March 29, 750,000.

And the -- the column that has the negative 8 411,000 is the application of interest and the 338- is the application of principal.

Q. So again, if Highland -- strike that.

11 If NexPoint made a payment that was not 12 technically due at that point in time, it would be recorded as payments on principal and interest?

14 A. It would be recorded as it's reflected in the 15 schedule. So there's an application of interest and an 16 application of principal.

17 Q. So based on your understanding and 18 experience, if that payment wasn't due at that time, would it have been a prepayment by NexPoint? 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

21 question.

22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure that it's a 23 prepayment or not. It's certainly a payment. It's

24 certainly voluntary. It's not spelled out under the

25 schedule. I don't know that it's a per se, capital P,

1 question.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And his objection is

3 valid. And just to give you a little bit of a fine

point, does someone look at the promissory note to

5 decide that? Or is there some other rule or procedure

that someone looks at?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 8 question.

THE WITNESS: So the person -- I don't know 10 that I can specifically name a person because the role

11 probably changed over time. But either our corporate accountant, or the 12

corporate accountant's boss, which was Kristin Hendrix

14 for years, would have been responsible for recording and 15 tracking those payments.

So some combination of the corporate 17 accountant and Kristin would have applied those

18 payments, and that rolls up through my and Frank's

review ultimately.

20 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So if I can round off this 21 discussion, I think you told me a few minutes ago that

22 in each instance that Highland was transferring money

23 out to an affiliate.

24 Whether or not you remember Dondero or 25 Waterhouse saying it's a loan, it would have been a

38

1 prepayment. I'm just not certain.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, maybe without 3 respect to these specific transfers.

Generally, generally, if one of the Dondero 5 affiliates made a payment that wasn't scheduled, how 6 would the debtor have accounted for that payment?

A. It would have recorded the payment as a 8 reduction to either or both outstanding accrued interest or principal.

10 Q. You wouldn't call those prepayments?

11 A. I don't know the definition of prepayment.

12 It's a payment. It's off schedule, but I don't know

13 whether it's a per se prepayment.

Q. Would that be something in your experience

15 that we would look at the promissory note to maybe determine?

17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 18 question.

19 THE WITNESS: I don't know.

20 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, remember, I'm asking

21 you the same question just in different ways.

22 Who decides at the debtor, or how does the 23 debtor decide, if an unscheduled payment is made, how

24 to apply it?

25

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

1 loan because that's how it always was and it was always

2 authorized. Generally correct?

3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

4 question.

THE WITNESS: There were a few "always" and

"generallys" in there. And like I said, when it came

7 to liquidity needs, my recollection is that these would

8 be handled via loans.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And in reverse, if a

10 Dondero entity made a payment prior to a scheduled

11 payment on a note, generally there would be credit

12 against principal and/or interest provided on that

13 note?

14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

15 question.

THE WITNESS: Generally speaking, yes, if the 16

17 payment was for payment on the note.

18 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, that goes back to my 19 question.

20 Do you know how these payments on Exhibit 14

21 in 2019 were determined to be payments on these notes,

22 as opposed to a transfer from NexPoint to Highland for

23 some other reason?

24 A. What other reason would it be, if I can be so

25 bold.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

David Klos - October 27, 2021

41 43 Q. Can you think of any other reason in 2019? 1 THE WITNESS: Yeah, the premise of that, A. Well, Highland had -- Highland had shared given that Mr. Dondero is in control of both sides, 3 services and intercompany agreements with NexPoint, at 3 it's a faulty premise. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But you told me not that 4 this time. 5 5 long ago that in these weekly cash meetings that it But these were not payments that could 6 possibly be confused with those payments. These are would be your team at Highland who would go to off cycle, they're larger amounts, and there's nothing Mr. Dondero and say Highland has a liquidity issue. 8 So wouldn't that liquidity issue have 8 that they could be other than payments against the 9 originated with the Highland team? A. Mr. Dondero is the president of Highland. 10 Q. So I asked you before, and I think you said 11 He's the president of NexPoint. We're employees of 11 that you were speculating with respect to these 12 Highland. We're also shared services providers for 12 payments, that Highland needed money at that time. 13 NexPoint. 13 Do you recall in 2019 any discussions with 14 The waters are very muddy in terms of who is 14 anyone, Dondero or Waterhouse, to the effect that NexPoint has excess cash so maybe NexPoint should 15 wearing what hat in that conversation. O. But Mr. Dondero doesn't know that Highland 16 transfer some money to Highland? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. 17 has a liquidity issue unless someone from the corporate 18 18 accounting group tells him, does he? THE WITNESS: Do I still answer? 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 19 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes. 20 question. I hope that's not the case. 20 MR. MORRIS: Yes. 21 THE WITNESS: He has the ability to know what 21 THE WITNESS: And sorry, I got lost there. our cash position is at any given time, at that time. 22 O. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes. So my predicate was 23 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So why would you have 23 you testified before that you were assuming that these 24 these weekly cash meetings with Mr. Waterhouse and payments were because of a cash need at Highland; sometimes Mr. Dondero? 25 right? 42 44 1 1 A. So these were cash forecasts, looking at A. Correct. 2 outlook. I can tell you almost without exception, Q. So with that predicate my question is, do you 3 recall discussing with Dondero or Waterhouse or with maybe -- with maybe without exception, be speculating, 4 anyone as to why NexPoint would be transferring money but those forecasts would be showing negative numbers 5 to Highland at that time? at Highland, virtually nonstop. A. Yes, I would have had conversations with And so it was important, my opinion, but it 7 Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse. was probably important to Frank to make sure that he Q. And do you remember specifically in 2019 why was getting in front of Jim to make sure that those these transfers were made from NexPoint as opposed to needs were being addressed timely. 10 some other Dondero entity? 10 Q. So I've asked that question. I want to ask 11 A. Not with specificity, but certainly NexPoint 11 you a different question. 12 was generating cash at that time, and had the ability 12 For any of these payments between 13 to assist with Highland's liquidity. 13 January 2019 and August 2019 reflected on Exhibit 14, Q. So sitting here today, you've told me do you have any personal knowledge as to whether they 15 generally and logically that you have no specific 15 were intended to be prepayments or not? 16 memory why between January 2019 and August 2019, any of 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the these payments on Exhibit 14 were made by NexPoint? 17 question A. I have no specific memory, but I would say 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know whether they were 19 with certainty that most or all of this was driven by 19 intended to be prepayments at that time. 20 Highland HCMLP liquidity needs. 20 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sitting here today, seeing 21 Q. And most or all of this would have been 21 this document as a CPA and as a sophisticated person,

24

25 question.

22 do you read this Exhibit 14 to indicate that those

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

payments were booked as prepayments?

saying, hey, can you send us some cash?

25 question.

22 Highland in the first instance going to NexPoint and

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

David Klos - October 27, 2021

45

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the term "prepayments"

- $2 \;\;$ is the one I'm struggling with. I can ascertain that
- 3 there are payments and they're off schedule. But I
- 4 don't know that I can ascertain that they're
- 5 prepayments.
 - Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, if a borrower makes
- 7 a payment that's ahead of schedule, how would that
- 8 generally be accounted for?
- 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 10 question.
- 11 THE WITNESS: It would be accounted for as a
- 12 reduction of principal or interest or some combination
- 13 of the two.
- 14 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Which would relieve the
- 15 borrower of having to make that at some point in the
- 16 future; right?
- 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 18 question.
- 19 THE WITNESS: No. The borrower still owes
- 20 the money. This is showing 23-point -- pick a date.
- 21 May 31, 23.034-. That there's significant obligations
- 22 that are still outstanding.
- 23 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So on June 4, 2019 -- I'm
- 24 sorry, on June 19, 2019, the borrower made a
- 25 \$2.1 million payment. That's what this shows; correct?

- 1 that the maker may pay outstanding accrued interest, or
- 2 unpaid principal.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But my question is, as I
- 4 understand accrued interest, it means interest that has
- 5 already occurred or accrued as of the date, like
- 6 today's date; right?
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 9 question.
- 10 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you agree with that?
- 11 Do you agree with that? Accrued interest
- 12 means interest that has already come due, that has
- 13 actually happened because interest happens over time.
- 14 A. Accrued interest --
- **MR. MORRIS:** Objection to the form of the
- 16 question.
- 17 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Why don't you start. Why
- 18 don't you define for me accrued interest.
 - A. Sure. Accrued interest would be outstanding
- 20 and unpaid interest that -- sorry, it's hard to define
- 21 it without using the term. But it's interest that's
- 22 accumulated in respect of a principal amount through a
- 23 given date.
- Q. So how do you prepay accrued interest?
- 25 A. How do you prepay accrued interest. Again,

- 1 A. I see that.
- Q. You're not saying that the borrower would
- 3 ever have to make that same \$2.1 million payment again,
- 4 are you?
- 5 A. No. What I'm saying is, based on that 2.1-
- 6 payment -- and this is reading this cold.
- 7 But based on that 2.1- payment, 66,000 was
- 8 applied to interest, which left zero accrued interest
- 9 outstanding. 2.03- applied to principal, which left
- 10 24.7- and change still outstanding.
- 11 Q. Well, I'm going to ask you about the
- 12 promissory note then, Exhibit 13, in particular
- 13 Section 3, where it says prepayment allowed.
- 14 And the first sentence says, may or -- pardon
- 15 me, maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid
- 16 principal or accrued interest of this note.
- Do you see that, sir?
- 18 A. Yes, I see that.
- 19 Q. In your experience, can someone prepay
- 20 accrued interest?
- 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 22 question.
- THE WITNESS: The document reads, maker may
- 24 prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or
- 25 accrued interest of this note. So I read that to say

- 1 that's a little bit of a mental jumble.
- 2 Q. Exactly.
- 3 A. Well, what I'm...
- 4 Q. To me one pays accrued interest. But this
- 5 note says you can prepay accrued interest. So I'm just
- 6 seeing whether you as a CPA, CFO and controller for
- 7 years agrees that one can prepay accrued interest?
- 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 9 question.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Frankly, I don't know if it's
- 11 possible. That's not how I'm seeing it applied here,
- 12 based on the quick review of Exhibit 14.
- Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, the next sentence
- 14 says, any payments on this note shall be applied first
- 15 to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid
- 16 principal hereof.
- Do you see that, sir?
- 18 A. I see that.
- 19 Q. Do you have any understanding based either on
- 20 your personal knowledge or in your expertise as a CPA
- 21 and a CFO as to what that sentence means?
- 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 23 question.
- 24 THE WITNESS: The way that I would read that
- 25 would be that for a payment, for example, pick a date,

52

David Klos - October 27, 2021

49

1

1 Exhibit 14 again, the \$2.1 million payment on or about

2 June 19. I see that a payment was made.

3 And it was -- it appears that there was

4 accrued and unpaid interest at that time of 66,000. And

5 so the first 66,000 was applied to outstanding accrued

interest, to bring the balance to zero.

And the difference between that 66,000 and the

8 2.1 million was applied to principal.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you believe, whether

10 from personal knowledge from this note, Exhibit 13, or

11 your experience at Highland or as a CPA, that one can

12 say that interest, accrued interest will be due on a

13 future date, it will accrue by that date, but I'm going

14 to pay it earlier as of that date?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

16 question.

17 THE WITNESS: If I can rephrase back to you

18 just so I make sure I'm understanding the question.

9 You're saying could someone say, I would like to prepay

20 interest into the future. It hasn't accrued yet, but

21 it will be accrued by end of year.

22 And I would like to be prepaid effectively

23 with respect to that interest, and then have the

24 remainder used to pay down principal.

The question is, can someone do that?

1 believe that has been done in a specific circumstance.

Q. So at least at Highland, you would believe

3 that that phrase, prepaying accrued interest, had some

4 established meaning at Highland?

5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

6 question.

7 THE WITNESS: No, I don't agree with that.

8 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Okay. You understand, of

9 course, that it's Highland's position that with respect

10 to this note, a payment was due on December 31 of 2020

11 that wasn't made; correct?

12 A. Yes, it's my understanding -- if I can state

13 it back just so I make sure I'm getting it correctly.

14 It's my understanding that there was a payment due on

15 December 31, 2020, that wasn't made timely, yes.

16 Q. Okay. Do you know why that payment wasn't

17 made timely?

18 A. By recollection, because Mr. Dondero had

19 directed people not to process payments from Highland

20 affiliates to Highland.

21 Q. When did you learn of that?

22 A. Early December 2020.

Q. How did you learn of that?

24 A. I don't specifically remember the

25 conversation, but I know I had conversations with both

50

1 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes.

MR. MORRIS: I object to the question.

3 THE WITNESS: I suppose it's possible, but

4 that certainly wasn't the practice if that makes sense.

5 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) That does make sense. I'm

6 still struggling, and again, I'm not trying to be a

7 smart aleck. I'm still struggling with the first

8 sentence of paragraph 3, that maker may prepay accrued

9 interest.

2

10 And it sounds like to me like you don't

11 necessarily have a definitive answer as to what that

12 might have meant either.

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

14 question.

15 THE WITNESS: I think the document speaks for

16 itself in that sentence.

17 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But have you seen

18 something like this, to your recollection, in other

19 Highland promissory notes?

20 A. Something like what?

21 Q. Prepaying accrued interest.

22 A. Yes, I have seen that.

Q. What's your memory? Where have you seen

24 that?

25

A. I can't remember a specific note, but I

1 Kristin and Frank. I can't remember if those were

2 individual or collective, but we understood that to be

3 the marching orders.

4 Q. Did you hear Mr. Dondero say anything like

5 that?

6 A. I did not.

7 Q. Did Mr. Waterhouse tell you that Mr. Dondero

8 said something like that to him?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. Separately, do you remember whether

11 Ms. Hendrix told you that Mr. Waterhouse told her that,

12 or would it have been kind of at the same meeting?

13 A. I don't remember specifically. It would have

14 been all around the same time.

15 Q. And to the best of your recollection, what

16 words -- strike that.

17 To the best of your recollection, did

18 Mr. Waterhouse include a reference to promissory notes

19 and the Advisors when he said that Dondero told him not

20 to make payments?

21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

22 question.

23 THE WITNESS: I don't remember the specific

24 words that Mr. Waterhouse used. My clear impression

25 was that it was a very global instruction.

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

David Klos - October 27, 2021

53 55 1 And I should clarify also that, you know, at 1 analysis, right or wrong, suggested that the Advisors 2 this time, I think as we covered in my background. 2 had made large overpayments? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 3 At this point I had assumed the chief 4 question. 4 accounting officer role, so I wasn't necessarily in 5 THE WITNESS: No, that's incorrect. 5 the -- in as much of the chain of command as I had been previously to taking that role, where that sort of thing 6 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Why is that incorrect? 7 might have come from Frank, to me, to Kristin. A. Because by recollection, to the best of my 8 8 recollection, that analysis didn't occur until after By this time, Frank and Kristin were 9 communicating and I was sometimes in the loop, sometimes Dondero had told Frank no more payments. 10 not. 10 Q. Is that the only reason why you might suspect 11 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Did Mr. Waterhouse tell 11 that what I just said was incorrect? 12 you why Dondero had told him that? 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 13 A. I don't remember with any specificity. 13 question. 14 However, my perception at the time was that at this 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know how to 15 time the relationship between Mr. Dondero and Mr. Seery 15 answer that. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I'm going back, when I was hopelessly broken, and that this was Jim Dondero, 16 17 asked you, did Waterhouse tell you why Dondero gave the you know, gearing up for a fight in the future. Q. Prior to December of 2020, had you prepared a direction, you said no. 19 report showing potential overpayments that NexPoint and 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 20 HCMFA had made on account of shared services and 20 question. 21 21 payroll reimbursement? THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm not sure. If I 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 22 could have the question asked again, I'd be happy to 23 answer. 23 question. 24 You can answer. 24 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I'll ask it again. 25 THE WITNESS: I know the analysis that you're 25 Mr. Waterhouse tells you that Mr. Dondero 54 56 1 talking about. I would not characterize it the way 1 basically said no more payments; right? 2 that you characterized it. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And we'll talk about this 3 Q. And, but he did not tell you why Mr. Dondero 4 more in November, so I really don't want to go into any 4 said that? 5 detail, unless you feel the need to. 5 A. Not that I can recall. 6 But, so you did not prepare that analysis? O. So he might have? 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 7 A. He might have. I don't specifically 8 question. 8 THE WITNESS: I prepared an analysis that Q. Do you recall asking him or anyone else why 10 differed from how you described it. 10 Dondero would have said that? Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) How would you describe it, 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. 12 in a nutshell? 12 THE WITNESS: I don't recall specifically A. I would describe it as I was asked to refresh 13 asking. 14 a spreadsheet using certain assumptions, based on the Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you recall telling 15 direction of Frank Waterhouse, and I updated and I sent 15 Mr. Seery that Dondero said anything like that? 16 him an email. 16 A. At what point in time? Q. Do you have any understanding that that 17 Q. Prior to December 31, 2020. 18 analysis was then shared with Mr. Dondero by 18 A. No, I did not. I did not say that to 19 Mr. Waterhouse? 19 Mr. Seery. A. I know that now. I didn't know that at the 20 20 Q. In your mind was there any present 21 time. 21 understanding or concern that NexPoint therefore 22 Q. Do you have any understanding -- strike that. 22 wouldn't make a scheduled December 31, 2020, payment? A. Was there any concern that they wouldn't? 23 Did you have any understanding that as of 23 24 early December 2020 the reason why Mr. Dondero said 24 O. Yeah.

25

25 what he said to Mr. Waterhouse was because that

A. I would never use the word "concern." At

David Klos - October 27, 2021

57

- 1 that point I wasn't even on the team anymore, so I hate
- 2 to say it's other people's problem, but I had my hands
- 3 full with plenty of other things. It wasn't something
- 4 I was thinking about.
- 5 Q. Do you remember here today that prior to
- 6 December 31, 2020, you believed that NexPoint would not
- 7 make the scheduled payment?
- 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 9 question.
- 10 THE WITNESS: I had no idea whether NexPoint
- 11 was going to make the payment.
- 12 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Were you asked prior to
- 13 December 31, 2020 by Mr. Seery or anyone else as to
- 14 whether NexPoint was going to make that payment?
- 15 A. Was I asked by Mr. Seery? Not that I can
- 16 remember.
- 17 Q. Prior to December 31, 2020, do you recall any
- 18 discussion with Mr. Seery about the NexPoint note?
- 19 MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, can I have the
- 20 question again.
- Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Prior to December 31,
- 22 2020, do you recall any discussion that you had with
- 23 Mr. Seery about this NexPoint note?
- A. Not that I can remember. If there was, it
- 25 would have been in a cash meeting, but I don't remember

- 1 and change payment?
- 2 A. Yeah, I'm aware that that payment happened.
- 3 Q. When did you become aware of that payment?
- 4 A. I think after it happened.
- 5 Q. Can you tell us, was it days, weeks, months
- 6 later?
- A. It was that day. And if I can expand, I
- 8 recall getting an email, seeing a large inflow to
- 9 Highland, to MLP because I was on an email distribution
- 10 list that had those payments.
- 11 And I think I emailed or called Kristin and
- 12 asked her, is this the NexPoint note, because it was a
- 13 large amount of money. And she said yes.
- 14 Q. Did she tell you anything more about that
- 15 payment, when it had been made, why, who authorized it?
- 16 A. I had that information of when it had been
- 17 sent. I had a wire confirm.
- 18 Q. Only important thing to you is where did that
- 19 money come from?
- 20 A. It wasn't important to me. It was more
- 21 curiosity.
- 22 Q. Did you have any discussions with anyone on
- 23 or about that time, January 14, 2021, as to why
- 24 NexPoint made that payment?
- 25 A. Not that I can remember.

58 60

- 1 Q. Did you have any discussion with anybody on 2 or about that time, January 14, 2021, as to how HCMLP
- 3 should account for that payment?
- A. No.
- 5 Q. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Seery at
- 6 all about whether that payment should or shouldn't
- 7 reinstate the note?
- 8 A. No discussion that I can remember.
- 9 Q. Is it fair to say that any of those
- 10 considerations would have been at that point in time
- 11 above your paygrade?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 13 question.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah, paygrade, I don't know
- 15 how to respond to that. Like I said before, I wasn't
- 16 on the team at that point. I wouldn't have been
- 17 involved in that determination regardless of my
- 18 compensation.
- 19 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you know and you
- $20 \quad remember \ that \ in \ early \ December \ 2020 \ Frank \ Waterhouse$
- 21 told you that Dondero had directed no more payments by
- 22 the Advisors. And you know that a payment was made on
- 23 January 14.
- 24 And that's pretty much the extent of your
- 25 knowledge about the missed December 31 payment?

1 at all.

- 2 Q. So it might have been some detail as part of
- 3 a larger discussion, but you don't remember any
- 4 specific discussion just around this note?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. When did you learn or how did you learn that
- 7 the December 31 payment had not been made?
- 8 A. I'm not sure, but certainly after
- 9 December 31.
- 10 Q. Do you recall if it was before or after
- 11 **January** 7?
- 12 A. I think it was after.
- 13 Q. The default letter from Highland is in here,
- 14 if you need to see it. I'm just telling you it's the
- 15 January 7.
- 16 Do you recall having any role with respect to
- 17 drafting the default letter that went out to NexPoint
- 18 after the failed payment?
- 19 A. No, none that I can remember.
- 20 Q. How do you recall learning that the note had
- 21 been called by Highland?
- 22 A. I honestly don't remember. I think after the
- 23 fact. I couldn't tell you how far after the fact.
- Q. Are you aware that on or about July -- I'm
- 25 sorry, January 14, 2021 NexPoint made a \$1.4 million

David Klos - October 27, 2021

61 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the Q. Do you remember any discussion at that

1 2 question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a very broad 3

4 question. In general terms, yes.

5 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, I'm not asking what 6 you learned since then.

I'm asking that as of, let's say, January 15,

8 2021 that would have been the extent of what you would

have known?

10 A. Correct. And if I can just restate and make

11 sure I understand what I'm saying.

12 It would have been my understanding that we 13 had had an instruction -- when I say "we," Kristin and

14 Frank and by default the whole corporate team -- not to

15 make payments from these affiliated entities.

16 To my knowledge, none of those payments had

17 occurred since that point. And then on or about

18 January 14, such a payment was made and I found out

about that by seeing a wire confirm.

20 Q. Well, you mentioned a couple times that you,

21 in December 2020, you weren't part of that group

22 anymore. So do you have any understanding as to why

23 Mr. Waterhouse would have told you in particular, you

24 being Mr. Klos, about that instruction from Dondero?

A. Sure. I still was participating in cash

2 approximate point in time for your cash meetings or

anything else as to whether NexPoint had made any

prepayments on the promissory note?

5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

6

10

11

13

7 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's very hard to -- by

8 the way, I've said yeah a few times. I want to make

clear that that's just --

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) That's not a yes?

A. I apologize for that.

12 Q. Understood. Yeah means, it's not a yes.

MR. MORRIS: It's a pause; it's an um.

14 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Germans call it flavoring 15 particle.

16 A. Sorry, I got lost there. If you can ask

17 again.

1

18 Q. Yeah. Do you recall in November or

19 December 2020 in your weekly meetings or anything else,

20 any discussion whatsoever concerning whether NexPoint

21 had made any prepayments on its note?

22 A. No discussions of whether or not there had

23 been a prepayment that I can remember, no.

24 Q. To the best of your knowledge sitting here

25 today -- strike that.

62 64

2 that Exhibit 14 is what it appears to be.

A. Sure, sure.

4 Q. So with that qualification, to the best of

5 your knowledge, other than what's on Exhibit 14, can

you think of any other record or source or document

that would address whether any unscheduled payments by

For my next question, again we're assuming

NexPoint would or wouldn't be prepayments on the note?

9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 10

question.

11 THE WITNESS: Again, with the struggle of the

12 prepayment, this is the document that I would expect to

13 explain how the payment was applied.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But you yourself did not

15 play any role in deciding how the payment would be 16 applied?

17 A. I'd hesitate to say no role, because the team

18 ultimately rolls up to me.

19 Q. You personally?

20 A. Me personally, I wouldn't have prepared these

21 schedules.

22 Q. Or decided, you personally, as Mr. Klos, how

23 any unscheduled payments should be accounted for by

24 Highland?

A. Correct, not without some -- some

1 meetings, even if it was almost in a nominal role,

2 because of some of my history that I had. So I was

3 still participating in those meetings.

I've worked closely with Kristin for a long 4 5 time, so I may have caught up with her informally. But 6 as far as day-to-day duties, I wasn't part of that team

7 anymore.

Q. And is it your, did I understand you

correctly, is it your testimony that Mr. Waterhouse

10 informed the whole accounting group there, the

11 corporate accounting group, of Mr. Dondero's

12 instruction?

13 A. I don't know specifically who he told, if he

14 told every single member of the team, but he certainly

15 told Kristin and Kristin was the head of the team.

16 Q. And you don't recall anyone, after you heard 17 about that instruction, raising any concern to the

18 effect that NexPoint is going to default and be in

19 trouble if that payment isn't made?

20 A. I don't remember any discussion to that

21 effect.

22 Q. Do you remember anyone suggesting that they

23 ought to try to dissuade Mr. Dondero from that

24 direction?

25 A. Not that I can remember.

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

David Klos - October 27, 2021

67 65 1 authoritative direction on how they should be applied. 1 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Are you familiar with 2 O. And that authoritative direction would have 2 Exhibits 1 and 2, sir? 3 come from Mr. Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero? A. Yes, I am. A. That's what I would expect. 4 Q. Do you remember them from back -- strike 5 that. Q. Could it have come from anyone else that you 6 can think of here today? 6 Did you have any role, to your knowledge, A. Not that I can think of. 7 with the preparation of Exhibits 1 and/or 2? 8 A. With the preparation of the documents? 8 Q. Now we're going to switch gears and I think 9 9 we're going to stop discussing the NexPoint note, and Q. Yeah. 10 A. No. 10 we're going to focus on the HCMFA two promissory notes. 11 Q. But you did have some role with these 11 12 promissory notes? 12 Q. So we're going to go back in time to 13 A. Yes. 13 May 2019; okay? 14 Q. And I'm trying to find that email as well. 14 A. Sure. 15 Q. And is it fair to say by -- that by May 2019 15 There's an email here from you. I'll have it in a 16 moment. That will help frame the question. 16 there were at least dozens if not hundreds of instances MR. MORRIS: Exhibit 3. 17 of intercompany loans in the years leading up there 17 18 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you recall that email, from Highland to one of the other entities? 19 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 20 A. Not specifically, but it's right in front of 20 question. 21 me. I'm certain that I wrote this email. 21 THE WITNESS: From Highland to one of the 22 Q. You have no reason to deny or reject its 22 other entities. Can you help with other entities. 23 authenticity? 23 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Advisors, the trusts, any 24 A. I have no reason to reject it or question it. 24 of the Dondero entities? 25 Q. Just give me a second. I don't understand 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 66 68 1 question. 1 what's going on with my exhibits. I just don't 2 understand this. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, there would have been many 3 loans over the years. 3 (Off the record.) Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And do I understand that 4 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You have Exhibit 3 in 5 most, if not all, of those loans should have been 5 front of you? 6 papered up with a written promissory note? A. I do. 7 7 Q. And it says, please send 2.4 million from MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 8 question. HCMLP to HCMFA. This is a new interco. THE WITNESS: Should have been. To the Meaning intercompany; right? 10 extent that they were for a promissory note, then yes. 10 A. Correct. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So in the May 2019 time 11 O. This is a new intercompany loan. 12 frame, was there a regular pattern or course or 12 Who told you that this was an intercompany 13 procedure in place as to how a promissory note would be 13 loan? physically prepared and presented for approval? 14 A. Either Frank or Jim. I would suspect Frank. 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 15 Q. Do you have any present memory of him telling 16 question. 16 you that with respect to this particular loan? 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah, when you say a process, A. I don't have a specific recollection, but 17 18 can you please clarify that for me. 18 with a hundred percent certainty he or Jim would have Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sure. Let's look at these 19 directed that. 20 two promissory notes and maybe that will help frame the Q. Would they have directed the payment, or 20 21 would they have directed that it be papered as a loan, question. And I apologize for not having them right 22 here. 22 or both?

23

24

25 step back.

Q. So in each instance -- well, let's take a

MR. MORRIS: 1 and 2.

MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.

A. It might be --

23

24

72

69

1 So certainly either Jim or Frank directed you 2 to transfer the \$2.4 million; correct?

3 A. Either Jim or Frank would have directed, yes.

4 There's 0 percent chance I would have sent this email

5 if I didn't feel a hundred percent confident that this

 $\,\,6\,\,$ was authorized in the way that I described in the

7 email.

8 Q. But can you also say with certainty that

9 either Dondero or Waterhouse also told you that this

10 transfer is an intercompany loan?

11 A. With a hundred percent certainty, yes. I

12 can't say that necessarily with respect to Dondero,

13 because I don't remember if I would have talked to him

14 specifically about it. But, yes, this would have been

15 clear that it's a loan.

16 Q. You say clear. Did someone tell you that

17 it's a loan, or are you just, because of the prior

18 10 years of course and conduct, logically deciding that

9 it has to be a loan?

20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

21 question.

22 THE WITNESS: So this is -- this is not just

23 a situation of past practice. I would have known with

24 certainty that this was a loan and that's what was

25 authorized.

1 within that fund.

2 Q. Who made that NAV error?

3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

4 question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's hard to answer that.

6 So the Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors is the

7 advisor to the fund, so they're the responsible party

8 for making the fund whole in the instances of NAV

9 errors

10 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And did HCMFA contract out

11 with Highland for valuation services?

12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

13 question.

14 THE WITNESS: I don't specifically remember

15 if they contracted for valuation services, but if you

16 tell me that they did, I'll take that at face value.

17 So yes, HCMFA utilized HCMLP for valuation services.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you have any memory of

What human being or beings made that NAV error?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

21 question.

22 THE WITNESS: It's -- in respect to people,

23 not particularly. In respect to parties, Houlihan

24 Lokey was the service provider that performed the

25 valuation that resulted in the NAV error.

70

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) How would you have known

2 with certainty that it was a loan?

3 A. I'll say in part because of past practice,

4 but also because of the nature of what the money was

5 going to be used for, and the background behind it.

Q. So you knew that nature and that background?
 A. The nature and background of the 2.4 million,

8 yes.

9 Q. So you've told me that in part -- I asked you

10 how did you know it was a loan. You said in part past

11 practices, in part you knew the nature. Anything else?

12 A. I'm certain that given that I wrote this

13 email, which Frank is on, that I would have had a

14 conversation with Frank about what this was.

15 Q. Was Jim Dondero in the corporate accounting

16 email?

17 A. No, he wasn't.

18 Q. So what is your understanding as to what this

19 \$2.4 million was for?

0 A. This related to -- well, to separate the

21 transaction, the 2.4- itself relates to a promissory

22 note. That's what was executed.

23 HCMFA's use of the 2.4 million was to

24 reimburse a fund that it managed called Highland Global

25 Allocation Fund for a NAV error that had occurred

1 And as I described before, the valuation

2 function was housed at HCMLP by HCMLP employees

3 supporting that through, among other people, front

4 office, compliance, other parts of the organization as

5 well.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So it was your

7 understanding that Highland was loaning \$2.4 million to

8 HCMFA for HCMFA to compensate that fund?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Did you have any understanding that Highland

11 might have been, instead of loaning that money,

12 actually paying that money to HCMFA to compensate HCMFA

13 for Highland's valuation error?

14 A. First, not Highland's valuation error. But

15 second, no, there's no way that that would have been

16 what that payment was for.

17 Q. Why can you say that there's no way that that

18 would have been what that payment was for?

19 A. First, this wasn't the first NAV error that

20 ever occurred. There had been other NAV errors. There

21 were other NAV errors with respect to this valuation

22 that pertain to NexPoint Advisors.

23 There was no reimbursement from HCMLP to

24 NexPoint or HCMFA, regardless of any individual being

25 identified as the person. That had just never occurred

73 75 1 to my knowledge. 1 HCMFA for the liquidity. HCMFA made the payment to the 2 Second, the amount was to meet the liquidity 2 fund. It wasn't dollar for dollar. I think it was 3 need of HCMFA. It wasn't to -- it wasn't to 3 like 5,019,000, or some such number. 4 dollar-for-dollar make up for the NAV error. It was But 5 million was the number that would allow 5 that's how much money HCMFA needed. 5 it to make that payment effectively to the investors of Third, it was definitely Dondero's practice 6 Global Allocation Fund. 7 and preference to have expenses at HCMFA for tax Q. Do you have any understanding as to why 8 purposes. So if this was compensation, he would 8 Highland, as opposed to some other entity, was ultimately not really be benefiting from the deduction transferring \$7.4 million? 10 so. 10 A. Highland as opposed to some other entity? 11 That would have been a strong preference of 11 Q. Uh-huh. 12 A. Because Highland had the money. 12 his against having it be compensation. 13 So it would have been excruciatingly clear 13 Q. But I think we've established earlier that in 14 that this was a loan for liquidity for HCMFA to make 14 the first seven months of 2019, Highland was having 15 the fund whole, just like it had in the past NAV 15 constant liquidity issues? 16 errors. 16 A. It was. **17** Q. How did you know that HCMFA needed 17 Q. And that's part of the reason that NexPoint 18 \$2.4 million for liquidity? 18 was making unscheduled payments on its note; right? A. At that point I was still part of the A. That's part of the reason NexPoint was making 20 corporate team, so I had a good sense of how much cash 20 unscheduled payments on its note, yes. 21 HCMFA would have had at any given moment. And at that 21 Q. So your recollection is that HCMFA needed given moment it would not have had -- I'd be shocked if 22 \$2.4 million for liquidity purposes and about 23 it had even 2.4-. 23 \$5 million for the consent fee. And Highland 24 Probably would have had probably between 24 transferred those funds because Highland had the funds? 25 a million and 2 million if I had to speculate. A. Yes. And I should clarify that Highland only 74 76 1 Q. Okay. So you've given the reasons why this 1 had the funds because Mr. Dondero repaid personal notes 2 was clearly a loan. 2 to HCMLP on the same days. 3 But you never heard Mr. Dondero say that this So he paid 2.4 million on May 2, which 4 was a loan, did you? 4 Highland turned around and reloaned. And he paid 4.4-5 A. I don't remember. It's possible I did, but I on May 3, and Highland sent out 5-, so there's a 6 don't specifically remember. 6 \$600,000 difference. And my recollection, he paid the Q. Okay. What about the \$5 million loan on the other 600,000 via note repayment within a few days. day after? What was that \$5 million for? Q. So this would have been part of some broader A. That was similar but different. So again, 9 transaction in Mr. Dondero's mind? 10 HCMFA needed liquidity. This time this was for --10 A. I would not characterize it that way. 11 related to that same fund. 11 Q. You established that HCMFA needed money. You 12 So Highland Global Allocation Fund had 12 established that Highland temporarily had money because

13 converted from an open-end fund, mutual fund, to a 14 closed-end mutual fund. 15

And pursuant to that conversion there was a, 16 I believe it was called a consent fee, for any 17 investors of that fund who consented to the conversion,

18 that they would receive a 3 percent fee payable by the

19 investment advisor.

20 And so at this time the bill came due on that because the conversion had been completed, and the

accounting for how much that 3 percent was going to be

23 was complete.

24 HCMFA sure as hell didn't have 5 million 25 bucks. Excuse my language. Highland needed to pay 13 Dondero provided it with money. But you still don't know, sir, as a fact as 15 to whether that transfer was a loan or some other 16 payment from HCMFA -- I'm sorry from HCM, from debtor

18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 19 question. Asked and answered a million times. It's in the documents you're showing him. 21 THE WITNESS: It was a loan.

22 MR. MORRIS: Come on, Davor. With all due

23 respect, it's in the document. It's on the document. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I'm being courteous and 24

25 respectful to you and I'd ask the same in return; okay?

80

77

- A. Absolutely. I apologize if I haven't been.
- 2 Q. Mr. Dondero, would you agree, was the only
- 3 person that had the authority at the debtor to
- 4 authorize a transfer of 2.4- and then \$5 million?
- 5 A. At the debtor?
- 6 **MR. MORRIS:** Objection to the form of the 7 question.
- 8 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes, at the debtor.
- q A. No.

1

- 10 Q. Who else could have transferred 2.4 million
- 11 or \$5 million?
- A. Those are two different questions. But if
- you're asking who had the authority, certainly Frank
- did as well.
- 15 Q. So Frank had the authority. Perhaps my
- question was inartful.
- 17 Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse would have
- decided to transfer \$2.4 million or \$5 million without
- Mr. Dondero's approval?
- 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 21 question.

1

- 22 THE WITNESS: Generally speaking, no, but I
- 23 don't know exactly what the form of the approval. But
- 24 he certainly wouldn't have done that on his own without
- 25 discussing with Dondero.

- 1 into the note on behalf of HCMFA, yes.
 - Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Was that something that he
 - 3 would have done without Mr. Dondero's approval to your
 - understanding and practice at that time?
 - MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
 - 6 question.
 - THE WITNESS: Same answer that I gave before
 - with respect to Highland.
 - Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So here's where I'm going 10 with all this.
 - 11 Mr. Dondero's position, and tomorrow his
 - 12 testimony will be, that he caused the \$7.4 million to
 - 13 be transferred not as a loan to HCMFA, but to
 - 14 compensate HCMFA for various things including that NAV
 - 15 error.
 - 16 Other than perhaps you think he's lying,
 - 17 would you have any knowledge, hearsay, document,
 - 18 anything, to contradict Mr. Dondero's position?
 - MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 19
 - 20 question.
 - 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. I would point to the fact
 - 22 that as it pertains to the \$5 million note, if we're
 - separating issues, there's no other possibility of what 23
 - that money could be other than either a loan or equity.
 - 25 It's not compensation. Highland is under --

78

- Mr. Waterhouse had the ability on behalf of the debtor
- 3 to loan \$5 million without Mr. Dondero's approval?

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you believe that

- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 4
- 5 question. 6 THE WITNESS: I think he had the technical
- 7 authority to. However, I don't believe in practice
- 8 that he ever would.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Same question, \$2.4 10 million?
- 11 A. Same answer.
- Q. We've established that you never really had a
- 13 direct employment or types of a role for NexPoint --
- 14 I'm sorry, for HCMFA; right?
- 15 A. Again --
- 16 Q. To the best of your recollection?
- A. Best of my recollection I can't remember how
- 18 the titles transferred over or whatever, but I don't
- 19
- 20 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse in 2019
- had the authority, without Mr. Dondero's approval, to
- 22 borrow \$7.4 million on behalf of HCMFA?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 23
- 24 question.
- 25 THE WITNESS: He had the authority to enter

- 1 HCMLP has absolutely zero obligation in respect to that
- 2 consent fee. So when Highland sends \$5 million to HCMFA
- there's nothing else that it can be. That's Point 1.
- Point 2, we're right in the middle of an audit
- 5 at this point. Jim signs rep letters at this point.
- 6 He's being provided balance sheets throughout 2019 that
- 7 indicate the loans that Highland has on its books.
- Balance sheets are being prepared in respect
- of annual approvals for 15(c) for retail funds in the
- 10 fall. Schedules are being created for bankruptcy after
- 11 we file in October.
- Nobody says this is a mistake. Frank is on
- 13 all of these emails. Frank never questions it.
- There's absolutely no evidence from that point
- 15 in time to whenever this defense got raised that would
- 16 indicate that anybody said that these weren't exactly
- 17 what they say they are.
- 18 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Are you aware that in
- 19 February or March 2019 some \$5.2 million was paid from
- 20 insurance that HCMFA had to the fund for the NAV error?
- 21 A. The amount sounds unfamiliar, but I'm aware
- 22 that insurance proceeds were paid from HCMFA to the
- 23 fund.
- 24 Q. And do you think that it's impossible for a
- 25 sane, rational person to conclude that HCMFA had a

81 83 1 claim against the debtor related to that NAV error? 1 A. Yes, I am. I believe Kristin sent that one. 2 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 2 O. Kristin sent that one? 3 question. 3 A. I believe so. 4 THE WITNESS: If it did, I don't know how 4 O. To whom? A. Likely the same distribution group, but 5 that's not insurance fraud for basically double 6 collecting insurance proceeds and then collecting it 6 that's speculation. 7 Q. Did you see such an email in the last week or again. 8 two? Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you believe, sir, that 8 if insurance pays a claim you have no more right to go A. I'm not certain, but probably. I have seen 10 email communication on or around May 3, but I don't against a person who caused the fault? 11 know specifically who all was on the email. I'm going 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 12 question. 12 off what I would expect to see. 13 MR. MORRIS: If you're really interested, 13 THE WITNESS: We can speak specifically here. 14 it's right here. It was produced to you with 14 This is about a NAV error that an insurance company 15 reimbursed HCMFA for, which it then turned around and 15 Bates 3763. And if you'd like to question the witness. **MR. RUKAVINA:** When was it produced? 16 paid for the fund. 17 17 So if it went to collect that same, let's use MR. MORRIS: I can't tell you. It's part of 18 the same package. 18 round numbers, \$5 million from Highland that it's Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So going back to this already collected from insurance, that sounds Exhibit 3, sir, why did you ask Kristin, can you or inappropriate to me. Hayley please prep a note for execution? Why them? 21 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Okay. But you don't know 22 Remember, I was asking about what the course 22 whether that's allowed in Texas law or not, do you? 23 or procedure was at that point in time. 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 24 A. Yeah, so nomenclature, procedure, process. 24 question. 25 I would say the informal process for these 25 THE WITNESS: No, I don't know whether it's 82 84 1 allowed under Texas law. 1 types of loans, they were frequent in nature, would be Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you don't know that if for someone on the corporate accounting team to prepare 3 you're hit by someone on the street and your medical a note and have it executed. 4 insurance pays your bills, you don't know that he still Q. Okay. That was the standard course back 5 has to pay you for the same bills? 5 then? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the A. Again, I don't know what standard course 6 7 question. I hope I don't miss my plane. means. That was fairly typical. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You don't know that under Q. Why would you not have asked someone in the Texas law if someone hits you with their car and causes Highland legal department to prepare a note? you medical bills and your medical insurance pays those A. Because this was a legally reviewed document 11 bills, that you can still sue them for the same 11 as far as the form of the agreement. It's a one-page, 12 damages? 12 two-paragraph form that had been used for a long time. 13 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 13 So the only thing that would change with 14 14 respect to these notes would be the date, the amount, 15 THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar at any level 15 likely the rate. I can't think of anything else 16 of specificity with Texas law. 16 offhand that would have changed from note to note. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Again, it just sounds Q. After you asked Ms. Hendrix to prepare this 18 wrong to you that you could go after someone after 18 note, did you have any further role with respect to the 19 insurance pays, but you don't know legally one way or 19 papering, preparation, or execution of that note? 20 A. Not that I can remember.

20 the other? 21 A. Correct. I'm not a lawyer or expert in Texas 22 law. It feels wrong, yes.

Q. Okay. Going back to this email of yours,

24 Exhibit 3, do you recall whether there was a similar

25 email with respect to the \$5 million note?

Dickman Davenport, Inc www.dickmandavenport.com

21

24

25

Q. Would you have had any role in having either

22 or both of the notes actually signed electronically or

Q. Do you know who decided to have

23 by ink by Mr. Waterhouse?

A. Likely not, no.

87 85 1 Mr. Waterhouse as opposed to Mr. Dondero sign these two Q. Did you have any understanding in early May 2 promissory notes? of 2019 as to whether HCMFA was solvent or insolvent? A. I don't. 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 3 4 Q. On the \$5 million note, do you remember if 4 question. 5 you had any role with respect to its physical papering 5 THE WITNESS: Whether HCMFA was solvent or 6 or execution? 6 insolvent? I'm not a solvency expert, so I don't know A. Not that I recall. that I could even attempt to answer that. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Did you have an 8 Q. To the best of your memory, your role would 9 have been done by instructing your team, hey, here is understanding as far as HCMFA goes on May 2, 2019, that 10 its liabilities exceeded its assets? 10 these new loans, go paper it up; is that accurate? 11 A. On the upfront side. I suppose my role would 11 A. I don't remember specifically where it stood 12 have also included on the back end making sure that the 12 on assets versus liabilities. 13 actual payment had occurred. But that would have been 13 Q. Do you have any memory that by May 2, 2019, 14 doing that realtime, seeing the funds went out, and 14 the debtor had taken a couple prior demand notes from 15 that, most importantly, that the consent fee had been 15 HCMFA and made them not collectible prior to May 31, 16 paid from HCMFA to the transfer agent. 16 2021? 17 17 A. I know what you're referring to. I wouldn't Q. How did you or anyone on your team know -- so 18 obviously, you know it's a \$2.4 million loan because 18 characterize it that way. that's what Waterhouse or Dondero told you; right? Q. How would you characterize it? 20 How did you know it was a \$2.4 million loan? 20 A. I recall that there was a financial support 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. 21 acknowledgment, I think it was the name of the 22 THE WITNESS: I knew that the NAV error was 22 acknowledgment. 23 2 million, I think it was 398,000, somewhere in that 23 That described -- I can't remember if it 24 ballpark. And that 2.4- had been authorized for that 24 described those two notes specifically or just referred 25 purpose. 25 to them, that there would not be collection sought on 86 88 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you know who decided 1 those until May 31 of 2021. 2 what the interest rate in this note would be, or that Q. Do you remember why that document was done? 3 it would be a demand note as opposed to a term note? 3 A. My recollection, and it could have been done A. I don't specifically know who made that 4 for other reasons, but my recollection of it was that 5 decision. However, the common practice for fund 5 it was primarily audit-driven. 6 advisors was to put -- was for the rate to equal the, I For the auditors to be comfortable that these 7 forget if it was the short-term or long-term AFR. notes weren't going to be just called and FA not have And for the note to be demand, that was just the ability to pay them right away. the standard -- that was the standard. Q. Because it's true in April or May of 2019 10 Q. And I think I asked this, but just if I 10 HCMFA didn't have the ability to pay those notes; 11 didn't. 12 For either or both of these two notes, the 12 A. It didn't have enough cash to pay those. 13 2.4- and \$5 million note, did you have any role with 13 Q. And I think you mentioned before that in 14 respect to Mr. Waterhouse signing them? 14 May 2019 the auditors at the Highland level were 15 A. No, not that I can remember. I don't think I 15 talking about rolling up prior demand notes into term 16 did. 16 notes so the debtor would at least get some regular 17 Q. And you don't remember doing anything to get cash flow; correct? 17 18 his signatures? 18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 19 19 A. Not that I recall. question. 20 Q. Nor would that have been something that you 20 THE WITNESS: No. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you recall that -- I'm 21 would expect that you would have a role with? 21

23

24

22 sorry, that was 2017. I was wrong; right?

Q. So I guess here is my question, and I'm

A. Correct.

25 struggling to understand this.

25 these, I don't believe.

A. Certainly not in this instance. Maybe to the 23 extent that nobody else was around and it was time

24 sensitive, but that wouldn't have been the case with

David Klos - October 27, 2021 89 91 1 So why would Highland be loaning an Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So it had to have been a 2 additional \$7.4 million in early May of 2019 to HCMFA 2 loan; correct? 3 when HCMFA already was then unable to repay its debts 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 4 to Highland? 4 question 5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the THE WITNESS: In these instances I know it to 6 question. 6 have been a loan. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Because of what THE WITNESS: Yeah, I kind of reject the 8 premise of the question, and these are all controlled 8 Mr. Waterhouse told you? 9 by Jim. And it's completely within his power at any MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 10 point in time to make any payment on any of the loans, 10 question. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was my understanding 11 depending on where priorities sit. 11 12 So the idea that HCMFA -- that Highland would 12 that these were loans. 13 be doing a credit analysis on HCMFA, determining that it Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You know these 7.4- to be 14 was unable to make that payment and, therefore, this is 14 loans even though you never heard Mr. Dondero say that 15 a bad note, is a completely foreign, preposterous 15 to you? 16 concept at that time. A. Yes, although to be fair, I don't know 17 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And in May of 2019 isn't 17 whether I ever heard Mr. Dondero. It's possible he did 18 it also, sir, the case that Mr. Dondero could have, 18 say it. 19 right or wrong, agree or disagree, said, that 7.4- is 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection. Withdrawn. 20 going to compensate HCMFA for the NAV error as opposed 20 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You have no memory that on 21 to being a loan? 21 or before May 4, 2019 you heard Mr. Dondero say that 22 A. No. 22 the \$2.4 million transfer and/or the \$5 million Q. That's not possible? 23 23 transfer to HCMFA were loans? 24 A. No. 24 A. I have no specific recollection, but such a 25 Q. And why is that not possible? 25 conversation is just off the reservation impossible. 90 92 A. As we discussed, the 5-, there's absolutely 1 That there's no way -- there's no way -- there's no way 2 no construct where that can be compensation for an NAV 2 that it would have been described that way and there's 3 error. It's not a NAV error. It's a consent fee. 3 a hundred percent that it's loan. 4 Highland has absolutely no responsibility for that. Q. Do you have any memory discussing prior --5 MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. 5 Highland also has no responsibility for the 6 2.4-, but if you want to assume that it did, that's 6 He's answered this a thousand times. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you have any memory on 7 completely not the practice. It was Jim's preference to do these via loans, and that's how it was booked. 8 or before May 2, 2019 discussing the \$2.4 million Q. You're saying on the one hand Mr. Dondero can 9 transfer with Mr. Dondero at all? 10 absolutely control that one entity make a loan to A. I do recall, I don't remember the time, but I 11 another, irrespective of credit worthiness, but he 11 do remember discussing the NAV error in general terms 12 can't decide that a transfer is compensation as opposed 12 and the potential magnitude of that. I don't remember 13 to a loan? 13 specifically when that occurred. 14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the Q. At least in your discussion with Mr. Dondero,

Highland also has no responsibility for the

2.4-, but if you want to assume that it did, that's

completely not the practice. It was Jim's preference
to do these via loans, and that's how it was booked.

Q. You're saying on the one hand Mr. Dondero ca
absolutely control that one entity make a loan to
another, irrespective of credit worthiness, but he
can't decide that a transfer is compensation as opposed
to a loan?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question. Argumentative.

THE WITNESS: If he wants to call

7.4 million compensation to himself or to HCMFA, I
just don't know how he does that. This is me being an
accountant. I don't know how that's possible.

If he wants to pay himself a \$7.4 million
bonus from HCMFA, fine, he has the power to do that. If
he wants Highland to inject 7.4 million of equity into
HCMFA, he has the power to do that.

But sending the 7.4 million and calling it
something else, I don't know how he could do that.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you have any memory on sor before May 2, 2019 discussing the \$2.4 million transfer with Mr. Dondero at all?

A. I do recall, I don't remember the time, but I do remember discussing the NAV error in general terms and the potential magnitude of that. I don't remember specifically when that occurred.

Q. At least in your discussion with Mr. Dondero, the \$2.4 million loan or note was somehow linked to the NAV error?

A. Linked to the NAV error is strong. It related to the NAV error from the standpoint that that's what Highland was loaning HCMFA the money for, because HCMFA couldn't otherwise make the payment itself.

Q. You just said Highland was loaning the money

G. You just said Highland was loaning the money

A. No, I'm explaining rationally what the

95

96

d Rios - October 27, 2021

1 situation was.

Q. Do you remember on or before May 3, 2019

3 discussing the \$5 million transfer with Mr. Dondero?

4 A. Again, in general terms. I couldn't tell you

5 a time period, but this was something that, between

6 Frank and I, we had put on Jim's radar that this would

o Frank and 1, we had put on Jim's radar that this would

7 be a cash need in the future. I couldn't specify

8 specifically when that happened.

Q. Okay. You have no present memory of

10 discussing that issue with Mr. Dondero on or before

 $11 \quad May \ 3, 2019? \ \ It \ must \ have \ happened \ but \ you \ have \ no$

12 memory?

13 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

14 question.

15 THE WITNESS: We discussed that there would

16 be a consent fee payable from HCMFA. We would have

17 discussed -- and again, I don't remember where I was,

18 what day it was, the specifics around the conversation.

19 But I know that we had conversations

20 pertaining to cash, because this was a large need for --

21 cash need for HCMFA to satisfy this, and this was an

22 important payment.

23 And neither HCMFA nor Highland had the

24 wherewithal to make that payment. The only way that

25 those could make the payment was by Jim Dondero repaying

1 Q. So it's possible that Mr. Dondero told no one

2 that these were loans but because y'all have been doing

3 it this way for 10 years, that everyone, all of you

4 CPAs, understood that it had to be a loan?

5 **MR. MORRIS:** Objection to the form of the

6 question.

7 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) My question is, is that

possible?

A. I really don't think it's possible. I

10 suppose people say anything is possible. Again, two

11 and a half years ago, I'm certain that that was the

12 intent at the time and I'm sure it was communicated as

13 such. I just don't have a specific recollection.

14 MR. RUKAVINA: Thank you.

15 I'll pass the witness.

16 MR. MORRIS: Michael, do you have any

17 questions?

21

18 MR. AIGEN: I do. I assume you want me to

19 start now to do my best to be done at 5:00?

20 MR. MORRIS: Yes, please.

EXAMINATION

22 O. (BY MR. AIGEN) Good afternoon, Mr. Klos. My

23 name is Michael Aigen with the Stinson law firm. I

24 represent Mr. Dondero, HCMS, and HCRE.

25 How are you today?

94

1 loans that he owed to HCMLP. So we absolutely discussed

2 that with Jim Dondero.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And with respect to

4 everything that we just talked about and your

5 recollection, you still don't remember Mr. Dondero

6 saying to you or Mr. Waterhouse one way or the other

7 that one or both of these transfers were loans?

8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

9 question. Asked and answered.

10 THE WITNESS: Yeah, again --

11 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Just yes or no. This is a

12 yes-or-no question.

13

MR. MORRIS: Let him answer the question.

14 MR. RUKAVINA: If he'll answer the question

15 I'll stop asking him --

MR. MORRIS: He's allowed --

17 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) The answer [verbatim] is,

18 do you remember --

19 A. I don't remember Jim's exact words two and a

 $20 \quad half\ years\ ago\ in\ respect\ to\ authorizing\ these$

21 payments. So to answer your question, no, I don't

22 specifically remember him saying these are loans.

But every other fact around this tells me

24 that we did have that conversation and that was the

25 conclusion and that was the direction.

1 A. I'm very good, thank you.

Q. First topic I wanted to ask you about is the

3 defense raised by some of the defendants related to an

4 oral agreement and condition subsequent.

5 So my question for you generally is, are you

6 aware that some of the defendants in these proceedings

7 have raised a defense that there was a subsequent oral

8 agreement allowing notes to be potentially forgiven if

9 certain events occur?

10 A. Yeah, I'm generally aware of the defenses

11 sitting here today.

12 Q. And how are you generally aware of this

13 defense?

14 A. I don't know with specificity. Potentially

15 through just document flow on the bankruptcy side,

16 potentially with conversations internally or with

17 counsel. But I generally understand them to have been

18 raised, the defenses that is.

19 Q. And I don't want to get into conversations

20 with counsel. I'm not allowed to do that.

21 Let me ask you, have you had any

22 conversations with anyone other than counsel about this

23 subsequent oral agreement defense?

A. I have had general conversations with

25 Mr. Seery about it. And other than that, nothing

97 99 1 substantive. Q. Is it fair to say that if those payments were 2 Q. And what did you discuss about this with 2 to be made, it would have been Ms. Hendrix that would 3 Mr. Seery? 3 have gone and effectuated those payments? 4 A. I've discussed with him, I hate to phrase it 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 5 this way, the ridiculousness of the defense. Under 5 question. 6 oath. I've discussed my general understanding of what 6 THE WITNESS: Can you remind me the entities 7 is being asserted as a defense. 7 again. 8 Which is that there was some sort of an oral 8 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Sorry. HCMS and HCRE 9 agreement between Jim and his sister at some point in 9 Partners. 10 the past pertaining to forgiveness of certain 10 A. HCMS, yes. HCRE, I'm not sure, maybe. promissory notes that was conditional upon Highland 11 Q. Why might it have been different? 12 monetizing any of three PE assets for any amount above A. I just don't recall who had the, you know, 13 cost. 13 kind of bank access to effectuate that payment. I 14 Q. And is it fair to say that prior to these 14 think Kristin did but I'm not certain. 15 lawsuits being brought, you weren't aware of any oral 15 O. It wouldn't have been you: is that fair to 16 agreements related to the promissory notes related to 16 say? 17 potential forgiveness? 17 A. Correct. It would not have been me. 18 A. That's correct. Not that I can remember, and 18 O. And if Ms. Hendrix testified that the 19 I think I would remember. 19 instruction she received in December 2020 about not 20 Q. And other than your conversations with 20 making payments related only to the Advisors and not to 21 Mr. Seery and counsel, you haven't had any 21 HMS or HCRE, would you have any reason to disagree with 22 conversations with anyone else about these alleged oral 22 her? agreements; is that fair to say? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 24 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. 24 question. 25 Q. You told me you may have had questions with 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I was struggling with 98 100 1 that question. There was a lot to it. If you don't 1 counsel about these oral agreements defense, and you 2 told me about conversations with Mr. Seery, so I'm 3 trying to close that topic. Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Okay. I'll repeat it. Maybe 4 that will help. Was there anyone else you had any MR. MORRIS: Why don't you ask him about his 5 conversations with about this alleged oral agreement? 6 knowledge, instead of Kristin's. You had her as a A. Like I said before, nothing of substance. 7 I've probably mentioned it in passing to other 7 witness I'll continue to object. I don't know why 8 employees, this is what I understand is being asserted 8 in this, but nothing of substance. you're asking him about her knowledge. 10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge as to 10 MR. AIGEN: Do you want to keep coaching him? 11 whether Mr. Dondero or Ms. Dondero entered into any 11 MR. MORRIS: No, I'm trying to coach you. 12 type of oral agreement prior to the bankruptcy? MR. AIGEN: Oh, thanks. That's good. 13 13 Appreciate if you stop coaching your witness. A. No, not other than what's been pled, or 14 whatever the terminology is. Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) If Ms. Hendrix testified that O. I want to talk a little bit about, you 15 the instructions she received in December 2020 16 touched on earlier, you gave some testimony about how 16 regarding not making any more payments related only to 17 in -- there were certain term loans that had payments 17 the Advisors and not to HMS or HCRE, would you have any 18 due in December or on or about December 31, 2020. 18 reason to disagree with her? 19 19 Do you remember talking about that? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 20 A. Yeah, generally. 20 question. 21 THE WITNESS: I have no reason to question Q. And I don't know if you're specifically

> Dickman Davenport, Inc www.dickmandavenport.com

23 testimony.

24

22 Kristin's testimony. I'm sure she gave truthful

Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Are you aware or not of

25 whether Ms. Hendrix was told by Mr. Waterhouse not to

22 referring to these loans, but is it also your

were due on December 31, 2020?

25

A. Yes.

23 understanding that HCMS and HCRE also had payments that

104

David Klos - October 27, 2021

101

- 1 make payments from certain entities in December of 2 2020?
- MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of thequestion.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm aware, and I think I
- 6 spoke to that earlier of the instruction that had come
- 7 down from Dondero through Frank to Kristin, and I was
- 8 certainly aware of it.
- 9 And I'm -- and I think I spoke to the fact
- 10 that, you know, certainly hearing it from a person who,
- 11 as I said before, wasn't really on the team at that
- 12 point, it was certainly my understanding that that was a
- 13 global instruction at the time.
- 14 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) And I want to get into what
- 15 was actually said and what you remember, so let me ask
- 16 you this.
- 17 This instruction that came down started from
- 18 Jim and went to Frank. Is that your understanding?
 - A. That's my understanding.
- 20 Q. You weren't there during that discussion I
- 21 assume; is that correct?
- 22 A. Correct, I was not.
- 23 Q. And then Frank gave an instruction to
- 24 Kristin; is that your recollection?
- 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

- 1 Q. When you say it was conveyed to you, are you
 - 2 talking about subsequent discussions that you had with
 - 3 Ms. Hendrix and Mr. Waterhouse after they talked to
 - 4 each other?
 - 5 A. Yes.
 - 6 Q. Sitting here today, can you tell me for sure
 - 7 that one of them told you that this instruction related
 - 8 to all of the entities, as opposed to just the
 - 9 Advisors?
 - 10 A. No, I can't say that with certainty, but I
 - 11 think that that was the case. But, again, I can't say
 - 12 with certainty.

17

- 13 Q. Would you defer to Mr. Waterhouse and
- 14 Ms. Hendrix over what the specific instructions were?
- **MR. MORRIS:** Objection to the form of the question.
 - THE WITNESS: Like I said, I wasn't part of
- 18 the conversation, so I would defer to people who
- 19 received the directions more directly.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) And you're not aware of
- 21 anything in writing or anything that reflects these
- 22 instructions on whether to pay or not to pay certain
- 23 payments in December of 2020?
- 24 A. No, I'm not aware of anything in writing.
- Q. And let's change topics for a second here.

102

1 question.

- THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's my understanding
- 3 that Frank informed Kristin of that instruction.
- 4 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Were you there when Frank
- 5 provided this instruction to Kristin?
- 6 A. I don't believe I was.
- 7 Q. Then can I ask, how did you become aware that
- 8 Frank had given this instruction to Kristin?
- A. Through subsequent conversations with Frank
- 10 and Kristin. As I said before, I don't recall if it
- 11 was the three of us or me and Frank or me and Kristin.
- 12 But subsequent conversations.
- 13 Q. Are we talking about conversations back in
- 14 2020 or after the bankruptcy?
- **MR. MORRIS:** Objection to the form of the
- 16 question.
- 17 THE WITNESS: During 2020, December of 2020.
- 18 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Sitting here today, can you
- 19 say with a hundred percent certainty that the
- 20 instruction related to all of the entities as opposed
- 21 to just Advisors?
- 22 A. So as you pointed out, I was not party to the
- 23 direction, so I have no way of knowing with any sort of
- 24 specificity what the direction actually was. I just
- 25 know how it was conveyed to me and how I understood it.

1 I want to throw out a term. Are you familiar

- 2 with the term "NAV ratio trigger period" as it was used
- 3 in --

- A. In a very, very general sense, yes.
- 5 Q. And in a general sense what does that term
- 6 mean to you?
- 7 A. It's a term I recognize from the limited
- 8 partnership agreement of HCMLP. It's a defined term in
- 9 that agreement.
- 10 Q. To your knowledge, was the NAV ratio trigger
- 11 period ever reached or triggered prior to the Highland
- 12 bankruptcy?
- 13 A. I don't know the definition, so I don't know
- 14 based on the definition whether it had or hadn't.
- 15 Q. Sitting here today, though, it's not your
- 16 belief, based on your experience, that it was
- 17 triggered; is that fair to say?
- **MR. MORRIS:** Objection to the form of the
- 19 question.
- 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know the consequence of
- 21 being in a trigger period, I guess is what -- how I'm
- 22 trying to answer your question.
- Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Have you ever had any
- 24 conversations with Nancy Dondero?
- 25 A. Yes.

David Klos - October 27, 2021

105

- 1 Q. Generally, how many and what was the
- 2 reasoning?
- 3 A. Probably less than five. I think maybe only
- 4 one or two that I can really remember.
- ${\bf 5}$ Q. At a high level what were those conversations
- 6 about?
- 7 A. From my recollection of my conversations with
- 8 her, they pertained to the DRIP, which is a dividend
- 9 reinvestment program that I helped.
- 10 Q. And approximately when were these
- 11 conversations?
- 12 A. I don't know. Sometime between 2017 and
- 13 probably 2019. I couldn't tell you with any
- 14 specificity. These were very informal.
- 15 Q. Fair to say that you've never had any
- 16 conversations with Nancy Dondero about any of the loans
- 17 at issue in this case?
- 18 A. No, no, no, I've never had a conversation
- 19 with her like that.
- Q. And fair to say that you've never had any
- 21 conversations with Nancy Dondero about compensation for
- 22 Jim or any other officers at Highland?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 MR. AIGEN: Why don't we go off the record
- 25 for two minutes. I think I'm either done or about

- 1 Can you just generally explain to me what
- 2 services Highland Capital Management provided for
- 3 HCMS and HCRE?
- 4 A. For HCMS -- I do need to separate these a
- 5 little bit. For HCMS, really full-service accounting,
- 6 tax, treasury, cash payments. I said tax. Valuation.
- 7 Nothing personnel-wise because they didn't have any
- 8 employees.

11

- That's all I can think of right off the top
- 10 of my head, but I could be missing some.
 - Q. And what about HCRE? How is that different?
- 12 A. Similar, except different types of assets.
- 13 So more real estate, so less heavy.
- 14 Maybe not necessarily differences in terms of
- 15 the types of services, but services would have, I'd
- 16 say, more cash activity, more variety of investments,
- 17 which triggers different types of activities going on
- 18 at those entities.
- 19 But similar in terms of tax operations,
- 20 making payments. HCRE didn't have employees, so no
- 21 payroll. So these would be the broad areas that I
- 22 would think about.
- Q. And you mentioned making payments. Would one
- 24 of those services that Highland provided for these two
- 25 entities include making loan payments on the term loans

106

1 done.

- 2 (Off the record.)
- 3 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) You understand you're still
- 4 under oath?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Are you aware of any loans that Highland has
- 7 made to any employees or officers that were forgiven in
- 8 all or in part?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Can you tell me who?
- 11 A. I don't know that this will be a complete
- 12 list, but there were a few employees in the kind of
- 13 late aughts, maybe 2010, 2011 frame.
- 14 Q. Do you know the names?
- 15 A. One was Jack Yang. Another, I'm not sure if
- 16 it was forgiven or not, that's why I'm hesitating, but
- 17 it was Tim Lawler. I think his was forgiven in part or
- 18 in full, but I'm not a hundred percent certain.
- 19 Q. And any other individuals that received loans
- 20 that were forgiven in part that you're aware of?
- 21 A. Not that I recall, but there could be others.
- 22 Some of this is very, very old.
- Q. Changing topics here a little bit, I'm going
- 24 to combine two entities to try to speed this up. If
- 25 you need to separate, that's fine.

- 1 like the term loans at issue in these proceedings?
- 2 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
- 3 question.
- THE WITNESS: I think I mentioned before, I
- 5 couldn't remember whether or not Kristin was authorized
- 6 to make payments with respect to HCRE. I think she
- 7 probably was, but I don't know that with certainty.
 8 But, you know, for services, certainly Kristin
- 9 and her team would be responsible for making those
- 10 payments, subject to the proper authorization.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) And I'm sorry if I asked this
- 12 before. If it wasn't Kristin for HCRE, do you have an
- 13 idea who it would have been?
- 14 A. If not Kristin, it would have been Melissa
- 15 Schroth.

18

- 16 Q. And how were those responsibilities split up?
- 17 What entities was Melissa Schroth responsible for?
 - A. Generally speaking, Melissa was more
- 19 responsible for entities that were really, like -- I'm
- 20 going to use this in the most general sense, like Jim
- 21 entities, Jim's trusts, Jim personally.
- 22 And for HCRE it was kind of in the middle.
- 23 When it started out it kind of was more Jim world and
- 24 then over time it got more complex.
 - And as entities got more complex over time

109 111 1 they tend to get transitioned from Melissa to corporate 1 that was due at the end of the year? 2 accounting. And when they got really complex over to A. Yes, we continued to track it through our 3 another group of fund accountants. 3 interest schedules and through cash. 4 So this is one that was, at its beginning, O. So in the debtor's books and records is there 5 Melissa was the, called primary accountant. And at 5 any evidence that the payments that were made in early 6 some point in time that transitioned to the corporate 2019 were intended to relieve NexPoint's obligation to 7 accounting team. I can't remember when the cash 7 make the installment payment due at the end of the process kind of cut over. Q. Is there a list somewhere saying Melissa is 9 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. 10 responsible for these, Kristin for the others, or is it 10 THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so. just more of a pattern or matter of practice? Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did you have a conversation 11 A. More of a matter of practice. If you're 12 with anybody at any time in the year 2019 about whether 13 responsible for an entity, you're responsible. If 13 the payments made earlier in the year on behalf of 14 you're not, then you're not. 14 NexPoint would eliminate or suspend its obligation --15 **MR. AIGEN:** That's all the questions I have. 15 withdrawn. 16 Thank you for your time. 16 Did you have any conversation with anybody --17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 I think I screwed up the dates. Going to have to start 18 **EXAMINATION** 18 over. 19 O. (BY MR. MORRIS) Just a few, Mr. Klos. Let's 19 Let me ask better questions. 20 pick up where Mr. Aigen left off. 20 You looked with Mr. Rukavina at certain 21 To the best of your knowledge, did HCMS have 21 payments that were made in early 2019 with respect to 22 a shared services agreement with Highland? the NexPoint note. 23 A. No, it didn't that I'm aware of. 23 Do I have that right? 24 Q. But you described certain services that HCMLP 24 A. Yes. 25 provided to HCMS; is that right? 25 Q. Notwithstanding those payments, did NexPoint 110 112 A. Yes. 1 1 make the installment payment that was due at the end of 2 Q. Do you know whether HCMFA ever compensated --3 do you know whether HCMS ever compensated HCMLP for any 3 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Calls for a legal 4 conclusion. 4 of those services that HCMLP provided? 5 A. No, it didn't. 5 THE WITNESS: It did make the payment that Q. You mentioned HCRE. To the best of your was due at the end of 2019. Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) And the payment that it made 7 knowledge, did HCRE have a shared services agreement with Highland Capital Management, LP? at the end of 2019, was that the annual installment A. No, it didn't. payment that was called for in the note itself? 10 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Legal conclusion. 10 Q. Did HCRE provide the services that --11 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was a payment pursuant 11 withdrawn. 12 to the note. 12 Did HCMLP provide the services to HCRE that Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did anybody ever tell you at 13 vou just described? A. Yes. 14 any time prior to the commencement of this lawsuit that 15 any prior payment by or on behalf of NexPoint relieved Q. Did HCRE ever compensate HCMLP for any of the 15 16 it of any obligation to pay the installment payment due 16 services that HCMLP provided? 17 at the end of 2020? 17 18 A. No. 18 Q. Okay. Mr. Rukavina asked you some questions 19 Q. And did in fact -- is it your understanding 19 about payments that were made on the NexPoint loan in 20 that Mr. Dondero specifically authorized Highland to the first half of 2019.

23

25

22 January 2021?

24 that to have occurred.

21 effectuate a payment on NexPoint's behalf in mid

A. I don't have specific knowledge, but I know

Q. Okay. Did anybody ever tell you in 2021 --

Q. Okay. Notwithstanding those payments, did

24 your group continue to carry on its books and records

25 NexPoint's obligation to make the installment payment

Do you remember that?

A. Yes, generally.

21

22

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

David Klos - October 27, 2021

113 115 1 withdrawn. 1 Q. And you sent it to the corporate accounting Did anybody tell you in December 2020 or 2 email group; is that right? 3 December -- or January 2021 that NexPoint didn't have A. I did. 4 to make the installment payment at year end 2020 Q. And to the best of your recollection, was 5 because of some prior prepayment? 5 Mr. Waterhouse included in that email group? A. No. A. Yes, absolutely. Q. Can you think of any reason -- withdrawn. Q. And did you instruct the corporate accounting 8 8 team to transfer \$2.4 million from HCMLP to HCMFA on Did you ever hear Mr. Dondero -- withdrawn. May 2, 2019? Did you ever see anything in writing where 10 10 NexPoint ever contended, prior to February 1, 2021, A. Yes, specifically Blair, but yes, for the 11 that it had no obligation to make the payment due at 11 team as well. 12 the end of 2020 because of some prepayment issue? Q. The whole team was aware of this? 12 13 A. No, not that I remember. 13 A. The whole team is on the email, and I'm 14 Q. Can you think of any reason why Mr. Dondero 14 sending to Blair, who is the AP person, to please set 15 would have authorized a payment by NexPoint to HCMLP on 15 up the payment. 16 account of the note in January of 2021 if he actually Q. Is it fair to say that you're being 17 believed at that time that no obligation was due 17 completely transparent here by including the entire 18 corporate accounting group on this email? because of a prior prepayment? 19 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Speculation, lacks A. Yes. 20 foundation. 20 Q. And did you tell the entire corporate 21 THE WITNESS: No. 21 accounting group that this transaction would be a, 22 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Does it make any sense to 22 quote, new interco loan? 23 you as an accountant that you would pay a seven-figure 23 A. Yes, that's what the email says. sum of money that you didn't think was due and owing? 24 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that A. No, that does not make sense to me. 25 Mr. Waterhouse didn't get this? 114 116 1 1 Q. Can you get Exhibit 13, please. A. No, he got this. 2 A. Got it. Q. And did Mr. Waterhouse tell you at any time 3 Q. You were asked some questions about 3 in the history of the world that this \$2.4 million 4 paragraph 3. 4 should not have been booked as a loan? 5 Do you see that? 5 6 A. Yes. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you at any moment in the history of the world that this transaction should not Q. Does paragraph 3 mention annual installment 8 payments at all? have been booked as a loan? A. No, I'm not seeing it. 9 A. No. Q. Does paragraph 3 state in any way that a 10 Q. You mentioned that there was an audit that 11 prepayment as described in that paragraph would relieve 11 followed shortly thereafter? 12 the maker of the obligation to make annual installment 12 A. Yes. 13 payments? Q. Are you familiar with the debtor's audited 13 14 A. No. 14 financial statements for the period ending 2018? 15 Q. Can you turn to the next page and look at 15 A. Yes, generally. Not total recall, but yes. 16 paragraph 5. 16 Q. Are you aware that this loan was included as 17 Are you familiar with that paragraph at all? 17 a subsequent event in the debtor's audited financial 18 A. No. I mean, I've seen it before, but this 18 statements? 19 19 is, as I said before, this is a provision that probably would have been in most, if not all, of these types of 20 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. 21 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did Mr. Dondero or notes. 21 22 Q. Can you get Exhibit 3, please. This is your 22 Mr. Waterhouse or anybody ever tell you that the debtor 23 23 should not have included this \$2.4 million loan in its email dated May 2, 2019. 24 Do I have that right? 24 audited financial statements?

25

25

A. Yes.

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence.

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

David Klos - October 27, 2021

119 117 1 THE WITNESS: No. 1 A. No. Q. Did anybody in the history of the world ever 2 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Okay. And the next day 3 there was another loan; right? 3 raise a question to you as to whether or not Kristin 4 A. Yes. 4 was authorized to paper the loan, as she describes it 5 Q. I'm going to show you here a document that's 5 in this particular email? 6 been produced. A. No. 7 MR. RUKAVINA: Would you email it to me and I 7 Q. Do you know if this \$5 million loan was also 8 can print it out for the court reporter. 8 included in the debtor's audited financial statements? q MR. MORRIS: You want to come over here and MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. 10 look --10 THE WITNESS: Yes. Again, subsequent event. 11 MR. RUKAVINA: I know it. I'm just thinking Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Okay. And did anybody in 11 12 that we can append it to the record right now. 12 the history of the world ever tell you that Highland MR. MORRIS: It's eight pages, so it's part 13 13 should not have included as a subsequent event in its 14 of a whole production. 14 2018 audited financial statement this \$5 million loan? MR. RUKAVINA: But it's just one email? 15 15 A. No. MR. MORRIS: Just one email that I'm talking 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. 16 about. So we're looking at Bates stamp D-CNL003763. 17 17 THE WITNESS: No. 18 And I'll email it to you when we're done here. 18 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you know if HCMFA had its 19 And you're welcome to come over here if you'd like to 19 financial statements audited? 20 20 A. It did. 21 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. Klos, can you take a 21 Q. And are you generally familiar with those 22 look at the email that I have on my screen. 22 financial statements? 23 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. And do you see that it's an email from 24 Q. Are you aware that these two loans totaling 25 Kristin Hendrix to the corporate accounting group on 25 \$7.4 million were included in HCMFA's audited financial 118 120 1 Friday, May 3? 1 statements as a subsequent event for the period ended 2 A. Yes. 2 December 31, 2018? Q. And were you also included in the corporate A. Yes. 4 accounting email string? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. A. Yes. Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did anybody in the history Q. Can you read the email out loud, please. 6 of the world ever tell you that HCMFA should not have A. It says, Blair, please set up a wire from 7 included as a subsequent event the borrowing of the 8 HCMLP to HCMFA for 5 million as a new loan, 8 money reflected in these loans? parentheses, 4.4 million should be coming in from Jim 9 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. $10\,$ soon. Hayley, please add this to your loan tracker. I 10 THE WITNESS: No, no one said that. 11 will paper the loan. Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you know if HCMFA Q. So based on that email, did you understand on 12 included these loans as a liability on its balance 13 May 3 that HCMLP was going to loan \$5 million to HCMFA? 13 sheet? A. Yes, HCMFA. 14 A. It did. Q. And did you understand that Kristin 15 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Move to strike. 16 specifically told the corporate accounting group that 16 Best evidence. she would take responsibility for papering the loan? Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did anyone in the history of A. Yes, that's what she says. 18 the world ever tell you that HCMFA should not have Q. Do you recall whether Mr. Waterhouse ever 19 included these loans as a liability on its balance objected to any aspect of Kristin's email? 20 sheet? 21 21 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. A. He didn't. 22 22 THE WITNESS: No. Q. Do you recall in the history of the world 23 whether Mr. Waterhouse ever told you that this Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Okay. Do you recall that in

25 retail board?

24 October of 2020 HCMFA and NexPoint made a report to the

25 loan?

24 \$5 million transaction should not have been booked as a

124

David Klos - October 27, 2021

121

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And are you aware that that's part of the
- 3 annual review process?
- 4 A. Yes, it's the 15(c) process.
- 5 Q. By the way, as we're talking about these
- 6 issues, did Mr. Waterhouse have -- was he an officer of
- 7 HCMFA in 2019 and 2020?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what's your understanding as to the
- 10 office he held?
- 11 A. Treasurer, I believe.
- 12 Q. And do you know if Mr. Dondero held an
- 13 officer position with respect to each of the Advisors?
- 14 A. He did.
- 15 Q. What position did he hold?
- 16 A. I don't recall with certainty, but I believe
- 17 president.
- 18 Q. As officers of those two entities, do you
- 19 have any knowledge as to whether they participated in
- 20 the communications with the retail board in the fall of
- 21 2020?

1

- 22 A. I believe Jim and Frank both did.
- 23 Q. And do you know whether the retail board
- 24 asked the Advisors for a report on all obligations due
- 25 and owing to HCMLP and affiliates?

- 1 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 2 Q. You referred to a couple of loans that were
- 3 given to individuals earlier.
- 4 Do you remember that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What's the biggest loan that you can recall
- 7 Highland ever forgiving?
- A. The largest one that I can remember was
- 9 a half-million dollars, 500,000.
- O. So you have no knowledge of any loan ever
- 11 being forgiven where the principal amount forgiven
- 12 exceeded \$500,000; is that right?
- 13 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 14 Q. And when is the last loan that Highland
- 15 forgave in whole or in part to one of its officers or
- 16 employees that you can recall?
- 17 A. I don't know a specific year, but it would
- 18 have been in the 2010, 2011 time frame. Maybe 2012,
- 19 but I suspect '10 or '11.
- Q. So is it fair to say to the best of your
- 21 recollection and knowledge that Highland did not
- 22 forgive a single loan made to an officer or employee
- 23 for at least seven years prior to the petition date?
- 24 A. There's none that I can think of.
- Q. Let's just turn our attention to

122

- A. They asked for financials, I believe as of
- 2 6/30 as part of that process.
- 3 Q. And are you aware as to whether or not the
- 4 financials that were provided to the retail board
- 5 included, among other things, the \$7.4 million in notes
- 6 that were -- that we're talking about here?
- 7 A. Yes, those financials would have included
- 8 those amounts as liabilities to HCMLP.
- 9 Q. Did Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse ever tell
- 10 you or anybody to your knowledge that the Advisors
- 11 should not have told the retail boards that they were
- 12 obligated to pay under those two notes?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Let's talk about loan forgiveness for a
- 15 moment.
- 16 How long have you been with the company?
- 17 A. March of 2009.
- 18 Q. At any time since you've been employed by
- 19 Highland, has Highland ever forgiven a promissory note
- 20 that it held where the maker was a corporate affiliate?
- 21 A. Not that I can recall.
- 22 Q. Have you ever heard prior -- has anybody ever
- 23 told you that before you joined the company, Highland
- 24 had ever forgiven in whole or in part any note that it
- 25 held where the maker was a corporate affiliate?

- 1 December 2020.
 - 2 Do you recall that you testified at length
 - 3 about your understanding of the conversations with
- 4 Mr. Waterhouse and Ms. Hendrix?
- 5 Do you remember that?
- 6 A. Yes
- 7 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any instruction ever
- 8 made by Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse in November or
- 9 December 2020 in order to make the payments that were
- 10 due under the three term notes -- withdrawn.
- 11 There were three term notes that were due --
- 12 withdrawn.
- 13 There are three term notes at issue in this
- 14 case. Do you understand that?
- 15 A. Yeah, that's my understanding.
- 16 Q. And one of them was issued by NexBank; is
- 17 that right?
- 18 A. NexPoint Advisors.
- 19 Q. Thank you for the clarification.
- 20 One was by HCRE?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And one was from HCMS; do I have that right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And all three of those notes were executed as
- 25 of May 31, 2017; right?

Dickman Davenport, Inc www.dickmandavenport.com

125 127 1 A. Yeah, that was the effective date on all 1 FURTHER EXAMINATION 2 three. 2 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Go to Exhibit 16, please, Q. And they all rolled up previously outstanding 3 1-6. 3 4 notes that were due and payable to Highland. 4 A. Sure. 5 Do I have that right? 5 Q. Sir, this is an email string regarding that 6 A. Correct. To the best of my recollection. 6 Rule 15(c) that you were talking about. I'm just going O. So we'll refer to those notes as the term to ask you about the top email, but you're welcome to read the whole. 8 notes. Is that okay? A. Uh-huh. A. Sure. 10 Q. You're copied on Mr. Waterhouse's email there 10 Q. Do you have any knowledge that Mr. Dondero or 11 October 6, 2020; right? 11 Mr. Waterhouse ever instructed HCMLP to make the A. Yes, I'm on the email. 12 12 installment payments that were due at the end of 2020 Q. And Mr. Waterhouse writes, the HCMFA note is 13 with respect to any of those term notes? 13 14 a demand note. You would have read that; right? 14 A. No, I don't believe they provided that 15 15 instruction to make those payments. A. Yes. O. Did vou ever correct Mr. Waterhouse when he 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Move to strike. 17 says the HCMFA note, as opposed to notes? 17 Lacks foundation. 18 A. No, that's not something I would have 18 MR. MORRIS: I'm asking him if he ever heard. 19 corrected from Frank. 19 MR. RUKAVINA: But he answered a different 20 Q. Do you recall right now that you might have, 20 question. He answered a different question. 21 when you read this, realized that he made a mistake? Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did you ever see anything in 22 A. It would have been such a de minimus, 22 writing where either Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse 23 inconsequential mistake that I don't know that I would 23 directed HCMLP to make the annual installment payments 24 have addressed it. 24 that were due at the end of 2020 with respect to any of 25 Q. What about two sentences over, there was an 25 the term notes? 126 128 1 agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they 1 A. No. 2 Q. Okay. But to the best of your recollection, 2 could demand is May 2021. 3 in the 13-week forecast, those forecasts included the Did you ever write to him and say that too 4 was a mistake? 4 installment payments that were due at the end of the year; is that right? A. I didn't write to him. Q. Did you realize back then when you read it A. They did. 7 that he had made a mistake? Q. Did anybody ever tell you prior to February 1, 2021, that your group had made a mistake by A. I'm not certain. not making the payment -- any of the payments that were Q. Did you -- and I'm not suggesting that you 10 due under the term notes at the end of 2020? 10 should have. You're a busy man. But did you attach 11 A. Not that I'm aware of. 11 any significance outside of the ordinary to this email Q. Did anybody tell you prior to February 1, 12 exchange? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 13 2021, that the makers of the term notes expected 13 14 Highland to effectuate the payments that were due at 14 question. THE WITNESS: I struggle with how to answer 15 the end of the year without approval by Mr. Waterhouse 15 16 or Mr. Dondero? 16 that. I saw that this note was in response to retail 17 17 15(c) follow-up on the Advisors. A. No. 18 Q. Have you seen any protest in writing prior to 18 At this point my role was different, where I

19 the commencement of the litigation by any of the makers 20 of the notes about a failure on the part of HCMLP to 21 perform its duties and make that payment at the end of 22 the year?

23 A. No.

MR. MORRIS: I have no further questions.

25 MR. RUKAVINA: I have five minutes.

At this point my role was different, where I

19 was dealing with really the retail funds primarily. So

20 the fact that I'm even on this email is somewhat

21 incidental.

22 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But surely on October 6,

23 2020 you knew that there were four HCMFA demand notes,

24 didn't you?

25 A. I'm sure I would have had access to that

131 129 1 information. I'm not sure that I was keeping track of Q. And Mr. Morris asked you whether you see 2 how many were outstanding at any given point in time. 2 anything in here that says that a prepayment relieves Q. And surely on October 6, 2020 you knew that an annual installment. 3 4 only two of them couldn't be demanded by May of 2021, Do you remember that question? 5 didn't you? 5 MR. MORRIS: Objection. That's not what I 6 A. Again, I don't know that I was even really 6 asked. 7 thinking about these notes at that time. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember that question. Q. Even though you were preparing weekly cash Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Reading Section 2.1 and 3 forecasts for Mr. Seery? together, what would a prepayment apply to other than A. I wasn't preparing a weekly cash forecast for 10 10 an annual installment? Do you have a view on that? 11 Mr. Seery. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 11 Q. Going to Exhibit 13, please. Mr. Morris 12 12 question. 13 asked you a couple questions about this. 13 THE WITNESS: Again, I struggle with 14 A. I'm sorry, 13? 14 prepayment. But as I read Section 3, it would be 15 Q. Yes, sir. And again, that paragraph 3 that 15 applied first to unpaid accrued interest and then to 16 talks about prepayment. 16 unpaid principal. 17 Can you find anything in here, sir, that says Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Have you ever in your 18 that a prepayment does not relieve the maker of any 18 personal life prepaid a promissory note before -- have regularly scheduled payment? 19 you ever in your personal life prepaid a promissory 20 A. Sorry, that's a lot to comprehend. If you 20 note prior to its maturity? 21 could ask again. 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 22 Q. Is there any provision that you can see here 22 question. 23 that's to the effect that a prepayment will not relieve 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know. the maker of any regularly scheduled payment? 24 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sitting here today, with A. I don't see that specific provision. I just 25 your CPA, your MBA and you're a CFO of a large entity, 130 132 1 read it for what is on the page. 1 you don't understand what a prepayment means? Q. Isn't it, sir, in your experience the case 2 MR. MORRIS: Objection. Argumentative. 3 that a promissory note, if it intended not to relieve 3 I direct you not to answer. 4 the borrower of regularly scheduled payments would say 4 You're going to have ask a different question. 5 that a prepayment does not relieve the borrower of That's an argumentative question and it's insulting. 6 regularly scheduled payments? MR. RUKAVINA: What's the privilege on which 6 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the you're directing him not to answer? 8 question. 8 MR. MORRIS: I just said it's argumentative. THE WITNESS: That's a legal question. I 9 MR. RUKAVINA: I'm trying to let you get to 10 can't -- I don't know the answer. 10 your flight. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you remember seeing 11 MR. MORRIS: Ask a proper question. Don't 12 promissory notes that say something like that? 12 make this about me. 13 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You were going to answer A. Not that I can recall. 13 14 Q. You'd be surprised if that's what promissory 14 my question, sir? 15 notes say? 15 MR. MORRIS: No, I'm directing him not to 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the 16 answer. 17 question. 17 MR. RUKAVINA: Then we'll end this deposition 18 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 18 with a motion to compel. 19 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And Mr. Morris asked you 19 MR. MORRIS: Okay. You do that. about this. I'm trying to burn through this so the man 20 MR. RUKAVINA: I'm making a motion to compel. 21 can make his plane. 21 We'll call the judge as soon as we land in New York 22 Section 2.1 talks about 30 equal annual 22 tomorrow.

MR. MORRIS: You have to read the whole

24 question. You can ask the question without the

verbiage; right?

payments, annual installments.

You see that?

A. Yes, I see that.

23

24

	133		135
1	MR. RUKAVINA: And I asked you on the basis	1	payment made prior to the time that it's due?
2	of what privilege are you instructing your	2	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
3	MR. MORRIS: Argumentative.	3	question.
4	MR. RUKAVINA: That's not a privilege.	4	THE WITNESS: Yes, in the most general sense
5	MR. MORRIS: Sir, you can rephrase your	5	a prepayment, the prefix "pre" indicates that it's
6	question and end this right now by not being insulting	6	before some other event. So from that standpoint,
7	to my client.	7	prepayment means it was to some extent paid early.
8	Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I was not trying to be	8	MR. RUKAVINA: Thank you.
9	insulting, sir.	9	Pass the witness.
10	I'm asking you again, you do not, sitting	10	MR. MORRIS: No further questions.
11	here today, have an understanding of what the word	11	Michael?
12	"prepayment" for a promissory note means?	12	MR. AIGEN: No questions.
13	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the	13	THE REPORTER: Mr. Morris, do you want a copy
14	question.	14	of the transcript?
15	You can answer that one.	15	MR. MORRIS: I sure do.
16	THE WITNESS: In the context that you're	16	THE REPORTER: Mr. Aigen, do you want a copy
17	asking the question	17	of the transcript?
18	Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) No, I'm not asking any	18	MR. AIGEN: Yes, we would also like a copy.
19	context. Sitting here today, do you have an	19	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, and I'd like that rush.
20	understanding of what the word "prepayment" means when	20	(Whereupon, the deposition adjourned at
21	it comes to a borrower/lender relationship?	21	5:14 P.M.)
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the	22	oOo
23	question.	23	I declare under penalty of perjury that the
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, I have a general	24	foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at
25	understanding.	25	, Texas, this day of
	134		136
1	Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) What is your	1	
2	understanding?	2	
3	A. That you can look at the note.	3	
4	Q. I'm not asking about the note. We got to go	4	
5	step by step.	5	DAVID KLOS
6	What is your general understanding as to what	6	
7	a prepayment means? MD_MORPIS. Objection to the form of the	7	
8	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the	8	
10	question. THE WITNESS: It depends on the context and	9	
	it's going to depend on what the note says about	110	
	prepayments. So I have a hard time answering that	11	
	question.	13	
14	Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you would agree with me	14	
	that you have to look at the note before you can answer	15	
16	•	16	
17	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the	17	
18	·	18	
19	THE WITNESS: I would want to look at the	19	
20		20	
	is a term that can be used as a defined term or in a	21	
22		22	
23	_	23	
24	Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Would you agree with me	24	
25		25	
4	* * *	l .	

35 (Pages 137 to 138)

David Klos - October 27, 2021

	137	
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
2	I, BRANDON D. COMBS, a Certified Shorthand	
3	Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the	
4	foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the	
5	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the	
6	within-entitled cause;	
7	That said deposition was taken in shorthand by	
8	me, a disinterested person, at the time and place	
9	therein stated, and that the testimony of the said	
10	witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by	
11	computer, under my direction and supervision;	
12	That before completion of the deposition,	
13	review of the transcript was not requested. If	
14	requested, any changes made by the deponent (and	
15	provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are	
16	appended hereto.	
17	I further certify that I am not of counsel or	
18	attorney for either or any of the parties to the said	
19	deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of	
20	this cause, and that I am not related to any of the	
21	parties thereto.	
22	DATED: November 1, 2021	
23		
24		
25	Brandon Combs, Certified Shorthand	
	120	
	138	
1	State of Texas	
	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312	
1 2	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway	
	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206	
2	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com	
2	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
3 4	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com	
3	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	State of Texas Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 4228 North Central Expressway Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 (214) 855-5100 (800) 445-9548 Email: info@dickmandavenport.com www.dickmandavenport.com	

	_	_	_	
	49:4,5,12,20,21	agent 85:16	80:21 84:14	122:10,22
ability 42:12	50:8,21 51:3	ago 19:6 34:25	97:12 123:11	126:7,12
43:21 78:2 88:8	131:15	36:20 39:21	amounts 28:24	anymore 57:1
88:10	accumulated	43:5 94:20	41:7 122:8	61:22 62:7
able 31:20 32:2	47:22	95:11	analysis 30:9	AP 115:14
above-styled	accurate 27:25	agree 8:11 47:10	53:25 54:6,9,18	apologize 9:21
1:21	85:10	47:11 51:7 77:2	55:1,8 89:13	27:22 63:11
absolutely 12:4	acknowledgment	89:19 134:14	analyst 5:17,25	66:21 77:1
16:10 77:1 80:1	87:21,22	134:24	and/or 40:12	apparatus 12:9
80:14 90:1,4,10	act 11:5	agreement 12:23	67:7 91:22	APPEARANC
94:1 115:6	activities 107:17	84:11 96:4,8,23	annual 23:22	2:1
accepting 19:17	activity 107:16	97:9 98:5,12	80:9 112:8	appeared 2:5,12
access 99:13	actual 37:5 85:13	104:8,9 109:22	114:7,12 121:3	2:19
128:25	add 118:10	110:7 128:1	125:23 130:22	appears 49:3
account 53:20	additional 89:2	agreements 41:3	130:23 131:3	64:2
60:3 113:16	address 64:7	97:16,23 98:1	131:10	append 117:12
accountant 26:5	addressed 44:9	agrees 48:7	answer 16:23,25	appended 137:16
26:9,15,20	127:24	ahead 45:7	17:2 20:18,20	application 37:8
39:12,17 90:19	adjourned	Aigen 2:18 3:4	21:3,15 22:1	37:9,15,16
109:5 113:23	135:20	95:18,22,23	25:5 28:10	applied 39:17
accountant's	advisor 9:24 71:7	99:8 100:3,10	35:16 41:18	46:8,9 48:11,14
39:13	74:19	100:12,14,24	50:11 53:24	49:5,8 64:13,16
accountants	advisor-type 6:7	101:14 102:4	55:15,23 71:5	65:1 131:15
109:3	advisors 1:10	102:18 103:20	78:11 79:7 87:7	apply 38:24
accounted 38:6	12:14,15,17	104:23 105:24	94:13,14,17,21	131:9
45:8,11 64:23	13:3,6 27:1	106:3 108:11	104:22 128:15	Appreciate
accounting 4:16	52:19 55:1	109:15,20	130:10 132:3,7	100:13
6:5,5,11,11	60:22 65:23	135:12,16,18	132:13,16	appropriate
7:14,17,21 8:23	71:6 72:22 86:6	Akard 1:24 2:4	133:15 134:15	35:19,22
9:3,5,5,9,15,23	99:20 100:17	aleck 50:7	134:20	approval 66:14
10:12 33:22	102:21 103:9	alleged 97:22	answered 21:2	77:19,23 78:3
35:9,16,20	121:13,24	98:5	41:17 56:11	78:21 79:3
43:18 53:4	122:10 124:18	Allocation 70:25	76:19 85:21	126:15
62:10,11 70:15	128:17	74:12 75:6	91:10 92:5,6	approvals 80:9
74:22 84:2	affect 16:11,12	allow 75:4	94:9 125:19,20	approximate 6:1
107:5 109:2,7	16:20	allowed 46:13	answering	63:2
115:1,7,18,21	affiliate 39:23	81:22 82:1	134:12	approximately
117:25 118:4	122:20,25	94:16 96:20	answers 9:18	5:9 105:10
118:16	affiliated 10:21	137:15	16:10,13	April 7:13,22
accrue 49:13	34:8 35:4,11	allowing 96:8	anybody 24:13	11:16,21 88:9
accrued 38:8	36:2 61:15	amortization	60:1 80:16	areas 107:21
46:8,16,20,25	affiliates 38:5	23:16 28:1	111:12,16	argumentative
47:1,4,5,11,14	51:20 121:25	amount 15:5,15	112:13,25	90:15 132:2,5,8
47:18,19,24,25	AFR 86:7	37:5 47:22	113:2 116:22	133:3
48:4,5,7,15	afternoon 95:22	59:13 73:2	119:2,11 120:5	art 8:1 9:3 10:12
			<u>l</u>	<u> </u>
				

ascertain 45:2,4	54:14	109:23 115:12	117:17	bit 7:9 12:12 14:2
asked 16:18 20:5	attach 128:10	116:16 119:24	began 5:11	30:15 33:12
21:2 30:18	attempt 87:7	121:2 122:3	beginning 109:4	39:3 48:1 98:15
41:10,17 44:10	attempt 87.7	123:1,13 124:7	behalf 2:6,13,20	106:23 107:5
54:13 55:17,22	attention 123.23	125.1,13 124.7	12:24 25:2 78:2	Blair 115:10,14
56:11 57:12,15	2:18 137:18	awfully 17:20	78:22 79:1	118:7
	audit 9:25 24:6	awiuny 17.20		board 120:25
59:12 70:9 76:19 84:8,17	80:4 116:10	B	111:13 112:15 112:21	121:20,23
85:21 86:10	audit-driven	back 6:14 15:19	beings 71:19	121.20,23
	88:5	22:12 23:21,25	belief 104:16	·
91:10 92:5 94:9		36:11 40:18		boards 122:11 bold 40:25
108:11 110:18	audited 116:13	49:17 51:13	believe 6:23	
114:3 121:24	116:17,24	55:16 65:12	15:11 16:3 17:3	bonus 14:8,9,10
122:1 129:13	119:8,14,19,25	67:4 68:25	17:8 18:2 19:23	14:19,24 15:14
130:19 131:1,6	auditor 24:3 auditors 24:5	82:23 83:19	21:13,13 22:20	18:5 90:21
133:1		84:4 85:12	23:13,19 24:16	book 33:21 34:12
asking 18:11	88:6,14	102:13 128:6	26:13 49:9 51:1	34:20
20:21 28:6	aughts 106:13	back-end 15:19	51:2 74:16	booked 32:23
38:20 56:9,13	August 42:16	15:21	77:17 78:1,7,19	33:11 34:9 35:5
61:5,7 77:13	44:13	back-ended	81:8 83:1,3	35:20 36:24
83:22 94:15	authenticate 28:5	14:25	86:25 102:6	44:23 90:8
100:9 125:18	authenticity	background 4:13	111:10 115:24	116:4,8 118:24
133:10,17,18	67:23	53:2 70:5,6,7	121:11,16,22	books 80:7
134:4	authoritative	backup 36:19	122:1 125:14	110:24 111:4
aspect 118:20	65:1,2	bad 20:12 89:15	believed 35:10	borrow 78:22
ass 17:18	authority 36:9	balance 49:6	57:6 113:17	borrower 45:6,15
asserted 97:7	77:3,13,15 78:7	80:6,8 120:12	beneficiaries	45:19,24 46:2
98:8	78:21,25	120:19	17:10,15	130:4,5
asset 15:7	authorization	ballpark 85:24	benefiting 73:9	borrower/lender
assets 15:3 87:10	108:10	bank 36:17 99:13	best 5:25 8:3	133:21
87:12 97:12	authorize 77:4		52:15,17 55:7	borrowing 120:7
107:12	authorized 40:2	bankruptcy 1:1 31:19 80:10	63:24 64:4	boss 39:13
assist 42:13	59:15 69:6,25		78:16,17 85:8	Boston 4:15 5:8
assistance 31:13	85:24 108:5	96:15 98:12 102:14 104:12	95:19 109:21	5:10
assistant 6:24 7:4	112:20 113:15		110:6 111:9	brain 32:9
7:7,10 8:8	119:4	base 14:5,7	115:4 116:20	Brandon 1:22
assisted 22:23	authorizing	based 14:11,14	116:25 119:9	137:2,25
assume 28:7 29:7	94:20	32:12 37:17	119:16 120:4,9	break 7:6
90:6 95:18	Avenue 2:11,17	46:5,7 48:12,19	120:16,21	bridge 29:22
101:21	aware 35:6 58:24	54:14 104:14	123:20 125:6	30:6
assumed 7:14	59:2,3 80:18,21	104:16 118:12	126:2	briefly 13:5
53:3	96:6,10,12	basically 6:3	better 111:19	bring 49:6
assuming 36:14	97:15 100:24	31:13 56:1 81:5	biggest 123:6	broad 9:22 35:17
36:21 41:23	101:5,8 102:7	basis 23:22 30:2	bill 74:20	61:3 107:21
64:1	103:20,24	133:1	bills 82:4,5,10,11	broader 76:8
assumptions	106:6,20	Bates 83:15	birth 4:9	broken 53:16
			<u> </u>	

	I	I	İ	l
brokers 10:17	88:12,17 93:7	certify 137:3,17	98:3	121:20
brought 6:4,14	93:20,21 107:6	cetera 6:1 14:20	closed-end 74:14	company 81:14
31:3,7 97:15	107:16 109:7	CFO 5:21,25	closely 62:4	122:16,23
bucks 74:25	111:3 129:8,10	8:18 48:6,21	coach 100:11	compel 132:18,20
budgeting 6:8	casual 134:22	131:25	coaching 100:10	compensate 72:8
burn 130:20	caught 62:5	chain 53:5	100:13	72:12 79:14
businesses 30:1	cause 1:21 137:6	chance 69:4	cold 46:6	89:20 110:15
busy 11:15,19	137:20	change 8:8 16:10	collect 81:17	compensated
128:10	caused 79:12	46:10 59:1	collected 81:19	17:16 110:2,3
	81:10	84:13 103:25	collectible 87:15	compensation
C	causes 82:9	changed 6:18	collecting 15:16	14:3,6,8,19
call 6:4 11:11,13	Central 138:2	39:11 84:16	81:6,6	15:14 16:4,12
38:10 63:14	Cert 138:1	changes 7:1	collection 87:25	16:16,21 17:4,8
90:16 132:21	certain 5:17	137:14	collective 52:2	17:24 18:5
called 9:24 58:21	11:13 12:21,21	Changing 106:23	college 4:15 5:6	60:18 73:8,12
59:11 70:24	13:12 23:14	characterize 54:1	column 36:25	79:25 90:2,12
74:16 88:7	33:13 38:1	76:10 87:18,19	37:7	90:17 105:21
109:5 112:9	54:14 67:21	characterized	combination	complete 15:3
calling 90:24	70:12 83:9	54:2	39:16 45:12	74:23 106:11
Calls 112:3	95:11 96:9	charge 6:15 10:3	combine 106:24	completed 15:22
capital 1:6,9 5:11	97:10 98:17	chief 7:13,17,21	Combs 1:22	15:25 16:2
6:6 8:4 13:6	99:14 101:1	8:23,23 9:3	137:2,25	74:21
23:22,23 26:19	103:22 106:18	10:12 13:20,22	come 47:12 53:7	completely 15:24
26:23 27:1 29:4	109:24 111:20	53:3	59:19 65:3,5	27:25 89:9,15
29:19 32:6,23	128:8	circumstance	76:22 101:6	90:7 115:17
35:3 37:25 71:6	certainly 6:18	51:1	117:9,19	completion
107:2 110:8	9:17 12:3 20:10	circumstances	comes 133:21	137:12
car 82:9	26:4 30:11	31:2 134:25	comfortable 88:6	complex 108:24
career 11:20	32:16 34:4	claim 81:1,9	coming 17:20	108:25 109:2
careful 17:21	35:18 37:23,24	claimant 15:16	36:13 118:9	compliance 6:8
carry 110:24	42:11 50:4 58:8	18:6	command 53:5	72:4
case 43:20 86:24	62:14 69:1	clarification	commencement	comprehend
89:18 103:11	77:13,24 86:22	124:19	112:14 126:19	129:20
105:17 124:14	101:8,10,12	clarify 11:3 12:8	comment 17:13	computer 137:11
130:2	108:8	53:1 66:18	commission	computerized
cash 9:25 23:21	certainty 29:13	75:25	138:4	1:24
23:24 25:23,24	42:19 68:18	clear 17:21 26:19	common 30:5	concept 89:16
26:7 31:9,10,12	69:8,11,24 70:2	27:11 29:14	86:5	concern 23:23
31:14,16,23	102:19 103:10	52:24 63:9	communicated	24:1,2,4 56:21
32:8,12,14	103:12 108:7	69:15,16 73:13	95:12	56:23,25 62:17
41:15,24 42:12	121:16	clearly 74:2	communicating	concerning 17:7
42:23 43:5,22	CERTIFICATE	client 133:7	53:9	63:20
43:24 44:1	137:1	clients 17:25	communication	conclude 80:25
57:25 61:25	Certified 137:2	Cliff 7:23	83:10	concluding 24:6
63:2 73:20	137:25	close 17:21 33:14	communications	conclusion 94:25
	1020			
L	1	1		

110 4 10	7.10.10.0.4.0.0	16 17 40 2 42 1	4.100.0	B 1 24.20
112:4,10	7:10,10 8:4,8,9	16:17 40:2 42:1	cut 109:8	December 24:20
condition 96:4	8:12,15 9:11	45:25 51:11	cycle 41:7	24:23 51:10,15
conditional 97:11	10:10,12 12:19	61:10 64:25	D	51:22 53:18
conduct 69:18	13:10,17 48:6	68:10 69:2	D 1:22 137:2	54:24 56:17,22
confer 26:4	conversation	82:21 88:11,17		57:6,13,17,21
confident 69:5	18:22 19:9,19	88:23 91:2	D-CNL003763	58:7,9 60:20,25
confirm 59:17	43:15 51:25	97:18 99:17	117:17 Palla a 1:2 25 2:4	61:21 63:19
61:19	70:14 91:25	101:21,22	Dallas 1:3,25 2:4	98:18,18,24
confused 41:6	93:18 94:24	105:23 124:21	2:18 4:12 5:10	99:19 100:15
134:22	103:18 105:18	125:6 127:16	138:2	101:1 102:17
confusion 11:6	111:11,16	135:24	damages 82:12	103:23 113:2,3
conjunction 24:5	conversations	corrected 127:19	date 4:9 8:6	120:2 124:1,9
connection 24:12	18:23 19:11	correctly 5:16	24:22 45:20	decide 38:23 39:5
26:2	20:2 34:11 42:6	51:13 62:9	47:5,6,23 48:25	90:12
consent 74:16	51:25 93:19	cost 97:13	49:13,13,14	decided 24:9,12
75:23 80:2	96:16,19,22,24	counsel 2:6,13,19	84:14 123:23	24:14,15 32:7
85:15 90:3	97:20,22 98:2,5	18:10,20,25	125:1	64:22 77:18
93:16	102:9,12,13	20:3 21:16	dated 114:23	84:25 86:1
consented 74:17	104:24 105:5,7	22:21,25 23:3	137:22	decides 38:22
consequence	105:11,16,21	96:17,20,22	dates 27:10 28:18	deciding 64:15
104:20	124:3	97:21 98:1	28:24 111:17	69:18
considerations	conversion 74:15	137:17	Davenport 138:1	decision 27:2
16:1 60:10	74:17,21	couple 61:20	David 1:14,18	30:25 86:5
constant 75:15	converted 74:13	87:14 123:2	4:1,6 136:5	decision-making
construct 90:2	conveyed 102:25	129:13	Davor 2:5 76:22	32:10
consultancy 11:9	103:1	course 20:3 33:19	day 29:21 32:1	decisions 29:8
11:11	coordinated	51:9 66:12	34:1 59:7 74:8	30:9,16
contended	22:21	69:18 83:22	93:18 117:2	declare 135:23
113:10	copied 127:10	84:4,6	135:25	deduction 73:9
context 133:16	copy 135:13,16	court 1:1 117:8	day-to-day 9:17	default 58:13,17
133:19 134:10	135:18	courteous 76:24	62:6	61:14 62:18
contingent 14:11	corporate 6:5,15	covered 20:14	days 59:5 76:2,7	defendants 1:11
continue 17:22	9:9,15 25:22	53:2	de 127:22	1:20 2:6,20
100:8 110:24	33:8 35:9,16	CPA 4:19,22	dealing 29:20	96:3,6
continued 111:2	39:12,13,16	44:21 48:6,20	128:19	defense 80:15
continuously	43:17 61:14	49:11 131:25	debtor 26:21	96:3,7,13,23
6:17 29:22	62:11 70:15	CPAs 95:4	32:23 38:6,22	97:5,7 98:1
contract 71:10	73:20 84:2	created 80:10	38:23 76:16	defenses 96:10
contracted 71:15	109:1,6 115:1,7	credit 6:8 25:9	77:3,5,8 78:2	96:18
contradict 79:18	115:18,20	40:11 89:13	81:1 87:14	defer 103:13,18
control 43:2	117:25 118:3	90:11	88:16 116:22	define 47:18,20
90:10	118:16 122:20	creditors 15:17	debtor's 111:4	defined 104:8
controlled 89:8	122:25	CSR 1:23	116:13,17	134:21
controller 6:24	correct 5:22,23	curiosity 59:21	119:8	definitely 6:21
6:25 7:4,4,8,8	8:19,21 9:20	current 4:25	debts 89:3	7:12 73:6
0.23 7.4,4,0,0	0.17,21 7.20	Current 4.23		1.12 / 3.0
	l	l	I	I

definition 38:11	determining	31:4,7 39:21	43:7,10,16,25	113:17,24
104:13,14	89:13	57:18,22 58:3,4	51:18 52:4,7,19	121:24 124:10
definitive 50:11	Dickman 138:1	60:1,5,8 62:20	53:12,15,16	124:11 125:4
definitively 15:20	differed 54:10	63:1,20 92:14	54:18,24 55:9	125:12,24
degree 4:16	difference 49:7	101:20	55:17,25 56:3	126:4,10,14
degrees 4:14	76:6	discussions 19:3	56:10,15 60:21	135:1
Deloitte 5:8,8	differences	21:8 24:13	61:24 62:23	duly 1:19 4:2
demand 23:13	107:14	30:24 41:13	65:3,24 69:9,12	137:4
86:3,8 87:14	different 30:5	59:22 63:22	70:15 74:3 76:1	duties 9:12 62:6
88:15 127:14	34:15 38:21	103:2	76:13 77:2,25	126:21
128:2,23	44:11 74:9	disinterested	85:1,19 89:18	duty 25:9
demanded 129:4	77:12 99:11	137:8	90:9 91:14,17	
deny 67:22	107:11,12,17	dissimilar 14:21	91:21 92:9,14	E
department 9:7	125:19,20	dissuade 62:23	92:23 93:3,10	earlier 17:13
84:9	128:18 132:4	distinct 10:10	93:25 94:2,5	49:14 75:13
depend 15:15	direct 9:15 20:17	distribution 59:9	95:1,24 98:11	98:16 101:6
17:6,9 134:11	20:19 34:13	83:5	98:11 101:7	111:13 123:3
depending 31:2	78:13 132:3	DISTRICT 1:2	104:24 105:16	earliest 128:1
32:15 89:11	directed 20:22	dividend 105:8	105:21 112:20	early 51:22 54:24
depends 16:5	51:19 60:21	DIVISION 1:3	113:8,14 116:6	60:20 87:1 89:2
134:10	68:19,20,21	document 44:21	116:21 121:12	111:5,21 135:7
deponent 137:14	69:1,3 125:23	46:23 50:15	122:9 124:8	educational 4:13
deposition 1:13	directing 132:7	64:6,12 76:23	125:10,22	effect 41:14
1:18 18:9,24	132:15	76:23 79:17	126:16	62:18,21
19:16,22,24	direction 33:2	84:10 88:2	Dondero's 62:11	129:23
20:5,9,14,16	34:2 35:23	96:15 117:5	73:6 76:9 77:19	effective 125:1
21:1,7,9,12,19	54:15 55:18	documents 67:8	78:3,21 79:3,11	effectively 49:22
27:20 132:17	62:24 65:1,2	76:20	79:18	75:5
135:20 137:4,7	94:25 102:23	doing 6:5,11 9:24	double 81:5	effectuate 99:13
137:12,19	102:24 137:11	25:18 85:14	doubt 35:21 36:8	112:21 126:14
describe 8:2	directions 103:19	86:17 89:13	dozens 65:16	effectuated 99:3
54:11,13	directly 103:19	95:2	draft 24:16	eight 117:13
described 13:16	disagree 89:19	dollar 28:18 75:2	drafted 22:21	either 21:18 26:3
54:10 69:6 72:1	99:21 100:18	75:2	drafting 58:17	26:25 29:12
87:23,24 92:2	disciplinary 5:3	dollar-for-dollar	DRIP 105:8	30:16 31:10,16
109:24 110:13	disciplined 5:2	73:4	driven 42:19	35:23,25 38:8
114:11	discuss 20:4 97:2	dollars 18:1	drukavina@m	39:12 48:19
describes 119:4	discussed 15:8	123:9	2:7	50:12 68:14
describing 33:7	21:16 90:1	Dondero 2:20	due 25:16,19	69:1,3,9 79:24 84:21 86:12
detail 54:5 58:2	93:15,17 94:1	24:11 29:15	37:12,18 47:12	105:25 125:22
determination	97:4,6	30:8,19 32:7	49:12 51:10,14	137:18
60:17	discussing 42:3	33:21 34:2,7,18	74:20 76:22	electronically
determine 38:16	65:9 77:25 92:4	38:4 39:24	98:18,24 111:1	84:22
determined	92:8,11 93:3,10	40:10 41:14	111:7 112:1,6	eliminate 111:14
40:21	discussion 19:21	42:3,7,10 43:2	112:16 113:11	chimiate 111.14
1		I	I	I

email 2:7,14,22	entitled 17:23	exactly 5:18	expect 17:12	91:16 97:14,23
54:16 59:8,9	18:10 20:4	14:24 15:23	64:12 65:4	99:1,15 104:17
67:14,15,18,21	entity 12:20 13:8	34:3 48:2 77:23	83:12 86:21	105:15,20
69:4,7 70:13,16	13:11,13 30:20	80:16	expectation 15:1	115:16 123:20
82:23,25 83:7	31:20 32:7,15	Examination 3:3	expected 126:13	fairly 9:22 30:4,5
83:10,11	32:17 34:19	3:4,5,6 4:3	expenses 73:7	84:7
114:23 115:2,5	35:4,11 36:2	95:21 109:18	experience 5:5	fall 80:10 121:20
115:13,18,23	40:10 42:10	127:1	37:18 38:14	familiar 21:23
117:7,15,16,18	75:8,10 90:10	example 31:6,9	46:19 49:11	22:5,6 28:1
117:22,24	109:13 131:25	37:6 48:25	104:16 130:2	67:1 82:15
118:4,6,12,20	equal 86:6	exceeded 87:10	expert 82:21 87:6	104:1 114:17
119:5 127:5,7	130:22	123:12	expertise 48:20	116:13 119:21
127:10,12	equity 79:24	exception 16:8	expires 138:4	far 5:1 24:15
128:11,20	90:22	17:1 44:2,3	explain 8:22	37:5 58:23 62:6
138:3	error 70:25 71:2	excess 41:15	64:13 107:1	84:11 87:9
emailed 59:11	71:19,25 72:13	exchange 128:12	explaining 92:25	fault 81:10
emails 80:13	72:14,19 73:4	exclude 18:22	explore 12:12	faulty 43:3
employed 122:18	79:15 80:20	exclusively 23:13	express 14:19	February 80:19
employee 12:23	81:1,14 85:22	33:14,14	Expressway	113:10 126:8
26:17,21,24	89:20 90:3,3	excruciatingly	138:2	126:12
123:22	92:11,16,17,18	73:13	extended 7:12	fee 74:16,18
employees 43:11	errors 71:9 72:20	excuse 37:1 74:25	extending 14:17	75:23 80:2
72:2 98:8 106:7	72:21 73:16	executed 24:18	extent 31:10,12	85:15 90:3
106:12 107:8	especially 15:4	31:1 70:22 84:3	60:24 61:8	93:16
107:20 123:16	established 51:4	124:24	66:10 86:23	feel 54:5 69:5
employment	75:13 76:11,12	execution 22:7,13	135:7	feels 35:17 82:22
78:13	78:12	22:20 24:23	extrapolating	fight 53:17
ended 120:1	estate 107:13	83:21 84:19	92:24	file 80:11
ensure 23:20	estimate 11:22	85:6		final 30:9
enter 78:25	et 6:1 14:20	executive 26:14	F	financial 8:23
entered 98:11	event 116:17	exhibit 22:4 23:8	FA 88:7	13:20,22 87:20
enterprise 30:1	119:10,13	27:9,15,23	face 71:16	116:14,17,24
32:18	120:1,7 135:6	36:12 40:20	facile 15:24	119:8,14,19,22
entire 12:9	137:19	42:17 44:13,22	facilitate 25:18	119:25
115:17,20	events 16:20 96:9	46:12 48:12	31:15	financials 122:1
entities 6:7 25:24	eventually 32:2	49:1,10 64:2,5	facility 6:8	122:4,7
26:16 30:6	evidence 80:14	67:17 68:4	fact 29:6 58:23	find 26:13 31:15
31:11,16 34:8	111:5,9 116:20	82:24 83:20	58:23 76:14	67:14 129:17
61:15 65:18,22	116:25 119:9	114:1,22 127:2	79:21 94:23	fine 21:10 39:3
65:22,24 99:6	119:16 120:4,9	129:12	101:9 112:19	90:21 106:25
101:1 102:20	120:16,21	exhibits 3:10	128:20	FINRA 4:21
103:8 106:24	evolved 6:18,21	67:2,7 68:1	failed 58:18	firm 95:23
107:18,25	exact 7:5,11	existing 7:13	failure 126:20	first 4:2 37:2,4
108:17,19,21	15:24 20:16	23:13	fair 16:15 35:8	42:22 46:14
108:25 121:18	94:19	expand 59:7	60:9 65:15	48:14 49:5 50:7

72:14,19,19	33:24 34:21	30:15,17 35:23	93:7	105:24 127:2
75:14 96:2	35:13 36:4	35:25 36:10		134:4
110:20 131:15	37:20 38:17,25	44:7 52:1 53:7	G	goes 28:9 40:18
five 105:3 126:25	39:7 40:3,14	53:8 54:15 55:9	gearing 53:17	87:9
flag 10:4	42:24 43:19	60:20 61:14	gears 65:8	going 14:2 15:5,6
flavoring 63:14	44:16,24 45:9	68:14,14 69:1,3	general 18:13,15	16:9,12,15,19
flight 132:10	45:17 46:21	70:13,14 77:13	19:9,10 20:11	17:5 20:19
flip 37:1	47:8,15 48:8,22	77:15 80:12,13	20:13 32:20	28:17 32:10
flipped 9:20	49:15 50:13	93:6 101:7,18	33:19 61:4	36:11,12 42:22
Floor 1:25 2:11	51:5 52:21	101:23 102:3,4	92:11 93:4	46:11 49:13
flow 23:21,25	53:22 54:7 55:3	102:8,9,11	96:24 97:6	55:16 57:11,14
26:7 88:17	55:12,19 57:8	121:22 127:19	104:4,5 108:20	62:18 65:8,9,10
96:15	60:12 61:1 63:5	Frank's 39:18	133:24 134:6	65:12 68:1 70:5
focus 8:14 65:10	64:9 65:19,25	Frankly 48:10	135:4	74:22 79:9
focused 6:7	66:7,15 69:20	fraud 81:5	generally 19:25	82:23 83:11,19
follow 16:18	71:3,12,20	frequent 84:1	27:24 30:4	88:7 89:20
follow-up 128:17	76:18 77:6,20	Friday 118:1	32:21 38:4,4	106:23 107:17
followed 116:11	77:23 78:4,23	front 10:17 44:8	40:2,11,16	108:20 111:17
follows 4:2	79:5,19 81:2,11	67:20 68:5 72:3	42:15 45:8	117:5 118:13
forecast 126:3	81:23 82:6,13	full 57:3 106:18	77:22 96:5,10	127:6 129:12
129:10	84:11,12 87:3	full-service 107:5	96:12,17 98:20	132:4,13
forecasting 6:8	88:18 89:5	full-time 12:3	105:1 107:1	134:11
forecasts 9:24	90:14 91:3,9	function 72:2	108:18 110:22	good 73:20 95:22
44:1,4 126:3	93:13 94:8 95:5	fund 1:9 6:11,11	116:15 119:21	96:1 100:12
129:9	99:4,23 100:19	9:5,5 13:6	generallys 40:6	grad 5:7
foregoing 135:24	101:3,25	70:24,25 71:1,6	generating 42:12	graduate 4:14,15
137:4	102:15 103:15	71:7,8 72:8	Germans 63:14	group 5:19 6:11
foreign 89:15	104:18 108:2	73:15 74:11,12	getting 10:4	6:16 9:9,14,15
forgave 123:15	128:13 130:7	74:13,13,14,17	23:24 44:8	9:21 10:7,9,14
forget 86:7	130:16 131:11	75:2,6 80:20,23	51:13 59:8	10:15,24 11:7
forgetting 9:8	131:21 133:13	81:16 86:5	give 5:21,24 14:4	35:9 43:18
forgive 123:22	133:22 134:8	109:3	16:13 39:3	61:21 62:10,11
forgiven 96:8	134:17 135:2	funds 10:21,22	67:25	83:5 109:3
106:7,16,17,20	found 61:18	12:13 29:9 30:2	given 15:5 43:2	110:24 115:2,5
122:19,24	foundation	30:19 31:20	43:22 47:23	115:18,21
123:11,11	113:20 125:17	34:8 35:11	70:12 73:21,22	117:25 118:16
forgiveness 97:10	four 6:13 128:23	75:24,24 76:1	74:1 102:8	126:8
97:17 122:14	frame 4:24 7:11	80:9 85:14	123:3 129:2	groups 6:19,20
forgiving 123:7	7:12 8:10,14,24	128:19	global 52:25	guess 8:8 88:24
form 6:16 8:25	12:6 20:12 24:8	further 3:6 24:19	70:24 74:12	104:21
11:17,24 12:25	25:1 66:12,20	84:18 126:24	75:6 101:13	
14:12 21:24	67:16 106:13	127:1 135:10	go 15:19 16:1	<u> </u>
22:8,15 23:10	123:18	137:17	20:1 43:6 54:4	half 5:9 94:20
25:3,11 27:4	Frank 8:17 11:1	future 17:4 45:16	65:12 81:9	95:11 110:20
29:10 32:25	20:9 29:13	49:13,20 53:17	82:18 85:10	half-million
1				

123:9	121:7 127:13	held 6:16 10:20	41:2,2,12,16,24	28:5 30:10,11
hand 90:9	127:17 128:1	121:10,12	42:5,20,22 43:6	33:13 68:18
handled 11:9	128:23	122:20,25	43:7,9,10,12,16	69:5,11 92:3
26:21 35:9 40:8	HCMFA's 70:23	hell 74:24	44:5 49:11	102:19 106:18
handles 11:7	119:25	help 33:2 65:22	50:19 51:2,4,19	hundreds 65:16
hands 57:2	HCMLP 10:21	66:20 67:16	51:20 58:13,21	
happened 35:25	29:4 42:20 60:2	100:4	59:9 64:24	I
47:13 59:2,4	68:8 71:17 72:2	helped 105:9	65:18,21 70:24	idea 7:24 57:10
93:8,11	72:2,23 76:2	helping 31:14	71:6,11 72:7,10	89:12 108:13
happening 27:14	80:1 94:1 104:8	Hendrix 9:16	74:12,25 75:8	identified 72:25
happens 47:13	109:24 110:3,4	18:16,19,24	75:10,12,14,23	illiquid 11:13
happy 55:22	110:12,15,16	20:3 27:19	75:24,25 76:4,5	important 44:6,7
hard 15:4 18:1	113:15 115:8	39:13 52:11	76:12 79:8,25	59:18,20 93:22
26:13 47:20	118:8,13	84:17 99:2,18	80:2,7 81:18	importantly
63:7 71:5	121:25 122:8	100:14,25	84:9 88:14 89:1	85:15
134:12	125:11,23	103:3,14	89:4,12 90:4,5	impossible 80:24
harder 11:13	126:20 128:1	117:25 124:4	90:22 92:19,22	91:25
HARDT 2:3	HCMS 2:20	hereof 48:16	93:23 97:11	impression 52:24
HARR 2:3	95:24 98:23	hereon 48:15	104:11 105:22	in-house 23:3
hat 43:15	99:8,10 107:3,4	hereto 137:16	106:6 107:2,24	inappropriate
hate 57:1 97:4	107:5 109:21	hesitate 64:17	109:22 110:8	81:20
Hayley 83:21	109:25 110:3	hesitating 106:16	112:20 119:12	inartful 77:16
118:10	124:22	hey 42:23 85:9	122:19,19,23	incidental 128:21
HCM 76:16	HCRE 2:20	hierarchy 10:25	123:7,14,21	include 52:18
HCMFA 13:6	95:24 98:23	high 105:5	125:4 126:14	107:25
53:20 65:10	99:8,10,21	Highland 1:6,9	Highland's 42:13	included 85:12
68:8 71:10,17	100:17 107:3	5:11,12,14,22	51:9 72:13,14	115:5 116:16
72:8,8,12,12,24	107:11,20	6:6 7:25 8:4,12	history 62:2	116:23 118:3
73:3,5,7,14,17	108:6,12,22	8:16 10:14,18	116:3,7 118:22	119:8,13,25
73:21 74:10,24	110:6,7,10,12	10:20 11:23	119:2,12 120:5	120:7,12,19
75:1,1,21 76:11	110:15 124:20	12:6,8,9,23	120:17	122:5,7 126:3
76:16,17 78:14	he'll 94:14	13:4,5,14,17,18	hit 82:3	including 79:14
78:22 79:1,13	head 62:15	13:21 14:3,22	hits 82:9	115:17
79:14 80:2,20	107:10	15:2,15 16:5,6	HMS 99:21	inconsequential
80:22,25 81:15	headers 36:25	17:5,6 23:2,21	100:17	127:23
85:16 87:2,5,9	hear 52:4 113:8	23:21,22,23	hold 4:18 121:15	incorrect 55:5,6
87:15 88:10	heard 30:17	25:2 26:17,18	honestly 58:22	55:11
89:2,3,12,13,20	62:16 74:3	26:19,23,25	hope 43:20 82:7	INDEX 3:1
90:17,21,23	91:14,17,21	28:2 29:4,18,20	hopelessly 53:16	indicate 44:22
91:23 92:19,20	122:22 125:18	29:24 31:12,17	Houlihan 71:23	80:7,16
93:16,21,23	hearing 101:10	32:6,23 33:3,4	hours 11:22 12:6	indicates 135:5
110:2 115:8	hearsay 79:17	33:7 34:7,19	12:7,10	individual 31:8
118:8,13,14	heavy 107:13	35:3,4,10 36:2	housed 72:2	52:2 72:24
119:18 120:6	hedge 6:11 30:15	36:24 37:10	human 71:19	individuals
120:11,18,24	33:12	39:22 40:22	hundred 23:14	106:19 123:3
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>l</u>

	I	I	I	I
inflow 59:8	103:22	105:17 108:1	100:10	99:12 100:8
info@dickman	insulting 132:5	113:12 124:13	keeper 26:15	101:10 102:25
138:3	133:6,9	issued 124:16	keeping 129:1	104:13,13,20
informal 83:25	insurance 80:20	issues 75:15	kind 10:25 14:23	105:12 106:11
105:14	80:22 81:5,6,9	79:23 121:6	15:25 52:12	106:14 108:7,8
informally 62:5	81:14,19 82:4		89:7 99:13	110:2,3 112:23
information	82:10,19	J	106:12 108:22	117:11 119:7
59:16 129:1	intended 44:15	Jack 106:15	108:23 109:8	119:18 120:11
informed 62:10	44:19 111:6	January 29:16	Klos 1:14,18 4:1	121:12,23
102:3	130:3	42:16 44:13	4:6 61:24 64:22	123:17 127:23
inject 90:22	intent 95:12	58:11,15,25	95:22 109:19	129:6 130:10
ink 84:23	interco 68:8	59:23 60:2,23	117:21 136:5	130:18 131:23
insinuation 16:9	115:22	61:7,18 112:22	knew 70:6,11	knowing 102:23
17:1	intercompany	113:3,16	85:22 128:23	knowledge 7:24
insolvent 87:2,6	32:22 41:3	Jim 2:20 24:11	129:3	13:8,10 24:13
installment	65:17 68:9,11	26:4,4 29:13,14	know 4:19 5:1	34:6 35:1 44:14
110:25 111:7	68:12 69:10	30:17 31:9 34:2	9:22,23 10:19	48:20 49:10
112:1,8,16	interest 37:4,8,13	34:6 35:23 36:1	11:11 12:7,19	60:25 61:16
113:4 114:7,12	37:15 38:9	36:9 44:8 53:16	15:6,13 17:10	63:24 64:5 67:6
125:12,23	40:12 45:12	68:14,18 69:1,3	18:7,8,11 19:10	73:1 79:17
126:4 131:3,10	46:8,8,16,20,25	70:15 80:5 89:9	20:4 23:7,17	98:10 100:6,9
installments	47:1,4,4,11,12	93:25 94:2 97:9	24:1,7,9,12,15	104:10 109:21
14:22 130:23	47:13,14,18,19	101:18 105:22	25:5,7 28:21,23	110:7 112:23
instance 1:20	47:20,21,24,25	108:20,21,23	29:6 31:11,13	121:19 122:10
31:20 34:11,16	48:4,5,7,15	118:9 121:22	31:23,25 32:3,7	123:10,21
34:18 35:25	49:4,6,12,12,20	Jim's 26:15,16,20	35:15 37:25	125:10
39:22 42:22	49:23 50:9,21	26:22,24 36:7	38:11,12,19	known 61:9
68:24 86:22	51:3 86:2 111:3	90:7 93:6 94:19	39:9 40:20	69:23 70:1
instances 32:5	131:15	108:21	43:16,21 44:18	KOPF 2:3
65:16 71:8 91:5	interested 83:13	jmorris@pszjl	45:4 48:10	Kristin 9:16
institutional 9:5	137:19	2:14	51:16,25 53:1	18:16 39:13,17
instruct 115:7	internal 22:21,25	John 2:12 36:16	53:17,25 54:20	52:1 53:7,8
instructed	internally 96:16	joined 5:14 29:24	54:20 55:14	59:11 61:13
125:11	investment 74:19	122:23	60:14,19,22	62:4,15,15 83:1
instructing 85:9	investments	JONES 2:10	62:13 70:10	83:2,20 99:14
133:2	107:16	judge 132:21	73:17 76:14	101:7,24 102:3
instruction 52:25	investors 74:17	juggled 31:24	77:23 78:20	102:5,8,10,11
61:13,24 62:12	75:5	July 29:17 58:24	81:4,21,25 82:2	108:5,8,12,14
62:17 99:19	involved 10:7	jumble 48:1	82:4,8,19 83:11	109:10 117:25
101:6,13,17,23	25:14,24 60:17	June 11:21 45:23	84:6,25 85:17	118:15 119:3
102:3,5,8,20	iota 16:13	45:24 49:2	85:18,20 86:1,4	Kristin's 100:6
103:7 124:7	irrespective	K	87:6,17 90:18	100:22 118:20
125:15	90:11	K-l-o-s 4:7,8	90:19,25 91:5	т
instructions	issue 29:18 36:20	keep 27:21	91:13,16 93:19	L L D1.610
100:15 103:14	43:7,8,17 93:10	KCCP 27.21	96:14 98:21	L.P 1:6,10
	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

			•	
lacks 113:19	level 23:20,24	68:16,21 69:10	looked 111:20	25:23
125:17	36:6 82:15	69:15,17,19,24	looking 22:3 44:1	March 5:12,14
land 132:21	88:14 105:5	70:2,10 73:14	117:17	6:3 13:24 37:6
language 74:25	liabilities 87:10	74:2,4,7 76:15	looks 27:25 39:6	80:19 122:17
large 55:2 59:8	87:12 122:8	76:21 78:3	loop 53:9	marching 52:3
59:13 93:20	liability 120:12	79:13,24 85:18	lost 41:21 63:16	marked 3:10
131:25	120:19	85:20 89:21	lot 100:1 129:20	Master's 4:16
larger 41:7 58:3	liaison 10:15	90:10,13 91:2,6	loud 118:6	material 15:7
largest 123:8	11:5	92:3,15 95:4	LP 6:6 8:5 12:14	matter 109:11,12
late 106:13	liberally 12:8	107:25 110:19	12:15,17 13:6	matters 26:22,24
launching 10:3	license 4:20,22	115:22 116:4,8	23:22 26:19,24	maturity 131:20
Lauren 23:2	licenses 4:18	116:16,23	29:5 110:8	MBA 4:17
law 2:5,12,19	life 131:18,19	117:3 118:8,10	lying 79:16	131:25
81:22 82:1,9,16	limited 104:7	118:11,13,17	7.5	mean 9:18 15:21
82:22 95:23	lines 5:18	118:25 119:4,7	M	24:10 26:9,11
Lawler 106:17	linked 92:15,17	119:14 122:14	machine 1:24	104:6 114:18
Lawn 2:17	liquidity 29:4,5	123:6,10,14,22	magnitude 92:12	meaning 51:4
lawsuit 112:14	29:18,20,23	loaning 72:7,11	maintained 28:2	68:9
lawsuits 97:15	30:7,21 31:21	89:1 92:19,22	maker 46:15,23	means 47:4,12
lawyer 23:4	31:25 32:6,22	loans 32:4 33:14	47:1 50:8	48:21 63:12
82:21	33:9 34:20	36:9 40:8 65:17	114:12 122:20	84:7 132:1
leading 65:17	35:19 36:2 40:7	66:3,5 80:7	122:25 129:18	133:12,20
learn 30:25 51:21	42:13,20 43:7,8	84:1 85:10	129:24	134:7 135:7
51:23 58:6,6	43:17 73:2,14	89:10 90:8	makers 126:13	meant 50:12
learned 61:6	73:18 74:10	91:12,14,23	126:19	medical 82:3,10
learning 58:20	75:1,15,22	94:1,7,22 95:2	making 71:8	82:10
left 7:14,20 46:8	list 59:10 106:12	98:17,22	75:18,19 85:12	meet 31:14 33:8
46:9 109:20	109:9	105:16 106:6	99:20 100:16	73:2
legal 18:10,20	litigation 15:5	106:19 107:25	107:20,23,25	meeting 52:12
84:9 112:3,10	16:6,11 18:1,25	108:1 119:24	108:9 126:9	57:25
130:9	19:21 126:19	120:8,12,19	132:20	meetings 25:24
legally 82:19	litigations 17:7	123:2	man 17:23	43:5,24 62:1,3
84:10	little 9:2 12:12	logically 42:15	128:10 130:20	63:2,19
length 19:24	14:2 30:15	69:18	manage 32:2	Melissa 26:10,12
124:2	33:12 39:3 48:1	Lokey 71:24	managed 10:21	108:14,17,18
let's 8:14 12:12	98:15 106:23	long 15:8 16:5	10:21 70:24	109:1,5,9
18:22 21:21	107:5	17:5,9 34:25	Management 1:6	member 62:14
24:19 27:7 28:6	live 4:11,12	43:5 62:4 84:12	1:9 5:12 6:6 8:5	members 10:17
61:7 66:19	LLP 2:17	122:16	13:6 23:22,24	memory 27:8
68:24 81:17	loaded 16:22	long-term 86:7	26:19,23 27:1	28:17,19 42:16
103:25 109:19	loan 9:6 28:1	look 15:19 27:15	29:4,19 32:6,24	42:18 50:23
122:14 123:25	33:11,21 34:9	38:15 39:4	35:3 71:6 107:2	68:15 71:18
letter 14:15,17	34:13,20 35:12	66:19 114:15	110:8	85:8 87:13
58:13,17	36:1 39:25 40:1	117:10,22	manager 7:2,3	91:20 92:4,7
letters 80:5	41:9 68:11,13	134:3,15,19	managing 9:25	93:9,12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	-			

		45.0 17 46.21		
mental 48:1	minutes 39:21	45:9,17 46:21	mouth 36:7	negative 37:7
mention 10:6	105:25 126:25	47:8,15 48:8,22	Move 120:15	44:4
114:7	misconstrued	49:15 50:2,13	125:16	negotiation 22:7
mentioned 12:13	134:23	51:5 52:21	moved 6:10	22:13,18
61:20 88:13	missed 60:25	53:22 54:7 55:3	muddy 43:14	neither 93:23
98:7 107:23	missing 107:10	55:12,19 56:11	MUNSCH 2:3	never 18:2 56:25
108:4 110:6	mistake 80:12	57:8,19 60:12	mutual 74:13,14	72:25 74:3
116:10	126:8 127:21	61:1 63:5,13	N	78:12 80:13
met 31:9,25	127:23 128:4,7	64:9 65:19,25		91:14 105:15
Michael 2:18	MLP 59:9	66:7,15,24	name 4:4 26:11	105:18,20
95:16,23	moment 32:12	67:17 69:20	26:14 39:10	new 2:11 7:16
135:11	67:16 73:21,22	71:3,12,20	87:21 95:23	26:11,13 68:8
michael.aigen	116:6 122:15	76:18,22 77:6	names 106:14	68:11 85:10
2:22	monetization	77:20 78:4,23	Nancy 104:24	115:22 118:8
mid 112:21	15:2	79:5,19 81:2,11	105:16,21	132:21
middle 6:10 80:4	monetizing 97:12	81:23 82:6,13	nature 10:1 70:4	NexBank 124:16
108:22	money 15:17	83:13,17 85:21	70:6,7,11 84:1	NexPoint 10:4
million 18:1	33:3,3,7 34:19	87:3 88:18 89:5	NAV 70:25 71:2	12:13,14,14,17
21:22 28:13,14	39:22 41:12,16	90:14 91:3,9,19	71:8,19,25	12:24 13:3,16
28:14 45:25	42:4 45:20	92:5 93:13 94:8	72:19,20,21	21:21 26:3 27:1
46:3 49:1,8	59:13,19 70:4	94:13,16 95:5	73:4,15 79:14	27:12 36:22
58:25 68:7 69:2	72:11,12 73:5	95:16,20 99:4	80:20 81:1,14	37:11,19 40:22
70:7,19,23 72:7	75:12 76:11,12	99:23 100:5,11	85:22 89:20	41:3,15,15 42:4
73:18,25,25	76:13 79:24	100:19 101:3	90:2,3 92:11,16	42:9,11,17,22
74:7,8,24 75:4	92:19,22	101:25 102:15	92:17,18 104:2	43:11,13 53:19
75:9,22,23 76:3	113:24 120:8	103:15 104:18	104:10	56:21 57:6,10
76:19 77:4,10	month 11:22 12:6	108:2 109:19	necessarily 31:25	57:14,18,23
77:11,18,18	months 6:13 19:6	111:11 112:7	50:11 53:4	58:17,25 59:12
78:3,10,22	59:5 75:14	112:13 113:22	69:12 107:14	59:24 62:18
79:12,22 80:2	morning 36:16	116:21 117:2,9	need 29:18 31:16	63:3,20 64:8
80:19 81:18	Morris 2:12 3:5	117:13,16,21	33:12 37:3	65:9 72:22,24
82:25 85:4,18	8:25 11:17,24	119:11,18	41:24 54:5	75:17,19 78:13
85:20,23 86:13	12:25 14:12	120:5,11,17,23	58:14 73:3 93:7	110:19 111:14
89:2 90:17,20	17:20,25 20:17	125:18,21	93:20,21	111:22,25
90:22,24 91:22	20:21,24 21:2,8	126:24 128:13	106:25 107:4	112:15 113:3
91:22 92:8,15	21:24 22:8,15	129:12 130:7	needed 30:3	113:10,15
93:3 115:8	23:10 25:3,11	130:16,19	31:10,12 32:6	120:24 124:18
116:3,23 118:8	27:4,16 29:10	131:1,5,11,21	35:19 41:12	NexPoint's
118:9,13,24	32:25 33:24	132:2,8,11,15	73:5,17 74:10	110:25 111:6
119:7,14,25	34:21 35:13	132:19,23	74:25 75:21	112:21
122:5	36:4,18 37:20	133:3,5,13,22	76:11	night 36:17
mind 36:8 56:20	38:17,25 39:7	134:8,17 135:2	needing 29:5,22	nomenclature
76:9 100:2	40:3,14 41:17	135:10,13,15	needs 29:4 31:14	83:24
mine 24:1	41:20 42:24	135:19	33:9 34:20 40:7	nominal 62:1
minimus 127:22	43:19 44:16,24	motion 132:18,20	42:20 44:9	nonstop 44:5
	<u>- </u>		<u>- </u>	-

North 1:24 2:3	114:21 122:5	49:15 50:13	72:20,25 85:13	opinion 44:6
138:2	122:12 124:10	51:5 52:21	92:13 112:24	opposed 40:22
NORTHERN 1:2	124:11,13,24	53:22 54:7 55:3	October 1:15,21	42:9 75:8,10
note 21:22,23	125:4,7,8,13,25	55:12,19 56:11	31:18 80:11	85:1 86:3 89:20
22:2,5,7,14,22	126:10,13,20	57:8 60:12 61:1	120:24 127:11	90:12 102:20
23:9,15 24:10	127:17 128:23	63:5 64:9 65:19	128:22 129:3	103:8 127:17
24:18,21,23	129:7 130:12	65:25 66:7,15	offended 17:22	oral 96:4,7,23
25:2,9,17 27:2	130:15	69:20 71:3,12	offer 14:15,17	97:8,15,22 98:1
27:9,13,14	Notwithstanding	71:20 76:18	offhand 84:16	98:5,12
33:17 38:15	110:23 111:25	77:6,20 78:4,23	office 5:10,10	order 27:21
39:4 40:11,13	November 54:4	79:5,19 81:2,11	10:17 72:4	124:9
40:17 46:12,16	63:18 124:8	81:23 82:6,13	121:10	orders 52:3
46:25 48:5,14	137:22	85:21 87:3	officer 7:14,17,22	ordinary 128:11
49:10 50:25	number 12:2,10	88:18 89:5	8:23,24 9:3	organization
51:10 57:18,23	14:10 22:4	90:14 91:3,9,19	10:13 13:21,22	72:4
58:4,20 59:12	23:19 27:16	92:5 93:13 94:8	53:4 121:6,13	original 27:12
60:7 63:4,21	28:9 75:3,4	95:5 99:4,23	123:22	originated 43:9
64:8 65:9 66:6	numbered 1:21	100:19 101:3	officer-level 7:25	ought 62:23
66:10,13 70:22	27:19	101:25 102:15	13:18	outlook 44:2
75:18,20 76:7	numbers 14:4,19	103:15 104:18	officers 105:22	outside 11:9
79:1,22 82:25	28:6 44:4 81:18	108:2 111:9	106:7 121:18	128:11
83:21 84:3,9,16	nutshell 54:12	112:3,10	123:15	outstanding 38:8
84:16,18,19	NY 2:11	113:19 116:20	official 12:16	45:22 46:9,10
85:4 86:2,3,3,8		116:25 119:9	13:7	47:1,19 49:5
86:13 89:15	0	119:16 120:4,9	oftentimes 32:3	125:3 129:2
92:15 111:22	o0o 1:4	120:15,21	Oh 100:12	overall 10:10
112:9,12	Oak 2:17	125:16 128:13	okay 18:14 20:8	30:6
113:16 122:19	oath 97:6 106:4	130:7,16 131:5	51:8,16 52:10	overpayments
122:24 127:13	object 50:2 100:8	131:11,21	65:13 74:1,7	53:19 55:2
127:14,17	objected 118:20	132:2 133:13	76:25 81:21	oversaw 9:17
128:16 130:3	objection 8:25	133:22 134:8	82:23 84:4 93:9	overseeing 9:14
131:18,20	11:17,24 12:25	134:17 135:2	100:3 110:18	9:14,21
133:12 134:3,4	14:12 20:17	obligated 122:12	110:23 112:25	oversight 6:20
134:11,15,20	21:2,24 22:8,15	obligation 25:25	117:2 119:11	9:4,8
notes 16:7 17:7	23:10 25:3,11	80:1 110:25	120:23 124:7	oversimplify
19:25 23:13,14	27:4 29:10	111:6,14	125:8 126:2	32:11
26:3 36:19	32:25 33:24	112:16 113:11	132:19	owed 94:1
40:21 50:19	34:21 35:13	113:17 114:12	old 106:22	owes 45:19
52:18 65:10	36:4 37:20	obligations 45:21	one-page 84:11	owing 113:24
66:20 67:12	38:17,25 39:2,7	121:24	oOo 135:22	121:25
76:1 84:14,22	40:3,14 41:17	obligor's 33:8	open-end 74:13	P
85:2 86:12	42:24 43:19	obviously 85:18	open-ended 25:6	
87:14,24 88:7	44:16,24 45:9	occur 55:8 96:9	operate 29:5	P 37:25
88:10,15,16	45:17 46:21	occurred 47:5	operations 9:6	P.M 1:22,22
96:8 97:11,16	47:8,15 48:8,22	61:17 70:25	107:19	135:21
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

PACHULSKI	61:23 68:16	68:20 72:16,18	people 51:19	petition 123:23
2:10	119:5	75:1,5 76:16	71:22 72:3	phrase 51:3 97:4
package 83:18	particularly	85:13 89:10,14	95:10 103:18	physical 85:5
page 3:2 28:8	35:22 71:23	92:20 93:22,24	people's 26:1	physically 22:23
37:2,2 114:15	parties 71:23	93:25 99:13	57:2	66:14
130:1	137:18,21	110:25 111:7	percent 15:25,25	pick 45:20 48:25
pages 20:16,25	Partners 2:21	112:1,5,7,9,11	23:14 28:5	109:20
117:13	99:9	112:15,16,21	30:10,11 33:13	piece 15:20,21
paid 14:11,20	partnership	113:4,11,15	36:8 68:18 69:4	pin 8:6
76:3,4,6 80:19	104:8	115:15 126:9	69:5,11 74:18	place 33:20 35:2
80:22 81:16	parts 72:4	126:21 129:19	74:22 92:3	66:13 137:8
85:16 135:7	party 71:7	129:24 135:1	102:19 106:18	Plaintiff 1:7 2:13
paper 85:10	102:22	payments 25:8	perception 53:14	plan 14:22,23,25
118:11 119:4	pass 95:15 135:9	25:10,16,18,19	perform 126:21	17:11
papered 33:16	passing 98:7	27:8,11,13	performed 71:24	plane 82:7
66:6 68:21	pattern 66:12	36:13,14,19,22	performing 9:25	130:21
papering 84:19	109:11	36:24 37:13	period 23:16	platform 10:20
85:5 118:17	pause 7:7,16	39:15,18 40:20	93:5 104:2,11	12:10
paragraph 50:8	63:13	40:21 41:5,6,8	104:21 116:14	play 15:6 64:15
114:4,7,10,11	pay 47:1 49:14	41:12,24 42:17	120:1 137:15	please 4:5 5:5
114:16,17	49:24 74:25	44:12,23 45:3	perjury 135:23	14:5 30:22
129:15	82:5 88:8,10,12	48:14 51:19	person 7:19,20	66:18 68:7
pardon 46:14	90:20 103:22	52:20 55:9 56:1	7:21 9:17,18	83:21 95:20
parentheses	103:22 112:16	59:10 60:21	39:9,10 44:21	114:1,22
118:9	113:23 122:12	61:15,16 64:7	72:25 77:3	115:14 118:6,7
part 13:14 17:4	payable 14:23	64:23 75:18,20	80:25 81:10	118:10 127:2
25:22,23 30:23	74:18 93:16	94:21 98:17,23	101:10 115:14	129:12
46:15,24 58:2	125:4	99:1,3,20	137:8	pled 98:13
61:21 62:6 70:3	paygrade 60:11	100:16 101:1	personal 26:16	plenty 57:3
70:9,10,11	60:14	103:23 107:6	26:22,24 44:14	point 6:10,14 7:2
73:19 75:17,19	paying 72:12	107:20,23,25	48:20 49:10	7:3,3,4 9:13
76:8 83:17	payment 26:8	108:6,10	76:1 98:10	18:8 24:16
103:17 106:8	27:10 37:6,11	110:19,23	131:18,19	29:25 36:23
106:17,20	37:18,23 38:5,6	111:5,13,21,25	personally 25:13	37:12 39:4
117:13 121:2	38:7,12,23	114:8,13 124:9	25:17 64:19,20	45:15 53:3
122:2,24	40:10,11,17,17	125:12,15,23	64:22 108:21	56:16 57:1
123:15 126:20	45:7,25 46:3,6	126:4,9,14	personnel-wise	60:10,16 61:17
participated	46:7 48:25 49:1	130:4,6,23	107:7	63:2 73:19
30:12 121:19	49:2 51:10,14	payroll 10:1	perspective	79:21 80:3,4,5
participating	51:16 56:22	53:21 107:21	33:23 35:20	80:5,14 83:23
61:25 62:3	57:7,11,14 58:7	pays 48:4 81:9	pertain 72:22	89:10 97:9
particle 63:15	58:18 59:1,2,3	82:4,10,19	pertained 105:8	101:12 109:6
particular 20:22	59:15,24 60:3,6	PC 2:3	pertaining 93:20	128:18 129:2
28:13 29:18	60:22,25 61:18	PE 97:12	97:10	pointed 102:22
31:6 46:12	62:19 64:13,15	penalty 135:23	pertains 79:22	points 7:6 20:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	·	·	·	

	ı	ı	ı	ı
policy 33:20 35:2	84:2,9,17	128:19	process 17:9	43:12
35:6	prepared 26:7	primary 9:15	32:10 51:19	providing 12:24
portion 16:4	53:18 54:9	109:5	66:17 83:24,25	provision 114:19
21:12	64:20 66:14	principal 37:5,9	109:8 121:3,4	129:22,25
portions 20:8	80:8	37:13,16 38:9	122:2	public 10:3
position 7:25	preparing 18:9	40:12 45:12	processing 10:1	purport 28:8
13:18 43:22	19:20 129:8,10	46:9,16,24 47:2	produced 1:18	purpose 23:8,12
51:9 79:11,18	prepay 46:15,19	47:22 48:16	83:14,16 117:6	85:25
121:13,15	46:24 47:24,25	49:8,24 123:11	production	purposes 30:21
possibility 79:23	48:5,7 49:19	131:16	117:14	31:21 32:22
possible 17:17	50:8	print 117:8	professional 4:18	36:3 73:8 75:22
48:11 50:3 74:5	prepaying 50:21	prior 14:22 19:18	program 105:9	pursuant 12:22
89:23,25 90:19	51:3	24:19 27:9	progression 5:24	13:14 74:15
91:17 95:1,8,9	prepayment	31:19 40:10	promissory 16:7	112:11
95:10	37:19,23 38:1	53:18 56:17	22:14 23:9	put 6:15 10:2
possibly 41:6	38:11,13 46:13	57:5,12,17,21	24:21 25:2	26:1 86:6 93:6
potential 53:19	63:23 64:12	69:17 87:14,15	27:13 33:17	
92:12 97:17	113:5,12,18	88:15 92:4	38:15 39:4	QQ
potentially 30:14	114:11 129:16	97:14 98:12	46:12 50:19	qualification
96:8,14,16	129:18,23	104:11 112:14	52:18 63:4	64:4
power 89:9 90:21	130:5 131:2,9	112:15 113:5	65:10 66:6,10	qualitative 16:1
90:23	131:14 132:1	113:10,18	66:13,20 67:12	question 9:1
practice 30:1,5	133:12,20	122:22 123:23	70:21 85:2	11:18,25 13:1
34:4,14 35:18	134:7,20,25	126:7,12,18	97:11,16	14:13 16:8,22
50:4 69:23 70:3	135:5,7	131:20 135:1	122:19 130:3	16:25 17:2
73:6 78:7 79:4	prepayments	priorities 89:11	130:12,14	20:24 21:25
86:5 90:7	27:2 38:10	privilege 132:6	131:18,19	22:9,16 23:11
109:11,12	44:15,19,23	133:2,4	133:12	24:25 25:4,6,12
practices 70:11	45:1,5 63:4,21	probably 4:24	promoted 6:1	27:5 29:11 33:1
pre 135:5	64:8 134:12	6:12 8:9 9:7	proper 108:10	33:25 34:15,22
precision 28:5	preposterous	15:11,19 23:19	132:11	35:14 36:5
predicate 41:22	89:15	24:7 35:12	protest 126:18	37:21 38:18,21
42:2	present 18:20,25	39:11 44:7	provide 110:10	39:1,8 40:4,15
preference 73:7	56:20 68:15	73:24,24 83:9	110:12	40:19 42:2,25
73:11 90:7	93:9	98:7 105:3,13	provided 13:2,3	43:20 44:10,11
prefix 135:5	presented 66:14	108:7 114:19	13:13 20:15,15	44:17,25 45:10
premise 43:1,3	president 43:10	problem 57:2	21:6 40:12	45:18 46:22
89:8	43:11 121:17	problems 29:21	76:13 80:6	47:3,9,16 48:9
prep 83:21	pretty 11:15	procedure 33:20	102:5 107:2,24	48:23 49:16,18
prepaid 49:22	60:24	35:2,6 39:5	109:25 110:4	49:25 50:2,14
131:18,19	previously 53:6	66:13 83:23,24	110:16 122:4	51:6 52:22
preparation	125:3	proceedings 5:3	125:14 137:15	53:23 54:8 55:4
19:13 21:9 67:7	pricing 10:16,16	96:6 108:1	provider 11:12	55:13,20,22
67:8 84:19	primarily 6:7	proceeds 80:22	71:24	57:9,20 60:13
prepare 54:6	26:22 88:5	81:6	providers 10:16	61:2,4 63:6

64:1,10 65:20	96:3,7,18	31:19 34:23	records 110:24	97:16,16 99:20
66:1,8,16,21	raising 62:17	36:6 41:13 42:3	111:4	100:16 102:20
67:16,24 69:21	rate 84:15 86:2,6	56:5,9,12,14	recover 17:15	103:7 137:20
71:4,13,21	ratify 28:6	57:17,22 58:10	recoveries 17:10	relates 18:5
76:19 77:7,16	ratio 104:2,10	58:16,20 59:8	recovers 16:6	70:21
77:21 78:5,9,24	rational 80:25	62:16 63:18	reduced 137:10	relating 19:23
79:6,20 81:3,12	rationally 92:25	67:18 82:24	reduction 38:8	relationship
81:24 82:7,14	reached 104:11	85:7 86:19	45:12	53:15 133:21
83:15 87:4	read 20:8,10,20	87:20 88:21	refer 125:7	relevant 5:5
88:19,24 89:6,8	20:25 21:11,11	92:10 99:12	reference 52:18	relieve 45:14
90:15 91:4,10	44:22 46:25	102:10 106:21	referred 87:24	111:6 114:11
93:14 94:9,12	48:24 118:6	116:15 118:19	123:2	129:18,23
94:13,14,21	127:8,14,21	118:22 120:23	referring 87:17	130:3,5
95:6,7 96:5	128:6 130:1	121:16 122:21	98:22	relieved 112:15
97:24 99:5,24	131:14 132:23	123:6,16 124:2	reflected 37:14	relieves 131:2
100:1,20,21	reading 21:5	127:20 130:13	44:13 120:8	reloaned 76:4
101:4 102:1,16	46:6 131:8	receipt 26:1	reflects 103:21	remainder 49:24
103:16 104:19	reads 46:23	receive 74:18	refresh 54:13	remember 5:16
104:22 108:3	real 107:13	received 99:19	regarding 16:7	7:5 12:1,18
119:3 125:20	realize 128:6	100:15 103:19	18:24 100:16	13:9 14:24
125:20 128:14	realized 127:21	106:19	127:5	15:10 18:6
130:8,9,17	really 6:12 9:16	receiving 22:24	regardless 16:16	22:22,24,25
131:4,7,12,22	10:15 16:8 54:4	33:3	16:20 60:17	32:5 34:11,16
132:4,5,11,14	73:9 78:12	recognize 27:23	72:24	34:18 38:20
132:24,24	83:13 95:9	104:7	regular 66:12	39:24 42:8
133:6,14,17,23	101:11 105:4	recollection 5:25	88:16	50:25 51:24
134:9,13,16,18	107:5 108:19	8:3 28:20 29:17	regularly 31:9	52:1,10,13,23
134:20 135:3	109:2 128:19	40:7 50:18	129:19,24	53:13 56:8 57:5
questions 19:12	129:6	51:18 52:15,17	130:4,6	57:16,24,25
77:12 80:13	realtime 85:14	55:7,8 68:17	reimburse 70:24	58:3,19,22
95:17 97:25	reason 23:8	75:21 76:6	reimbursed	59:25 60:8,20
109:15 110:18	30:14 35:21	78:16,17 88:3,4	81:15	62:20,22,25
111:19 114:3	40:23,24 41:1	91:24 94:5	reimbursement	63:1,23 67:4
126:24 129:13	54:24 55:10	95:13 101:24	53:21 72:23	69:13 71:14
135:10,12	67:22,24 75:17	105:7 115:4	reinstate 60:7	74:5,6 78:17
quick 48:12	75:19 99:21	123:21 125:6	reinvestment	83:22 84:20
quite 7:9 31:9	100:18,21	126:2	105:9	85:4 86:15,17
quote 115:22	113:7,14	record 4:5 16:14	reiterate 17:13	87:11,23 88:2
	115:24	25:9 64:6 68:3	REITs 10:3	92:10,11,12
$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{L}}$	reasoning 105:2	105:24 106:2	reject 67:22,24	93:2,17 94:5,18
radar 93:6	reasons 23:20	117:12	89:7	94:19,22 97:18
radars 26:1	74:1 88:4	recorded 37:13	relate 19:22	97:19 98:19
raise 24:5,7	recall 4:23 19:2	37:14 38:7	related 70:20	101:15 105:4
119:3	21:4,5 22:22	recording 25:15	74:11 81:1	108:5 109:7
raised 24:3 80:15	23:6 24:3 27:13	39:14	92:18 96:3	110:21 113:13
	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

123:4,8 124:5	121:13 125:13	123:12 124:17	49:9 50:1,5,17	49:19 61:11
130:11 131:4,7	125:24	123.12 124.17	51:8 53:11 54:3	90:9 92:23 94:6
remembering	respectful 76:25	125:5 126:5	54:11 55:6,16	94:22 109:9
34:10 92:23	respond 25:7	127:11,14,20	55:24 56:14	says 18:2 46:13
remind 99:6	60:15	132:25 133:6	57:12,21 60:19	46:14 48:5,14
rep 80:5	response 128:16	Rip 37:2	61:5 63:10,14	68:7 80:12
repaid 76:1	responsibilities	role 5:15 6:2,12	64:14 65:23	115:23 118:7
repay 89:3	7:15 8:7 9:22	6:16,18,21 8:22	66:4,11,19,25	118:18 127:17
repaying 93:25	108:16	9:4,11 11:5	67:1,18 68:4	129:17 131:2
repayment 76:7	responsibility	12:16 13:7,14	70:1 71:10,18	134:11
repeat 22:10 27:6	32:17,18 90:4,5	19:13 24:19	72:6 76:24 77:8	scenario 33:6
100:3	118:17	25:1,14,17,21	78:1,9 79:2,9	schedule 28:1
rephrase 20:24	responsible 11:4	25:22,23 26:25	80:18 81:8,21	37:15,25 38:12
22:11 49:17	39:14 71:7	39:10 53:4,6	82:2,8,17 83:16	45:3,7
133:5	108:9,17,19	58:16 62:1	83:19 86:1 87:8	scheduled 27:9
report 8:15 9:16	109:10,13,13	64:15,17 67:6	88:21 89:17	38:5 40:10
10:23 53:19	restate 61:10	67:11 78:13	91:1,7,13,20	56:22 57:7
120:24 121:24	resulted 71:25	84:18,21 85:5,8	92:7 94:3,11,14	129:19,24
reported 1:23	retail 9:4 80:9	85:11 86:13,21	94:17 95:7,14	130:4,6
reporter 117:8	120:25 121:20	128:18	110:18 111:9	schedules 64:21
135:13,16	121:23 122:4	roll 23:15	111:20 112:3	80:10 111:3
137:1,3,15	122:11 128:16	rolled 125:3	112:10 113:19	school 4:15 5:7
represent 19:15	128:19	rolling 88:15	116:20,25	Schroth 26:10,12
95:24	return 76:25	rolls 39:18 64:18	117:7,11,15	108:15,17
representation	reverse 40:9	roughly 6:9	119:9,16 120:4	Science 4:16
19:17	review 39:19	round 39:20	120:9,15,21	scratch 27:7
represented 2:4	48:12 121:3	81:18	125:16,19	screen 117:22
2:11,18	137:13	RPR 1:23	126:25 127:2	screwed 111:17
requested 137:13	reviewed 84:10	Rukavina 2:5 3:3	128:22 130:11	se 37:25 38:13
137:14	ridiculousness	3:6 4:4 9:10	130:19 131:8	second 28:8 37:4
reservation	97:5	11:21 12:3 13:5	131:17,24	67:25 72:15
91:25	right 16:17,21	14:16 17:23	132:6,9,13,17	73:2 103:25
respect 19:19	17:25 18:20	18:4 20:19,23	132:20 133:1,4	Section 46:13
22:17 24:20	21:23 33:22	20:25 21:6 22:3	133:8,18 134:1	130:22 131:8
25:2,18 35:3	37:5 41:25	22:11,19 23:17	134:14,24	131:14
38:3 41:11	45:16 47:6 55:1	25:8,16 27:7,17	135:8	securities 10:20
47:22 49:23	56:1 66:21	27:18 29:16	rule 39:5 127:6	11:14
51:9 58:16	67:20 68:9	33:5 34:6 35:1	rush 135:19	see 21:18 22:2
68:16 69:12	75:18 78:14	35:24 36:11,21		28:11,15,16
71:22,23 72:21	80:4 81:9 83:14	38:2,20 39:2,20	S	46:1,17,18
76:23 79:8 80:1	85:19 88:8,22	40:9,18 41:19	sane 80:25	48:17,18 49:2
80:8 82:25	89:19 107:9	41:22 43:4,23	satisfy 93:21	58:14 83:7,12
84:14,18 85:5	109:25 111:23	44:20 45:6,14	saw 128:16	113:9 114:5
86:14 94:3,20	114:24 115:2	45:23 47:3,10	saying 39:25	117:20,24
108:6 111:21	117:3,12	47:17 48:13	42:23 46:2,5	125:21 129:22
L				

129:25 130:24	service 11:12	12:10 45:21	45:24 47:20	105:14
130:25 131:1	71:24	signing 86:14	55:21 57:19	specifics 14:24
seeing 44:20 48:6	services 10:17	signs 80:5	58:25 63:16	93:18
48:11 59:8	12:23,24 13:3,4	similar 8:7 13:4	76:16 78:14	specify 93:7
61:19 85:14	13:13,15 41:3	13:16 74:9	88:22 99:8	speculate 15:4
114:9 130:11	43:12 53:20	82:24 107:12	108:11 129:14	29:2 32:11
seen 50:17,22,23	71:11,15,17	107:19	129:20	73:25
83:9 114:18	107:2,15,15,24	single 23:15	sort 53:6 97:8	speculating
126:18	108:8 109:22	29:21 32:17	102:23	28:25 41:11
Seery 15:8 18:13	109:24 110:4,7	34:12 36:8	sought 87:25	44:3
19:8,9,11 20:3	110:10,12,16	62:14 123:22	sounds 50:10	speculation 29:1
53:15 56:15,19	set 115:14 118:7	sir 4:4 17:18 18:4	80:21 81:19	29:3,6 83:6
57:13,15,18,23	settlement 9:6	28:11,15 33:10	82:17	113:19
60:5 96:25 97:3	seven 75:14	46:17 48:17	source 64:6	speed 106:24
97:21 98:2	123:23	67:2,19 76:14	speak 81:13	spelled 37:24
129:9,11	seven-figure	81:8 83:20	speaking 30:4	split 108:16
Seery's 21:12,19	113:23	89:18 127:5	40:16 77:22	spoke 18:10,19
semiannual	shape 6:16	129:15,17	108:18	101:6,9
14:22	shared 12:22	130:2 132:14	speaks 50:15	spoken 18:13,15
send 42:23 68:7	13:14 41:2	133:5,9	specific 8:6 14:4	spreadsheet
sending 33:4,5,6	43:12 53:20	sister 97:9	28:20 31:22	54:14
33:8 90:24	54:18 109:22	sit 89:11	34:11 38:3	stake 18:1
115:14	110:7	sitting 16:3,24	42:15,18 50:25	stamp 117:17
sends 80:2	sheet 120:13,20	17:3,14 42:14	51:1 52:23 58:4	standard 84:4,6
senior 5:17 7:3	sheets 80:6,8	44:20 63:24	68:17 91:24	86:9,9
sense 50:4,5	shocked 73:22	96:11 102:18	95:13 103:14	standpoint 92:18
73:20 104:4,5	short 6:12	103:6 104:15	112:23 123:17	135:6
108:20 113:22	short-term 86:7	131:24 133:10	129:25	STANG 2:10
113:25 134:22	shorthand 1:24	133:19	specifically 4:23	start 27:7 47:17
135:4	137:2,7,25	situation 31:22	15:10 34:24	95:19 111:17
sensitive 86:24	shortly 116:11	69:23 93:1	39:10 42:8	started 5:7 10:5
sent 36:16,18	show 28:8 117:5	situations 31:23	51:24 52:13	101:17 108:23
54:15 59:17	showing 44:4	32:3 34:5	56:7,12 62:13	starting 5:6
69:4 76:5 83:1	45:20 53:19	smart 17:18 50:7	67:20 69:14	state 1:23 4:4,19
83:2 115:1	76:20	SMU 4:15,17	71:14 74:6	51:12 114:10
sentence 46:14	shows 45:25	solvency 87:6	81:13 83:11	138:1
48:13,21 50:8	side 85:11 96:15	solvent 87:2,5	86:4 87:11,24	stated 137:9
50:16	sides 43:2	somebody 35:19	92:13 93:8	statement 26:7
sentences 127:25	sign 85:1	somewhat 128:20	94:22 98:21	119:14
separate 10:25	signatures 22:24	soon 118:10	112:20 115:10	statements 36:17
11:7 70:20	86:18	132:21	118:16	116:14,18,24
106:25 107:4	signed 84:22	sophisticated	specificity 34:24	119:8,19,22
Separately 52:10	significance	44:21	36:7 42:11	120:1
separating 79:23	128:11	sorry 9:20 22:19	53:13 82:16	STATES 1:1
Series 4:20	significant 12:2	24:22 41:21	96:14 102:24	step 32:9 68:25
<u> </u>				

	 I	 I	İ	l
134:5,5	summary 21:7	talked 19:15,24	tells 43:18 55:25	57:3 79:14
Stinson 2:17	supervision	69:13 94:4	94:23	122:5
95:23	137:11	103:3	temporarily	think 6:9 7:2
stood 87:11	support 87:20	talking 27:12	76:12	15:18 16:3
Stoops 7:23	supporting 12:9	32:16,20 54:1	tend 109:1	18:18 26:14
stop 21:10 65:9	72:3	88:15 98:19	term 7:16 8:1 9:3	30:15 31:6,8,22
94:15 100:13	suppose 31:18	102:13 103:2	24:10 45:1	32:9 36:16,19
street 1:25 2:4	50:3 85:11	117:16 121:5	47:21 86:3	39:21 41:1,10
10:17 82:3	95:10	122:6 127:6	88:15 98:17	50:15 53:2
strike 23:6 32:19	sure 5:7 6:2 19:4	talks 129:16	104:1,2,5,7,8	58:12,22 59:4
33:15 37:10	20:1 26:6 27:21	130:22	107:25 108:1	59:11 64:6 65:6
52:16 54:22	31:23 37:22	tax 73:7 107:6,6	124:10,11,13	65:7,8 75:2,13
63:25 67:4	44:7,8 47:19	107:19	125:7,13,25	78:6 79:16
120:15 125:16	49:18 51:13	team 6:5,15 10:5	126:10,13	80:24 84:15
string 118:4	55:21 58:8	11:3 25:14,22	134:21,21	85:23 86:10,15
127:5	61:11,25 64:3,3	32:13,16,19	terminology	87:21 88:13
strong 73:11	65:11,14 66:19	35:16 43:6,9	98:14	95:9 97:19
92:17	74:24 85:12	57:1 60:16	terms 6:19 9:23	99:14 101:5,9
structure 14:8	95:12 97:24	61:14 62:6,14	10:11 18:13,15	103:11 105:3
30:6	99:10 100:22	62:15 64:17	19:23 22:20	105:25 106:17
struggle 64:11	103:6 106:15	73:20 84:2 85:9	26:6 35:16	107:9,22 108:4
128:15 131:13	125:9 127:4	85:17 101:11	43:14 61:4	108:6 111:17
struggling 45:2	128:25 129:1	108:9 109:7	92:11 93:4	113:7,14,24
50:6,7 88:25	135:15	115:8,11,12,13	107:14,19	123:24
99:25	surely 128:22	technical 78:6	testified 4:2	thinking 57:4
subject 108:10	129:3	technically 4:20	41:23 99:18	117:11 129:7
Subscribed	surprised 130:14	36:23 37:12	100:14 124:2	Third 2:10 73:6
135:24	suspect 55:10	teeing 26:6	testify 16:15,19	third-party
subsequent 96:4	68:14 123:19	tell 7:11 14:18	testimony 35:24	10:16 11:12
96:7,23 102:9	suspend 111:14	24:22 28:4 34:2	62:9 79:12	thousand 92:6
102:12 103:2	switch 65:8	34:7 36:25 44:2	98:16 100:22	threatened 5:2
116:17 119:10	sworn 1:19 4:2	52:7 53:11	100:23 137:9	three 5:9 6:12
119:13 120:1,7	137:4	55:17 56:3	Texas 1:2,23,25	97:12 102:11
substance 19:1		58:23 59:5,14	4:19 81:22 82:1	124:10,11,13
98:6,9	T	69:16 71:16	82:9,16,21	124:24 125:2
substantially	take 12:22 14:5	83:17 93:4	135:25 138:1	throw 104:1
16:2	16:8 18:9 23:12	103:6 105:13	thank 95:14 96:1	Thursday 19:14
substantive 97:1	68:24 71:16	106:10 112:13	109:16,17	Tim 106:17
sue 82:11	117:21 118:17	112:25 113:2	124:19 135:8	time 4:24 5:15
suggested 55:1	taken 1:20 87:14	115:20 116:2,6	thanks 100:12	6:21,23 7:9,11
suggesting 62:22	137:7	116:22 119:12	Thedford 23:2	7:12,15 8:10,14
128:9	takes 16:5 17:5,9	120:6,18 122:9	thereto 137:21	8:24 10:2,4
Suite 2:4,17	talk 12:14 18:12	126:7,12 137:4	thing 33:22 53:6	12:6,10 16:14
138:2	21:21 54:3	telling 56:14	59:18 84:13	24:6,8 25:1
sum 113:24	98:15 122:14	58:14 68:15	things 10:1 25:25	28:21 29:25
L				

36:23 37:12,18	told 39:21 42:14	transfers 28:9,18	83:8 85:1 86:12	37:17 48:19
39:11 41:4,12	43:4 52:11,11	28:19,22 30:24	87:24 94:19	49:18 51:12,14
42:5,12 43:22	52:19 53:12	32:22 35:4,10	95:10 105:4,25	54:17,22,23
43:22 44:19	55:9 60:21	38:3 42:9 94:7	106:24 107:24	56:21 61:12,22
47:13 49:4	61:23 62:13,14	transitioned	119:24 121:18	70:18 72:7,10
52:14 53:2,8,14	62:15 68:12	109:1,6	122:12 127:25	75:7 79:4 87:1
53:15 54:21	69:9 70:9 85:19	transparent	129:4 134:22	87:9 91:11 97:6
56:16 59:23	91:8 95:1 97:25	115:17	two-paragraph	98:23 101:12
60:2,10 62:5	98:2 100:25	Treasurer	84:12	101:18,19
63:2 65:12	103:7 118:16	121:11	TX 2:4,18 138:2	102:2 112:19
66:11 74:10,20	118:23 122:11	treasury 9:6	type 98:12	121:9 124:3,15
79:4 80:15	122:23	107:6	types 13:4 78:13	133:11,20,25
83:23 84:12	tomorrow 79:11	treated 35:5	84:1 107:12,15	134:2,6
86:23 89:10,16	132:22	trigger 104:2,10	107:17 114:20	understood 52:2
92:10 93:5	top 107:9 127:7	104:21	typewriting	63:12 95:4
95:12 101:13	topic 96:2 98:3	triggered 104:11	137:10	102:25
108:24,25	topics 20:11	104:17	typical 84:7	unfair 34:17
108.24,23	103:25 106:23	triggers 14:25	typical 04.7	unfamiliar 80:21
111:12 112:14	total 116:15	107:17	U	UNITED 1:1
113:17 116:2	total 110.13	trouble 62:19	Uh-huh 7:18	unpaid 46:15,24
122:18 123:18	totallig 119.24 touched 98:16	true 29:6 88:9	13:23 47:7	47:2,20 48:15
129:2,7 134:12	track 111:2 129:1	135:24	75:11 127:9	48:15 49:4
135:1 137:8	tracker 118:10	trust 15:16 17:10	ultimate 16:12	131:15,16
timely 44:9 51:15	tracking 39:15	17:15 18:6	17:8,10	unscheduled
51:17	tracking 39.13	trusts 26:16	ultimately 11:4	38:23 64:7,23
times 7:5 32:15	34:13 70:21	65:23 108:21	39:19 64:18	75:18,20
61:20 63:8	76:9 115:21	truth 137:5,5,5	73:9	
76:19 92:6	116:7 118:24	truthful 100:22	um 63:13	upcoming 25:25 updated 54:15
timing 15:24	transcript 135:14	truthfully 16:16	unable 89:3,14	upfront 85:11
title 5:15,16 6:22	135:17 137:13	16:19	undergrad 4:15	use 14:19 56:25
	transfer 29:9		Undergraduate	70:23 81:17
7:1 10:9,11 12:16 13:7		try 62:23 106:24	4:14	
	30:2 31:8,20	trying 17:18	understand	108:20
26:14 titles 10:11 78:18	32:8 34:19 36:1 40:22 41:16	27:21 30:23 50:6 67:14 98:3	14:14 15:19	usually 31:15 33:16
		100:11 104:22	17:14 18:19	
today 5:21 14:3	69:2,10 76:15		30:23 47:4 51:8	utilized 71:17
15:12 16:3,13	77:4,18 85:16	130:20 132:9	61:11 62:8 66:4	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
16:16,19,24	90:12 91:22,23	133:8	67:25 68:2	valid 39:3
17:3,14 20:5	92:9 93:3 115:8	turn 114:15	88:25 96:17	valuation 5:17,19
42:14 44:20	transferred	123:25	97:24 98:8	5:24 6:2,15
57:5 63:25 65:6	30:20 75:24	turned 76:4 81:15	106:3 118:12	9:14 10:7,9,14
95:25 96:11	77:10 78:18		118:15 124:14	10:15,24 11:3,7
102:18 103:6	79:13	two 9:7 15:12	132:1	11:12 71:11,15
104:15 131:24	transferring 34:8	29:14 36:20	understanding	71:17,25 72:1
133:11,19	35:11 39:22	45:13 65:10	19:11 20:11,13	72:13,14,21
today's 47:6	42:4 75:9	66:20 77:12	17.11 20.11,13	12.13,17,21
	l		l	l

	·	·		
107:6	Waterhouse 8:17	121:5 122:6	51:7 52:23	worthiness 90:11
valuations 11:4	11:1 13:25 20:9	we've 19:24	53:25 54:9 55:5	wouldn't 25:13
value 11:14	30:13,14,19	75:13 78:12	55:14,21 56:12	38:10 43:8
71:16	39:25 41:14	wearing 43:15	57:10 60:14	56:22,23 60:16
valuing 10:19	42:3,7 43:24	week 36:20 83:7	61:3 63:7 64:11	64:8,20 77:24
variety 107:16	52:7,11,18,24	weekly 25:24	65:21 66:2,9,17	86:24 87:17
various 25:23	53:11 54:15,19	43:5,24 63:19	69:22 71:5,14	99:15
79:14	54:25 55:17,25	129:8,10	71:22 76:21	write 128:3,5
vary 17:12	60:20 61:23	weeks 59:5	77:22 78:6,25	writes 127:13
verbatim 94:17	62:9 65:3 69:9	welcome 117:19	79:7,21 81:4,13	writing 103:21
verbiage 132:25	77:17 78:2,20	127:7	81:25 82:15	103:24 113:9
verify 27:24	84:23 85:1,19	went 4:14 58:17	83:15 85:22	125:22 126:18
versus 87:12	86:14 91:8 94:6	81:17 85:14	87:5 88:20 89:7	written 35:2,6
videoconference	100:25 103:3	101:18	90:16 91:5,11	66:6
1:19 2:6,13,19	103:13 115:5	weren't 61:21	93:15 94:10	wrong 55:1 82:18
videotape 21:18	115:25 116:2	80:16 88:7	95:15 99:6,25	82:22 88:22
view 131:10	116:22 118:19	97:15 101:20	100:7,13,21	89:19
virtually 44:5	118:23 121:6	whatsoever	101:5 102:2,17	wrote 67:21
vis-a-vis 15:16	122:9 124:4,8	63:20	103:17 104:20	70:12
voluntary 37:24	125:11,22	wherewithal	108:4 109:17	www.dickman
vs 1:8	126:15 127:13	93:24	111:10 112:5	138:4
	127:16	wind 16:5 17:5	112:11 113:21	
W	Waterhouse's	winding 15:2,22	117:1 119:10	X
want 16:24 44:10	21:1,19 127:10	wire 59:17 61:19	119:17 120:10	Y
54:4 63:8 90:6	waters 43:14	118:7	120:22 128:15	
95:18 96:19	way 6:16 20:12	withdrawn 91:19	130:9,18 131:7	y'all 95:2
98:15 100:10	22:22 31:15	110:11 111:15	131:13,23	Yang 106:15
101:14 104:1	34:3 35:17,22	113:1,7,8	133:16,24	yeah 6:23 8:2
117:9 134:19	48:24 54:1 63:8	124:10,12	134:10,19	14:21 15:23
135:13,16	69:6 72:15,17	within-entitled	135:4,9 137:3	19:17 23:2
wanted 24:17	76:10 82:19	137:6	137:10	29:12,20 35:15 37:22 43:1
34:3 96:2	87:18 92:1,1,1	witness 1:19 9:2	word 56:25	
wants 90:16,20	92:2 93:24 94:6	11:19 12:1 13:2	133:11,20	55:14 56:24
90:22	95:3 97:5	14:14 19:12	words 10:24 36:7	59:2 60:14 61:3
wasn't 23:24	102:23 114:10	21:4 22:1,10,17	52:16,24 94:19	63:7,8,12,18 66:17 67:9 71:5
24:1 31:20	121:5 137:19	23:12 25:5,13	work 5:5 10:19	
37:18 38:5 50:4	ways 38:21	27:6 29:12 33:2	worked 5:8 11:22	83:24 89:7
51:11,15,16	we'll 20:1 54:3	34:1,23 35:15	62:4	91:11 94:10
53:4 57:1,3	125:7 132:17	36:6 37:22	working 5:7,11	96:10 98:20
59:20 60:15	132:21	38:19 39:9 40:5	12:5	99:25 101:5 102:2 124:15
62:6 70:17	we're 12:8 27:12	40:16 41:18,21	world 108:23	
72:19 73:3,3	32:15 43:11,12	43:1,21 44:18	116:3,7 118:22	125:1 135:19
75:2 101:11	64:1 65:8,9,10	45:1,11,19	119:2,12 120:6	year 6:1 9:25 29:22 49:21
103:17 108:12	65:12 79:22	46:23 48:10,24	120:18	
129:10	80:4 117:17,18	49:17 50:3,15	worth 34:10	111:1,8,12,13
	l			

113:4 123:17	46:12 49:10	200 12:6	102:17,17	51:10,15 56:17
126:5,15,22	114:1 129:12	2009 5:12,15 6:3	103:23 112:17	56:22 57:6,13
years 5:9 6:17	129:14	6:3 29:24,25	113:2,4,12	57:17,21 58:7,9
15:12 24:10,17	13-week 126:3	122:17	120:24 121:7	60:25 87:15
30:18 32:21	14 27:15,17,23	2010 6:9 106:13	121:21 124:1,9	88:1 98:18,24
33:20 34:17	36:12 40:20	123:18	121.21 124.1,9	120:2 124:25
39:14 48:7	42:17 44:13,22	2011 6:10,21	125.12,24	3102 2:17
65:17 66:3	48:12 49:1	106:13 123:18	128:23 129:3	3102 2.17 312 138:1
69:18 94:20	58:25 59:23	2012 123:18	2021 1:15,21	338- 37:8
95:3,11 123:23	60:2,23 61:18	2012 123.18 2017 6:22 22:13	13:24 58:25	34th 2:11
years' 34:10	64:2,5	23:7 24:8,18	59:23 60:2 61:8	3763 83:15
· ·	,	,		
yes-or-no 94:12	15 61:7	88:22 105:12	87:16 88:1	3800 2:4
York 2:11 132:21	15(c) 80:9 121:4	124:25	112:22,25	38th 1:25
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	127:6 128:17	2018 116:14	113:3,10,16	398,000 85:23
zero 46:8 49:6	16 8:9 31:18	119:14 120:2	126:8,13 128:2	4
	127:2	2019 8:11,14 9:10	129:4 136:1	
80:1	17 8:10	9:13 10:6 11:10	137:22	43:3 45:23 91:21
ZIEHL 2:10	18 8:10	11:16,21 27:14	21-03004-sgj 1:8	4.4 118:9
0	19 8:24 45:24	28:9 29:8,17,21	214 138:3	4.4- 76:4
0 36:8 69:4	49:2	29:25 31:18	23-point 45:20	411,000 37:8
08 4:24	1982 4:10	35:8 36:15,22	23.034- 45:21	4228 138:2
		40:21 41:1,13	24.7- 46:10	445-9548 138:3
09 4:24	2	42:8,16,16	27 1:15,21 4:20	5
1	2 66:24 67:2,7	44:13,13 45:23	29 37:6	
18:11 24:20,23	73:25 76:3 80:4	45:24 65:13,15		5 74:7,8,24 75:4
66:24 67:2,7	85:23 87:9,13	66:11 75:14	3	75:23 77:4,11
80:3 113:10	92:8 114:23	78:20 80:6,19	3 46:13 50:8	77:18 78:3
126:8,12	115:9	87:2,9,13 88:9	67:17 68:4	79:22 80:2
137:22	2.03- 46:9	88:14 89:2,17	74:18,22 76:5	81:18 82:25
1-31-23 138:4	2.1 28:14 45:25	91:21 92:8 93:2	82:24 83:10,20	85:4 86:13
1-31-23 136.4 1-4 27:17	46:3 49:1,8	93:11 105:13	93:2,11 114:4,7	91:22 93:3
	130:22 131:8	110:20 111:6	114:10,22	114:16 118:8
1-6 127:3	2.1- 46:5,7	111:12,21	118:1,13	118:13,24
1.3 28:13,14	2.4 68:7 69:2	112:2,6,8	129:15 131:8	119:7,14
1.4 58:25	70:7,19,23 72:7	114:23 115:9	131:14	5- 76:5 90:1
10 19:6 32:21	73:18 75:22	121:7	30 24:10,17	5,019,000 75:3
33:20 34:10,17	76:3 77:10,18	2020 7:13,22	130:22	5.2 80:19
69:18 95:3	78:9 85:18,20	24:20,24 51:10	30-year 23:15	5:00 95:19
123:19	91:22 92:8,15	51:15,22 53:18	24:10 26:2	5:14 1:22 135:21
100 15:25	115:8 116:3,23	54:24 56:17,22	30(b)(6) 19:12,20	500 1:24 2:3
10017-2024 2:11	2.4- 70:21 73:23	57:6,13,17,22	30.7 21:22	500,000 123:9,12
101 138:2	77:4 85:24	60:20 61:21	30.7- 27:12	
109 3:5	86:13 90:6	63:19 98:18,24	300,000 28:14	6
11 123:19	2:30 1:22	99:19 100:15	31 22:13 23:7	6 4:10 127:11
127 3:6	20 8:24 24:17	101:2 102:14	24:18 45:21	128:22 129:3
13 23:8 27:9		101.2 102.14	0 .5.21	6/30 122:2
		I	I	I

600,000 76:6,7
630,000 28:14
66,000 46:7 49:4
49:5,7
+ 7.J, /
7
7 58:11,15
7.4 75:9 78:22
79:12 89:2
90:17,20,22,24
119:25 122:5
7.4- 89:19 91:13
7.4- 89:19 91:13 75 18:1
750,000 28:13
37:6
75201 2:4
75206 138:2
75219 2:18
777 2:17
780 2:10
0
8
80 15:25
800 138:3
855-5100 138:3
9
9 19:5
95 3:4